

SciVerse ScienceDirect



## Endocannabinoid system and drug addiction: new insights from mutant mice approaches

Rafael Maldonado<sup>1</sup>, Patricia Robledo<sup>1,2</sup> and Fernando Berrendero<sup>1</sup>

The involvement of the endocannabinoid system in drug addiction was initially studied by the use of compounds with different affinities for each cannabinoid receptor or for the proteins involved in endocannabinoids inactivation. The generation of genetically modified mice with selective mutations in these endocannabinoid system components has now provided important advances in establishing their specific contribution to drug addiction. These genetic tools have identified the particular interest of CB<sub>1</sub> cannabinoid receptor and endogenous anandamide as potential targets for drug addiction treatment. Novel genetic tools will allow determining if the modulation of CB<sub>2</sub> cannabinoid receptor activity and 2-arachidonoylglycerol tone can also have an important therapeutic relevance for drug addiction.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Neuropharmacology, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, C/Dr. Aiguader, 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Human Pharmacology and Clinical Neurosciences Research Group, Neurosciences Research Program, IMIM-Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute, C/Dr. Aiguader, 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

Corresponding author: Maldonado, Rafael (rafael.maldonado@upf.edu)

Current Opinion in Neurobiology 2013, 23:480–486 This review comes from a themed issue on Addiction Edited by Barry Everitt and Ulrike Heberlein For a complete overview see the <u>Issue</u> and the <u>Editorial</u> Available online 13th March 2013 0959-4388/\$ – see front matter, © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.02.004

## Introduction

Drug addiction is a chronic brain disease induced by repeated drug consumption leading to compulsive drug seeking, loss of control over drug use despite negative consequences, and repeated relapse. All drugs of abuse produce similar changes in specific brain pathways, including the reward circuits, which constitute the common neurobiological substrate for this brain disease. The endocannabinoid system has recently emerged as a crucial component of this common circuitry underlying drug addiction [1].

The endocannabinoid system consists of cannabinoid receptors, their endogenous ligands, and the enzymes involved in the synthesis and degradation of these endocannabinoids [2]. Two subtypes of cannabinoid receptors,  $CB_1$  ( $CB_1R$ ) and  $CB_2$  ( $CB_2R$ ), have been characterized and cloned, although compelling evidence supports the existence of other receptors that bind cannabinoid ligands, such as GPR55. Both  $CB_1R$  and  $CB_2R$  are G protein-coupled receptors with quite different distributions in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral tissues [2].  $CB_1R$  is highly expressed in the CNS, while  $CB_2R$  is mainly localized in immune cells, although it is also expressed in brain neurons [3].

The most relevant endogenous ligands for cannabinoid receptors are *N*-arachidonoylethanolamine (anandamide) and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG). Additional endogenous molecules that bind to the cannabinoid receptors have been identified, although some of them may be artifacts [2]. These endocannabinoids are synthesized on demand, mainly postsynaptically and act as retrograde messengers regulating the presynaptic release of neurotransmitters [4]. Whether both endocannabinoids, or only 2-AG, act as retrograde synaptic messengers remains to be clarified. Anandamide and 2-AG are produced from cell membrane lipids via different biosynthetic pathways. Anandamide acts as a partial agonist at both CB<sub>1</sub>R and CB<sub>2</sub>R, and also binds to the transient receptor potential vanilloid type 1 channel. 2-AG is the most abundant endocannabinoid in the CNS and activates both CB<sub>1</sub>R and CB<sub>2</sub>R [5]. Cannabinoid receptor activation by endocannabinoids is rapidly terminated through carrier-mediated uptake into cells followed by intracellular enzymatic degradation. Anandamide is degraded by the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), whereas 2-AG is primarily metabolized by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) [4]. The molecular entities that transport anandamide and 2-AG into cells have not been yet identified, although this transport has been characterized pharmacologically [6]. The molecular characterization of proteins involved in these re-uptake processes will allow the future generation of genetically modified animals, which may clarify the relevance of these endocannabinoid inactivation mechanisms. In contrast, the genetically modified mice now available with constitutive or conditional mutations of the cannabinoid receptors ( $CB_1R$  and  $CB_2R$ ) and endocannabinoid degrading enzymes (FAAH and MAGL) have provided important advances for understanding the physiological role of these endocannabinoid components in multiple functions, including drug addiction (Table 1).

## Studies on CB<sub>1</sub>R genetically modified mice

Genetic approaches have provided clear evidence regarding the involvement of  $CB_1R$  in drug addiction.  $CB_1R$  is

Genetically modifi	ed mice used to study the invo	Ivement of the endocannabinoid system in the a Behavioral model	ddictive properties of o	drugs of abuse Reference
Morphine	CB <sub>1</sub> R KO	Conditioned place preference	Suppression No change	[18] [19]
		Self-administration in restrained mice Withdrawal syndrome	Suppression Attenuation	[10] [20,11] [20]
Ethanol	CB₁R KO	Conditioned place preference Two-bottle voluntary consumption	Attenuation Attenuation No change	[12,13] [14,15] [17]
	<b>FAAH KO</b>	Withdrawal syndrome Two-bottle voluntary consumption Withdrawal syndrome Acute withdrawal	Suppression Increased Decreased No change	[17] [15,34] [15] [34]
Nicotine	CB <sub>1</sub> R KO	Conditioned place preference Self-administration in restrained mice Withdrawal syndrome	Suppression No change No change	[8,9] [11] [8,9]
	FAAH KO	Conditioned place preference Withdrawal syndrome	Increased Increased	[9] [9]
Cocaine	CB <sub>1</sub> R KO	Conditioned place preference Self-administration in restrained mice Self-administration in freely moving mice	No change No change Attenuation	[18,12] [11] [23 <b>•</b> ]
	$CB_2R$ KO $CB_2R$ overexpression	Self-administration in freely moving mice Conditioned place preference Self-administration in freely moving mice	No change Attenuation Attenuation	[28**] [29*] [29*]
Amphetamine	CB₁R KO	Self-administration in restrained mice	No change	[11]
MDMA	CB <sub>1</sub> R KO	Conditioned place preference Self-administration in freely moving mice	No change Suppression	[25] [25]

the primary site of action for the rewarding and pharmacological responses of cannabinoids, although this receptor plays an overall modulatory effect on the addictive properties of all prototypical drugs of abuse [7]. Thus, CB<sub>1</sub>R is involved in nicotine rewarding properties, as revealed by the abolishment of nicotine place preference in CB<sub>1</sub>R knockout mice (CB<sub>1</sub>KO) [8,9], and the reduction of nicotine self-administration by CB<sub>1</sub>R antagonists [10]. In contrast, the acquisition of nicotine self-administration in an acute reinforcement paradigm in mice with restrained mobility was not modified in CB<sub>1</sub> KO [11]. However, this acute paradigm fails to evaluate the maintenance of a stable operant self-administration responding, and the effects could be influenced by the stress induced by this restraint procedure. The influence of  $CB_1R$  in nicotine physical dependence is less clear. Thus, although the somatic expression of nicotine withdrawal was not modified in  $CB_1KO$  [8,9], the  $CB_1R$  antagonist rimonabant ameliorated somatic withdrawal in wild-type mice [9].

 $CB_1R$  also regulates ethanol-rewarding properties. Thus,  $CB_1KO$  show a reduction of ethanol-induced place preference [12,13] and a decrease in voluntary ethanol intake [14,15], in agreement with pharmacological results using  $CB_1R$  antagonists [16]. Stress could participate in the regulation that  $CB_1R$  exerts on alcohol consumption since

Table 1

stress-induced increase in ethanol preference is blocked in  $CB_1KO$  [17].  $CB_1R$  involvement in alcohol reward seems mediated through the modulation of its effects on the activation of mesolimbic dopamine transmission [14].

 $CB_1R$  also participates in opiate reward by modulating dopamine transmission. Thus,  $CB_1KO$  do not exhibit morphine place preference [18], although this effect was not observed in a later study [19]. Morphine selfadministration was also abolished in  $CB_1KO$  [20,11]. In addition, morphine-enhanced extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) was attenuated in  $CB_1KO$ [21], although this effect was not replicated in the case of heroin when using rimonabant [22]. The severity of morphine withdrawal was also attenuated in  $CB_1KO$  [20].

In contrast to other drugs of abuse, psychostimulants enhance NAc dopamine levels by acting directly on dopaminergic terminals and do not require the modulatory role of mesolimbic  $CB_1R$  activity. Indeed, cocaineenhanced NAc dopamine was unaltered in  $CB_1KO$  [23<sup>•</sup>], although another study reported a reduction of this cocaine effect [24]. Cocaine [18,12] and MDMA [25] place preference were preserved in  $CB_1KO$ . These knockout mice also learn to self-administer cocaine and amphetamine when using an acute paradigm in restrained Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6267080

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6267080

Daneshyari.com