Please cite this article in press as: Acevedo MB et al. Anxiety response and restraint-induced stress differentially affect ethanol intake in female adolescent rats. Neuroscience (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.08.011

Neuroscience xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Δ

12

1

ANXIETY RESPONSE AND RESTRAINT-INDUCED STRESS DIFFERENTIALLY AFFECT ETHANOL INTAKE IN FEMALE ADOLESCENT RATS

#### MARÍA BELÉN ACEVEDO, <sup>a</sup> MARIA CAROLINA FABIO, <sup>a,b†</sup> MACARENA FERNÁNDEZ<sup>a,b</sup> AND RICARDO MARCOS 5 6 PAUTASSI<sup>a,b\*</sup>

- 7
- 8 <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Investigación Médica M. y M. Ferreyra (INIMEC

9 - CONICET), Córdoba C.P. 5000, Argentina

- <sup>b</sup> Facultad de Psicología, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba 10
- C.P. 5000, Argentina 11

Abstract—Anxiety disorders are more likely to occur in women than in men, usually emerge during adolescence and exhibit high comorbidity with alcohol use disorders (AUD). Adolescents with high levels of anxiety or heightened reactivity to stress may be at-risk for developing AUD. An approach to analyze if high levels of inborn anxiety predict greater ethanol drinking is to assess the latter variable in subjects classified as high- or low-anxiety responders. The present study assessed ethanol drinking in adolescent, female Wistar, rats classified as high-, low- or average-anxiety responders and exposed or not to restraint stress (RS, Exp. 1). Classification was made through a multivariate index derived from testing anxiety responses in an elevated plus maze and a light-dark box tests. RS was applied after animals had been initiated to ethanol drinking. Intake of sweetened ethanol was unaffected by level of anxiety response. Adolescents with high levels of inborn anxiety exhibited significantly higher intake of unsweetened ethanol than counterparts with standard levels of anxiety, yet this effect was inhibited by RS exposure. Experiment 2 assessed FOS immunoreactivity after RS. Stress induced a significant increase in FOS immunoreactivity at the paraventricular nucleus, yet this effect was unaffected by level of anxiety response. Female adolescents with high levels of basal anxiety may be at-risk for exhibiting increased predisposition for ethanol intake and preference. The study also indicates that stress may exert differential effects on adolescent ethanol intake as a function of the level of anxiety

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.08.011

response. © 2016 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: adolescents, ethanol intake, stress, anxiety, Fos immunoreactivity.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### INTRODUCTION

Anxiety disorders, which are more likely to occur in women than in men (Pisu et al., 2016), usually emerge during adolescence (Cunningham et al., 2002) and exhibit high comorbidity with alcohol use and alcohol use disorders (AUD) (Hobbs et al., 2011). A recent study indicated that social anxiety disorder significantly predicted AUD in both African American and European American adolescents (Sartor et al., 2016). This is consistent with the postulate that individuals with high levels of anxiety may be more sensitive to the negative reinforcing effects of alcohol (hereinafter referred to as ethanol) and thus may be at-risk for developing AUDs (Kushner et al., 1994).

Ethanol intake has been measured in animals 27 selectively bred to exhibit high- or low-inborn anxiety 28 (Henniger et al., 2002) and vice versa, anxiety responses 29 have been analyzed in animals selectively bred for high-30 and low-ethanol intake. Rats selected for high anxiety-31 response drank more ethanol than rats selected for their 32 predisposition to explore dangerous environments (Izidio 33 and Ramos, 2007) and the ethanol-preferring P rats exhi-34 bit significantly greater sensitivity to exteroceptive noci-35 ceptive stimulation and spend less time in the open 36 arms of an elevated plus maze than their ethanol-37 nonpreferring (NP) counterparts (Stewart et al., 1993). 38 An anxious phenotype has also been described in the 39 genetically selected Marchigian Sardinian alcohol-40 preferring rats (Ciccocioppo et al., 2006). These animals 41 exhibited reduced propensity to explore the open arms 42 of the elevated plus maze and the central zone of the 43 open field (Roman et al., 2012). Similarly, the Warsaw 44 alcohol high-preferring (WHP) rats exhibited enhanced 45 acoustic startle response than their low-preferring WLP 46 counterparts (Acewicz et al., 2012). Other studies, how-47 ever, have failed to replicate these results (Da Silva 48 et al., 2004) or yielded a negative association between 49 anxiety and ethanol intake (Henniger et al., 2002). For 50 instance, the WHP rats exhibited less anxiety (i.e., more 51

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author at: Instituto de Investigación Médica M. y M. Ferreyra (INIMEC - CONICET), Friuli 2434, Córdoba C.P 5000, Argentina. Fax: +54 351 4695163.

E-mail address: rpautassi@gmail.com (R. M. Pautassi).

MCF is now working at Centro de Investigaciones en Química Biológica de Córdoba (CIQUIBIC-CONICET-UNC), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, C.P. 5000, Argentina.

Abbreviations: AA, average-anxiety responder; ANOVAs, analyses of variance; Arc, arcuate nucleus; AUD, alcohol use disorders; BLA, basolateral amygdala nucleus; CEA, central amygdala nucleus; EPM, elevated plus maze; Fos-ir, FOS immunoreactivity; HA, high-anxiety responder; LA, low-anxiety responder; LDB, light-dark box; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; PD, postnatal day; PVC, polyvinyl chloride; PVN, paraventricular nucleus; RS, restraint stress; WHP, Warsaw alcohol high-preferring.

<sup>0306-4522/© 2016</sup> IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

2

time spent in the central section of an open field) than the 52 WLP rats (Acewicz et al., 2014). 53

Another approach to analyze if high levels of inborn 54 anxiety predict greater ethanol drinking is to submit 55 subjects to a validated animal model of anxiety [e.g., 56 elevated plus maze or light-dark box, EPM and LDB, 57 respectively] (Kumar et al., 2013). The animals are classi-58 59 fied as high- or low-anxiety responders as a function of performance on the test and then ethanol drinking is 60 assessed. This approach has yielded evidence for an 61 association between inborn anxiety and ethanol intake 62 at adulthood (Spanagel et al., 1995; Bahi, 2013). For 63 64 instance, Primeaux et al. (2006) found greater preference 65 for 4% ethanol and 6% ethanol in rats classified as anxious as a function of performance on the elevated plus 66 67 maze, compared with non-anxious rats.

HAs may be more sensitive to aversive and stressful 68 stimulation (Muigg et al., 2008), and adolescents have 69 been found to be more sensitive to stress, and to 70 stress-ethanol interactions, than adults. Five days of 71 restraint stress (RS) significantly enhanced ethanol intake 72 and reduced ethanol-induced sleep time in adolescent but 73 not in adults (Fernandez et al., 2016). A single, 90-min 74 75 session of RS increases anxiety, as shown by reduced 76 social investigation, in both adults and adolescent rats. 77 This effect of stress is reversed by ethanol in adolescent, 78 but not in adult, rats (Varlinskaya and Spear, 2012). 79 Another relevant interaction between adolescence, stress and ethanol is that male (Siegmund et al., 2005) or female 80 (Fullgrabe et al., 2007) rats that started to drink ethanol 81 during adolescence, but not during adulthood, were sen-82 sitive to foot-shock induced facilitation of ethanol drinking. 83 This could result from an ethanol-induced alteration in the 84 brain circuits involved in the stress response. Rats given 85 vapor ethanol exposure during postnatal days (PD) 28-86 42, for instance, exhibited reduced expression of corti-87 88 cotropin releasing factor mRNA in the paraventricular 89 nucleus (PVN), after a challenge with ethanol in early adulthood (Allen et al., 2011). These results highlight 90 the importance of assessing stress-induced drinking early 91 in development. Stress during adolescence may facilitate 92 onset and escalation of drinking, likely to a greater extent 93 than stress during adulthood, and sub-populations char-94 95 acterized by high levels of inborn anxiety may be particu-96 larly vulnerable to ethanol drinking and stress-induced drinking. 97

The present study assessed ethanol drinking 98 throughout the duration of adolescence, in female rats 99 classified as high-, low- or AAs and exposed or not to 100 RS (Exp. 1). Classification was made through a 101 102 multivariate index of anxiety, and RS was applied after animals had been initiated to ethanol drinking. It has 103 been indicated that animals need several intake 104 105 sessions to learn about ethanol's anti-anxiety effects (Samson et al., 1998). Experiment 2 assessed neural 106 activation (FOS immunoreactivity, Fos-ir) after RS in the 107 three anxiety groups, in the basolateral and central amyg-108 dala (BLA and CEA, respectively), and in the PVN and 109 arcuate nucleus (Arc). 110

These brain areas are involved in the stress response 111 and regulate baseline levels of anxiety response. Briski 112

and Gillen (2001) and later Keshavarzy et al. (2015) 113 observed significant Fos-ir in PVN and Arc after a 2-h or 114 a 1-h RS session, respectively [also see Kwon et al. 115 (2006)]. Rats classified as HAs, but not those classified 116 as LAs, exhibited decreased expression of central 117 corticotropin-releasing factor in the PVN, after chronic 118 RS (5 weeks, 3 h/day; Wisowska-Stanek et al., 2016). 119 The role of the amvadala in mediating anxiety responses 120 and in the acquisition of conditioned fear has been studied 121 at length (Maren and Quirk, 2004). Rats bilaterally 122 lesioned in CEA exhibited a blunted response to experi-123 mentally induced anxiety and a significant reduction in 124 ethanol intake (Moller et al., 1997). The CEA also endures 125 plastic changes (for instance, after chronic drug treat-126 ment) that result in greater anxiety and sensitivity to 127 stress (Koob, 2009). The BLA, in turn, projects to several 128 other areas, including CEA, ventral hippocampus and 129 medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). A recent study found 130 an increase in anxiety-like behavior after the optogenetic 131 activation of the BLA-mPFC pathway, whereas the inhibi-132 tion of this circuit was associated with decreased anxiety-133 like behavior (Felix-Ortiz et al., 2016). Alterations in anxi-134 etv were also observed after manipulating the projections 135 between the BLA and the CEA (Tye et al., 2011) or 136 between the BLA and the ventral hippocampus (Felix-137 Ortiz et al., 2013). Moreover, several studies have 138 reported a reduction of experimental anxiety after microin-139 jection of benzodiazepines into the BLA or CEA, underscoring a casual role of these structures in the expression of anxiety-like behaviors (Menard and Treit, 1999; Engin and Trait, 2008).

Our hypotheses were that HAs would exhibit greater ethanol intake and that this would be exacerbated by RS. We expected these behavioral differences to translate into greater neural response to RS; i.e., greater RS-induced Fos-ir, with likely regional differences, in high-anxiety than in low-anxiety or average-anxiety females. We focused on females due to the greater prevalence of anxiety disorders in women and because, among college students who drink, women are more likely than men to develop AUD (Perkins, 2002). Female rats also consume more ethanol than males (Lancaster et al., 1996; Doremus et al., 2005).

# **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

## **Experimental designs**

A 3 (level of baseline anxiety response: high-anxiety, 158 average-anxiety and low-anxiety; HA, AA and LA, 159 respectively)  $\times$  2 (stress exposure: stressed or non-160 stressed; S and NS, respectively) factorial design was 161 employed in both Experiments 1 and 2. Number of 162 animals in each group was as follows: HA-S = 12, HA-163 NS = 14; AA-S = 9, AA-NS = 18; LA-S = 9, LA-164 NS = 17 (Experiment 1), and HA-S = 5, HA-NS = 5; 165 AA-NS = 5: AA-S = 5.LA-S = 5.LA-NS = 5166 (Experiment 2). The uneven number of subjects in the 167 groups of Exp. 1 was because group assignment as a 168 function of anxiety response occurred after completion 169 of experimental procedures. A separate group of rats 170 was left untreated (UT, n = 5) in Experiment 2. These 171

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153 154 155

156

157

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6270765

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6270765

Daneshyari.com