1

12 February 2015

Please cite this article in press as: Ma L et al. Acute restraint stress alters sound-evoked neural responses in the rat auditory cortex. Neuroscience (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.01.074

Neuroscience xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

ACUTE RESTRAINT STRESS ALTERS SOUND-EVOKED NEURAL RESPONSES IN THE RAT AUDITORY CORTEX

4 L. MA, ^a J. ZHANG, ^a P. YANG, ^b E. WANG ^c AND L. QIN ^{a,c}*

⁵ ^a Department of Physiology, China Medical University,

6 Shenyang, 110001, People's Republic of China

- 7 ^b Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, First
- 8 Affiliated Hospital, China Medical University, Shenyang,

9 110001, People's Republic of China

¹⁰ ^c Institute of Pathology and Pathophysiology, China Medical Univer-

11 sity, Shenyang 110001, People's Republic of China

12 Abstract—Stress is known to elicit various adaptive or maladaptive responses in the nervous system function. Psychophysical studies have revealed that stress exposure induced the changes in auditory response that can be interpreted as a transient, stress-induced hypersensitivity to sounds. However, the underlying neural mechanism remains unresolved. Thus, in this study, we explored the neural activities of the auditory cortex (AC) in response to stress. We elicited stress by physically immobilizing rats and recorded the extracellular single-unit activities through the electrodes chronically implanted in the AC of rats. By comparing the spike activities of the same rat before, during and after immobilization, we found temporal and significant changes in the sound-evoked neural activities. In most cases, acute restraint stress enhanced neural responses evoked by pure-tones and click-trains, but in a minority of neurons, stress suppressed responses. The immobilization-induced enhancement was more frequently found in the neurons that originally had a low responsibility for sound stimuli. The enhancement effects on pure-tone response were reflected by an increase of response magnitude, decrease of response latency, and extension of bandwidth of tuning curve (BW). But the spontaneous firing rate and best frequency (BF) remained unchanged. Stress also increased the ability of neural response to synchronize to click-trains, even in the neurons whose response magnitude was not significantly increased. Taken together, these results provide direct evidence that stress alters the function of auditory system at the level of AC. © 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO.

*Correspondence to: L. Qin, Department of Physiology, China Medical University, Shenyang 110001, People's Republic of China. Tel: +86-24-23256666; fax: +86-24-25115148. E-mail address: qinlingling@yahoo.com (L. Qin).

Abbreviations: ABR, auditory brainstem responses; AC, auditory cortex; BF, best frequency; BW, bandwidth of tuning curve; DPOAEs, distortion product otoacoustic emissions; GC, glucocorticoid; GRs, glucocorticoid receptors; HPA, hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal; IC, inferior colliculus; MI, modulation index; PSTH, peri-stimulus time histogram; RS, Rayleigh statistic; SPL, Sound pressure level; VS, vector strength.

Key words: cerebral cortex, neural response, chronic recording, acute stress, serum corticosterone, acoustic perception.

INTRODUCTION

Stress is a complex biological reaction common to all living organisms that allows them to adapt to their environments. There is extensive evidence that stress exposure elicits adaptive or maladaptive changes of brain functions, particularly learning and memory performance (de Kloet et al., 2005; Roozendaal et al., 2009). Similar impacts of stress appear to exist for auditory system. Clinical reports have demonstrated a wellestablished relationship between stress and hearing problems. An acute stress could increase auditory sensitivity (hyperacusis) in humans, particularly women with high levels of emotional exhaustion (Hasson et al., 2013). Stress exacerbated the sudden hearing loss or tinnitus (Ban and Jin, 2006; Al-Mana et al., 2008). Clinical data associate tinnitus onset and tinnitus severity with stress (Hinton et al., 2006; Fagelson, 2007; Hébert and Lupien, 2007). A study using event-related potentials in humans also reported that acute stressor is able to alter auditory-selective attention (Elling et al., 2011).

The impacts of stress on auditory function were also demonstrated by the studies using various animal stress models. The mean threshold for the stressed guinea pigs following noise exposure was significantly lower (better) than that of the controlled, sedated, guinea pigs (Muchnik et al., 1992). In mice, the mild physical restraint stress significantly increased cochlear sensitivity (Wang and Liberman, 2002), and distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs) were confirmed to be enhanced by heat stress (Murakoshi et al., 2006). In rats, the prenatal stress induced by frequent handling, cage changing and mock injections of the pregnant rats resulted in offspring having a low-frequency hearing loss (Kadner et al., 2006). Sonic stress by 24-h exposure to an acoustic rodent repellent decreased the thresholds and increased the amplitudes of auditory brainstem responses and DPOAEs (Mazurek et al., 2010).

Though stress-induced changes of auditory functions have been well documented as mentioned above, neural correlates of the functional changes remain unclear. Single-unit neuronal studies are critical for shortening the bridge from neural to sensory changes. For this reason, we investigated how behavioral stress triggers the changes of neural spike activities in the auditory cortex (AC). We used the acute restraint stress

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.01.074

^{0306-4522/© 2015} Published by Elsevier Ltd. on behalf of IBRO.

87

88

121

2

L. Ma et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

model induced by physical immobilization of a rat. 13 Physical restraint in rodents has been widely used to 14 pathological investigate neurophysiological and 15 conditions associated with stress exposure (Glavin 16 et al., 1994; Buynitsky and Mostofsky, 2009). In the pres-17 ent study, we recorded the single-unit activities in vivo in 18 the AC of awake rats using chronically implanted elec-19 20 trodes, and examined the neural responses to pure-tone and click-train stimuli to evaluate the fundamental charac-21 teristics of neuronal response including the latency, mag-22 nitude, frequency-tuning and ability to follow temporally 23 repeated sound stimuli. These neuronal characteristics 24 25 were compared before, during and after immobilization of the same subject. This preparation eliminates the 26 effects of anesthesia on neuronal activity and avoids the 27 possibility of anesthesia altering the perception of the 28 stressor. 29

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

31 Subjects

30

Male Wistar rats weighing 250-350 g (8-10 weeks old) at 32 the beginning of the experiments were used. Animals 33 34 came from our own colony housed in a humiditycontrolled (50-55%) and temperature-controlled (22-35 24 °C) facility on a 12-h-light/dark cycle (lights on at 36 7:30 A.M.) with access to food and water ad libitum. All 37 animal works were carried out in strict accordance with 38 National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use 39 of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 80-23) 40 revised 1996. The protocol for animal handling and the 41 42 treatment procedures were approved by the China 43 Medical University Animal Care and Use Committee. All surgery was performed under anesthesia, and all efforts 44 were made to minimize the number of animals used and 45 their suffering. 46

47 Surgical preparation and electrode implantation

The animal was anesthetized by an initial injection of 48 chloral hydrate (330-350 mg/kg, 5%, i.p.) supplemented 49 by additional doses (usually 100 mg/kg once per hour). 50 Temperature was monitored rectally and maintained at 51 37 °C using a feedback-controlled blanket. After placing 52 the animal in a stereotaxic frame (SR-5R, Narishige, 53 Tokyo, Japan), the cranium was exposed, four small 54 holes were drilled over the parietal bone and fine 55 jeweler's screws were inserted to serve as an anchor for 56 a metal head-post holder that was cemented to the skull 57 with dental acrylic. A craniotomy $(2 \times 1.5 \text{ mm})$ was 58 59 performed above the left AC according to the 60 coordinates of the Paxinos and Watson brain atlas: 3-61 7 mm posterior of the bregma and 3-5 mm lateral to the bregma (Paxinos and Watson, 1986). The dura above 62 the AC was removed under binocular control. We then 63 implanted a microwire array consisting of four (2×2) 64 formvar-insulated 50-µm-diameter nichrome wires (part 65 #762000; A-M Systems, Carlsborg, WA, USA). The tip 66 impedance of each wire was around $0.5 M\Omega$ at 1 kHz. 67 The distance of two neighbor electrodes was approxi-68 mately 300 µm. A silver wire, used as ground, was 69

inserted between the temporal bone and the dura mater 70 on the contralateral side. The microwire array was 71 mounted on a custom-built holder that was lowered step-72 wise with a pulse motor-driven manipulator (SM-20, 73 Narishige, Tokyo, Japan). Wires were inserted into the 74 cortex until the tips of the electrodes were 0.5-0.8 mm 75 below the dura, while viewing through a microscope and 76 listening to an audio monitor of the recorded signal. The 77 craniotomy was then filled with SILASTIC, a silicone elas-78 tomer (World Precision Instruments) and sealed using 79 dental acrylic. After the cement had completely hardened, 80 the spare part of wires and the connector were fixed on 81 the skull by dental acrylic. In the end of surgery, an anti-82 biotic (Cefuroxime, Zinacef injection, Glaxosmithkline) 83 was administered systematically (30 mg/kg, i.p.). Animals 84 were then housed in a medal grid cage for 1-2 weeks of 85 postoperative recovery. 86

Electrophysiological recording and experimental protocol

The physiological recording was conducted in a shielded, 89 soundproof room. Before the recording experiment, the 90 animal within the housing cage was moved to the 91 recording room to acclimatize to the environment for 92 three consecutive days (1 h per day). At the beginning 93 of the experiment, we firstly recorded the neural 94 activities when the rat was free in its housing cage. The 95 microwire output was connected to a multi-channel 96 preamplifier (RA16PA; TDT, Alachua, FL, USA) using a 97 flexible, low-noise cable. The output of the preamplifier 98 was delivered to a digital signal processing module (RZ-99 2; TDT). Action potentials were detected on-line by 100 threshold crossing, and waveforms were stored to hard 101 disk. During recording, a video camera was used to 102 monitor rat's position and movement. The animal stood 103 fairly motionless some of the time and occasionally 104 moved its limbs, whisked, groomed, etc. Any recording 105 data interrupted by the artifacts of animal's movement 106 were abandoned, and the recordings were repeated as 107 the animal returned to resting state. On average, 1-3 108 well-isolated single-units were collected in each session. 109 After completing the recordings of all the tested stimuli 110 (usually lasted 20-30 min), the animal was moved out 111 from the housing cage. Its head was fixed through the 112 head-post holder, and the body was put into a half-cut 113 plastic tube (diameter, 5 cm) to restrain the movements. 114 After the animal has been immobilized for 30 min and 115 became quiet, we restarted the recording procedure 116 under the immobilized condition. Then, the animal was 117 released to its housing cage. The recording procedure 118 was repeated at 30 min after the animal returned to the 119 free condition. 120

Acoustic stimuli

Acoustic stimuli were digitally generated by custom-built 122 programs under MATLAB (Mathworks) environment and 123 delivered via an earphone (NW-STUDIO PRO W; 124 Ninewave), which was attached on the cement platform 125 implanted on the rat's skull during surgery. The 126 placement of the earphone was adjusted to 1 cm from 127

Please cite this article in press as: Ma L et al. Acute restraint stress alters sound-evoked neural responses in the rat auditory cortex. Neuroscience (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.01.074

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6272682

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6272682

Daneshyari.com