### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Neuroscience Methods xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Neuroscience Methods

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jneumeth



### Clinical Neuroscience

- Classification of methods in transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES)
- and evolving strategy from historical approaches to contemporary
- 4 innovations
- s Q1 Berkan Guleyupoglua, Pedro Schestatskyb, Dylan Edwardsd,e,f,g, Felipe Fregnic,d,
- 6 Marom Bikson a,\*
- <sup>a</sup> Neural Engineering Laboratory, Department of Biomedical Engineering, The City College of New York of CUNY, New York, NY 10031, USA
  - <sup>b</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Universida de Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, CAPES, Brazil
- <sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Neuromodulation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA
- d Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- e Burke Medical Research Institute, White Plains, NY, USA
- f Department of Neurology and Neuroscience, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA
- 13 & Australian Neuromuscular Research Institute and the Centre for Neuromuscular and Neurological Disorders, University of Western Australia, Nedlands,
- 14 Western Australia, Australia

15

16 17

19 20

21 22

23 24

### HIGHLIGHTS

- Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation is a descendant of Electrosleep.
- Transcutaneous Cranial Electrical Stimulation is a descendant of Electroanesthesia.
- There is a need for better reporting of dosages and devices used.
- There is a shift to basic dosages in contemporary approaches.

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 February 2013

Received in revised form 17 July 2013

Accepted 25 July 2013

Kevwords:

30 Keywords:

32 Electroanesthesia

32 Electroanestiles
33 Electronarcosis

34 TCES

34 ICI

35 CE1

36 CES 37 TCET

38 FA

39 ES

40 EN

41 tDCS

42 HD-tDCS

43 tES

### $A\ B\ S\ T\ R\ A\ C\ T$

Transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES) encompasses all methods of non-invasive current application to the brain used in research and clinical practice. We present the first comprehensive and technical review, explaining the evolution of tES in both terminology and dosage over the past 100 years of research to present day. Current transcranial Pulsed Current Stimulation (tPCS) approaches such as Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation (CES) descended from Electrosleep (ES) through Cranial Electro-stimulation Therapy (CET), Transcerebral Electrotherapy (TCET), and NeuroElectric Therapy (NET) while others like Transcutaneous Cranial Electrical Stimulation (TCES) descended from Electroanesthesia (EA) through Limoge, and Interferential Stimulation. Prior to a contemporary resurgence in interest, variations of transcranial Direct Current Stimulation were explored intermittently, including Polarizing current, Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation (GVS), and Transcranial Micropolarization. The development of these approaches alongside Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT) and pharmacological developments are considered. Both the roots and unique features of contemporary approaches such as transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation (tACS) and transcranial Random Noise Stimulation (tRNS) are discussed. Trends and incremental developments in electrode montage and waveform spanning decades are presented leading to the present day. Commercial devices, seminal conferences, and regulatory decisions are noted. We conclude with six rules on how increasing medical and technological sophistication may now be leveraged for broader success and adoption of tES.

E-mail address: bikson@ccny.cuny.edu (M. Bikson).

0165-0270/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.07.016

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Biomedical Engineering, The City College of New York, 160 Convent Avenue, T-403B Steinman Hall, New York, NY 10031, USA. Tel.: +1 212 650 6791; fax: +1 212 650 6727.

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

B. Guleyupoglu et al. / Journal of Neuroscience Methods xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

**FEAST** Electrostimulation tRNS Limoge Interferential Stimulation tACS Historical Dosage Contemporary Electrotherapy Cranial Transcerebral Transcutaneous History Direct Alternating

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### **Contents**

51 52

56 57

62

Pulsed

Ι.	Scope	e and approach	UU
2.	Histo	rical development	00
	2.1.	Developments from electrosleep to Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation	00
	2.2.	Developments from electroanesthesia to Limoge current and other related methods	00
3.	2.3.	DC stimulation	00
	2.4.	ECT	00
	2.5.	Contemporary approaches	00
	2.6.	"TES"	00
	2.7.	Non-cranial therapies	
3.	= ===0=		00
	3.1.	Electrosleep and derivative techniques	00
	3.2.	Electroanesthesia and derivative techniques	00
	3.3.	Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation/transcranial Random Noise Stimulation/transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation	00
	3.4.	"Transcranial Electrical Stimulation"	00
	3.5.	Electroconvulsive therapy.	
	3.6.	High-Definition transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	00
4.	Prese	ent outlook and summary – six rules for success of TES technology	00
	Refer	rences	00

### 1. Scope and approach

Transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES) encompasses all forms of research and clinical application of electrical currents to the brain non-invasively using (at least one) electrodes on the head. The dose of tES is defined by the electrode montage and the stimulation waveform applied to the electrode (Peterchev et al., 2012). There has been a resurgence of interest since 2000, but "modern" tES developed incrementally over a century. This review provides the first comprehensive organization of approaches and dose used in modern tES since 1900. Though 'dose' is used historically in different context, throughout this review we follow the strict convention of Peterchev2012 (Peterchev et al., 2012), where tES dosage is defined by electrode parameters (including number, position, shape, and composition) and all details of stimulation waveform (including intensity and general waveform, and when relevant pulse shape, amplitude, width, polarity, and repetition frequency; duration of and interval between bursts or trains of pulses, and interval between stimulation sessions and total number of sessions).

This process involves defining the litany of terminology that has developed and evolved around tES. We explain the terminology as used contemporarily by researchers. Particular attention is paid to historically linked categories of tES, "streams", of which we identify four that span decades plus "contemporary" approaches (Fig. 1): (1) Cranial Electrical Stimulation (CES) descended from Electrosleep

(ES) through Cranial Electro-stimulation Therapy (CET), Transcerebral Electrotherapy (TCET), and NeuroElectric Therapy (NET); (2) Electroanestheisia went through several periods of waning interest and resurgence when new waveform variations were proposed including Transcutaneous Cranial Electrical Stimulation (TCES), Limoge, and Interferential Stimulation; (3) Polarizing or Direct Current Stimulation includes recent transcranial Direct Current Stimulation, Transcranial Micropolarization, High-Definition transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (HD-tDCS) and Galvanic Vestibular Stimulation (GVS); (4) Electroconvulsive Therapy (ECT), initially called Electroshock Therapy, evolved in technique and dose, such as Focal Electrically Administered Seizure Therapy (FEAST); (5) Finally, we categorize "contemporary" approaches that have been explored intensely over the last decade, such as transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation (tACS), transcranial Sinusoidal Direct Current Stimulation (tSDCS), and transcranial Random Noise Stimulation (tRNS). Though analogs to these contemporary approaches can be identified in earlier literature, contemporary methods contain dose features that motivate us to consider them novel as a category. Contemporary approaches to some extent reflect a "reboot" of tES approach, typically employing basic, well documented, and well-defined waveforms (e.g. one sinusoid; Paulus, 2011) in contrast to the increasingly complex waveforms developed (though not always justified) over decades in some streams.

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

112

113

114

115

As our technical focus is on dose clarification and classification, we minimize comments on the clinical efficacy or safety of

Please cite this article in press as: Guleyupoglu B, et al. Classification of methods in transcranial Electrical Stimulation (tES) and evolving strategy from historical approaches to contemporary innovations. J Neurosci Methods (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.07.016

### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6268973

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6268973

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>