24 February 2016

12

Please cite this article in press as: Craig CE et al. Proprioceptive acuity predicts muscle co-contraction of the tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius medialis in older adults' dynamic postural control. Neuroscience (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.02.036

Neuroscience xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Δ

1

PROPRIOCEPTIVE ACUITY PREDICTS MUSCLE CO-CONTRACTION OF THE TIBIALIS ANTERIOR AND GASTROCNEMIUS MEDIALIS IN OLDER ADULTS' DYNAMIC POSTURAL CONTROL

5 C. E. CRAIG, <sup>a</sup>\* D. J. GOBLE<sup>b</sup> AND M. DOUMAS<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Psychology, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast
 BT, UK

8 <sup>b</sup> School of Exercise & Nutritional Sciences, San Diego

9 State University, San Diego 92101, USA

10 Abstract—Older adults use a different muscle strategy to cope with postural instability, in which they 'co-contract' the muscles around the ankle joint. It has been suggested that this is a compensatory response to age-related proprioceptive decline however this view has never been assessed directly. The current study investigated the association between proprioceptive acuity and muscle co-contraction in older adults. We compared muscle activity, by recording surface electromyography (EMG) from the bilateral tibialis anterior (TA) and gastrocnemius medialis (GM) muscles, in young (aged 18-34) and older adults (aged 65-82) during postural assessment on a fixed and sway-referenced surface at age-equivalent levels of sway. We performed correlations between muscle activity and proprioceptive acuity, which was assessed using an active contralateral matching task. Despite successfully inducing similar levels of sway in the two age groups, older adults still showed higher muscle co-contraction. A stepwise regression analysis showed that proprioceptive acuity measured using variable error was the best predictor of muscle co-contraction in older adults. However, despite suggestions from previous research, proprioceptive error and muscle co-contraction were negatively correlated in older adults, suggesting that better proprioceptive acuity predicts more co-contraction. Overall, these results suggest that although muscle co-contraction may be an age-specific strategy used by older adults, it is not to compensate for age-related proprioceptive deficits. © 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO.

Key words: posture, aging, muscular coactivation, proprioception, EMG.

11

\*Corresponding author. Address:, Rm LG.511, School of Psychology, Queen's University Belfast, David Keir Building, 18-30 Malone Road, Belfast BT9 5BP, UK. Tel: +44-0-28-9097-4558; fax: +44-0-28-9097-5486.

E-mail addresses: ccraig25@qub.ac.uk (C. E. Craig), dgoble@mail. sdsu.edu (D. J. Goble), m.doumas@qub.ac.uk (M. Doumas). *Abbreviations:* 5XSTS, Five Times Sit to Stand; ANOVA, analysis of variance; AP, anterior–posterior; CCI, co-contraction index(es); COP, cenere of pressure; EMG, electromyography; GM, gastrocnemius medialis; MVCs, maximum voluntary contractions; RVCs, reference voluntary contractions; TA, tibialis anterior.

## http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.02.036

## INTRODUCTION

Postural control is a complex neural process that requires 13 sensory information from visual, proprioceptive and 14 vestibular systems, all of which are subject to age-15 related decline (Horak et al., 1989). Decline in propriocep-16 tive acuity is particularly relevant in this task, as this is the 17 sensory modality with the greatest contribution in postural 18 control (Peterka, 2002). Accordingly, a breadth of 19 research has shown an association between low proprio-20 ceptive acuity and reduced postural control in older adults 21 (Lord et al., 1991; McChesney and Woollacott, 2000; 22 Madhavan and Shields, 2005; Goble et al., 2009). Such 23 findings extend to mobility in general, with studies sug-24 gesting that proprioception is associated with functional 25 performance, as assessed in tasks such as 'Timed up 26 and go' and stairs ascent/descent in older adults (Hurley 27 et al., 1998). Older adults also demonstrate changes in 28 the proprioceptive strategy used. For example, similar to 29 patients with lower back pain, older adults show reduced 30 reliance on lower back proprioceptive information and 31 increased reliance on ankle joint information (Brumagne 32 et al., 2004). Brumagne et al. (2004) state that it is unclear 33 whether the proprioceptive strategy changes or back pain 34 is witnessed first in patients however, so this potentially 35 maladaptive proprioceptive alteration could explain older 36 adults' susceptibility to spinal pain. Additionally, it has 37 been suggested that proprioceptive decline could lead to 38 abnormal joint biomechanics during gait which could 39 eventually lead to joint degeneration (Skinner, 1993). 40 More importantly, lower limb proprioceptive acuity has 41 been shown to be predictive of fall accidents (Lord 42 et al., 1999). 43

In order to avoid postural instability and falls, the aging 44 body is likely to develop compensatory strategies, for 45 instance when exposed to changes in their base of 46 support, older adults 'co-contract' or co-activate the 47 muscles around the ankle joint (Laughton et al., 2003; 48 Benjuya et al., 2004; Nagai et al., 2011, 2013; 49 Nelson-Wong et al., 2012). Muscle co-contraction refers 50 to the simultaneous contraction of the agonist and antag-51 onist muscle about a joint, which is often associated with 52 stiffening of the joint (Melzer et al., 2001; Tucker et al., 53 2008; Cenciarini et al., 2010). However, the efficacy of 54 this strategy in terms of postural control appears to be 55 context-dependent (Chambers and Cham, 2007a; Nagai 56 et al., 2013). In terms of the lower limbs, muscle co-57 contraction has been interpreted as a compensatory strat-58

<sup>0306-4522/© 2016</sup> Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO.

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

2

## C. E. Craig et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

egy for age-related decline in sensory acuity, especially
proprioceptive acuity (Laughton et al., 2003; Benjuya
et al., 2004; Madhavan and Shields, 2005), however the
relationship between lower limb muscle co-contraction
and proprioception has not been directly assessed by previous studies.

Age-related differences in lower limb muscle 65 66 activation patterns in postural control tasks have been assessed by Benjuya et al. (2004). They showed that, in 67 an upright standing task that was originally performed with 68 eyes open, when visual information was withdrawn, 69 young adults increased their postural sway more than 70 71 older adults. This age difference in postural sway increase 72 was accompanied by an age difference in muscle activation patterns, with older adults employing a different strat-73 eav from young adults whereby they co-contracted their 74 lower leg muscles. The authors suggested that young 75 adults increased postural sway in an attempt to gain more 76 proprioceptive input from the lower limb muscles when 77 one of the sources of sensory information (vision) was 78 removed, whereas older adults did not increase their pos-79 tural sway to the same degree, either due to an inability to 80 81 utilize the additional lower limb proprioceptive input or a fear of reaching their limits of stability. Instead, they 82 83 employed a muscle co-contraction strategy to prevent a 84 further increase in postural sway by increasing the stiff-85 ness of the ankle joint. Benjuya et al. (2004) suggest that 86 this stiffening is a compensatory response for degraded proprioceptive input. Alternatively, other authors have 87 suggested that co-contraction may compensate for pro-88 prioceptive deficits by increasing proprioceptive informa-89 tion from muscle spindles (Laughton et al., 2003; 90 Madhavan and Shields, 2005). Regardless of whether 91 muscle co-contraction is employed in contrast to proprio-92 ceptive sense or in order to increase proprioceptive infor-93 mation, both of these arguments suggest that older adults 94 95 who show reduced proprioceptive acuity would also show 96 higher muscle co-contraction.

It is unclear how effective muscle co-contraction is as 97 a compensatory postural strategy. On the one hand, it is 98 also used by young adults when they are directly asked 99 to minimize postural sway as much as possible, 100 especially during difficult postural tasks (Reynolds, 101 2010). Similarly, both young and older adults increase 102 muscle co-contraction in anticipation of a postural chal-103 lenge, such as walking on a known slippery surface, 104 and higher baseline co-contraction during walking on an 105 unknown slippery surface is associated with less severe 106 slips (Chambers and Cham, 2007b). This supports the 107 proposition that it may be an adaptive strategy during pos-108 109 tural instability. Additionally, evidence of its use in young adults suggests that it is not an age-specific strategy shift 110 but may be a general strategy for larger postural chal-111 lenges (Chambers and Cham, 2007b). Consequently, 112 older adults may show higher muscle co-contraction as 113 a result of their greater postural instability compared with 114 young adults, as opposed to an effect of age. 115

Despite some evidence showing that muscle cocontraction can be an effective strategy, alternative evidence suggests that it is often a maladaptive strategy. For example, co-contraction is not associated with decreased postural sway in young adults 120 (Reynolds, 2010). In contrast, evidence suggests that 121 co-contraction is typically associated with increased pos-122 tural sway in both young (Warnica et al., 2014) and older 123 adults (Laughton et al., 2003; Nagai et al., 2011). For 124 example, both Laughton et al. (2003) and Nagai et al. 125 (2011) found that older adults demonstrated significantly 126 higher levels of co-contraction in the lower limb muscles 127 compared with young adults, and this was correlated with 128 their postural sway during quiet stance. Additionally, 129 Nagai et al. (2011) extended this association to functional 130 reach distance. Although neither of these studies can 131 infer whether muscle co-contraction precluded postural 132 sway due to the correlative nature of the findings. 133 Warnica et al. (2014) study provided further insight into 134 this issue by asking young adults to actively co-contract 135 the muscles around the ankle joint. Results showed that 136 higher muscle co-contraction was associated with 137 increased sway amplitude and frequency. The authors 138 suggest that this may occur as the increased ankle stiff-139 ness may degrade proprioceptive feedback and thus par-140 ticipants turn to other postural strategies, such as a hip 141 strategy. In line with this, other authors (Tucker et al., 142 2008) have suggested that the increase in ankle rigidity 143 associated with co-contraction may impede adaptive 144 responses to postural perturbations, which could explain 145 the associations between higher co-contraction and a ten-146 dency to fall (Ho and Bendrups, 2002) and increased fall 147 risk (Nelson-Wong et al., 2012). This suggests that in 148 everyday life muscle co-contraction is an ineffective and 149 risky postural strategy. 150

Together, evidence suggests that muscle co-contraction in postural control is more likely to be maladaptive. This observation raises the question: why have older adults developed a bias toward this strategy? One possibility is that co-contraction may result from age-related decline in proprioceptive acuity (Laughton et al., 2003; Benjuya et al., 2004; Madhavan and Shields, 2005), however little is known about the relationship between the two. A link between proprioceptive acuity and postural performance in older adults has been demonstrated by previous studies (Lord et al., 1991; Gauchard et al., 1999; McChesney and Woollacott, 2000; Madhavan and Shields, 2005). However, little is known about the relationship between proprioceptive acuity and lower limb muscle co-contraction during upright stance. Madhavan and Shields (2005) assessed the relationship between proprioceptive acuity and balance measures, such as standing/single-limb standing with eyes open/closed in young and older adults. Proprioceptive acuity was assessed using a passive ('dynamic') position sense task, during which the authors reported significant use of muscle co-contraction in the lower leg. However, the relationships between muscle co-contraction, proprioceptive acuity and balance measures were not examined.

The main aim of the present study was to assess the relationship between proprioceptive acuity and lower limb muscle co-contraction in young and older adults' postural control. The studies reviewed above suggest that muscle co-contraction may be a compensatory strategy for proprioceptive acuity decline, thus, co-contraction and 180

Please cite this article in press as: Craig CE et al. Proprioceptive acuity predicts muscle co-contraction of the tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius medialis in older adults' dynamic postural control. Neuroscience (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.02.036 Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6271187

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6271187

Daneshyari.com