



## Cryptic mammals caught on camera: Assessing the utility of range wide camera trap data for conserving the endangered Asian tapir



Matthew Linkie<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Gurutzeta Guillera-Arroita<sup>c,d</sup>, Joseph Smith<sup>e,f</sup>, Anton Ario<sup>g</sup>, Gregoire Bertagnolio<sup>h</sup>, Francis Cheong<sup>i</sup>, Gopalasamy Reuben Clements<sup>j,k</sup>, Yoan Dinata<sup>l,b</sup>, Somphot Duangchantrasiri<sup>m</sup>, Gabriella Fredriksson<sup>n</sup>, Melvin T. Gumal<sup>n</sup>, Liang Song Horng<sup>n</sup>, Kae Kawanishi<sup>o,p</sup>, Faesal Rakhman Khakim<sup>g</sup>, Margaret F. Kinnaird<sup>q</sup>, Dedy Kiswayadi<sup>l</sup>, Abu H. Lubis<sup>r</sup>, Antony J. Lynam<sup>s</sup>, Maryati<sup>l</sup>, Myint Maung<sup>t</sup>, Dusit Ngoprasert<sup>u</sup>, Wilson Novarino<sup>v</sup>, Timothy G. O'Brien<sup>s</sup>, Karmila Parakkasi<sup>w</sup>, Helga Peters<sup>h</sup>, Dolly Priatna<sup>f</sup>, D.Mark Rayan<sup>x</sup>, Naret Seaturien<sup>y</sup>, Nay Myo Shwe<sup>t</sup>, Robert Steinmetz<sup>y</sup>, Arif M. Sugesti<sup>z</sup>, Sunarto<sup>w</sup>, Melvin E. Sunquist<sup>o</sup>, Mayuree Umponjan<sup>aa</sup>, Hariyo T. Wibisono<sup>ab</sup>, Christopher C.T. Wong<sup>ac</sup>, Zulfahmi<sup>w</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Fauna & Flora International, Cambridge CB1 2JD, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NR, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> National Centre for Statistical Ecology, School of Mathematics, Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, United Kingdom

<sup>d</sup> School of Botany, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Australia

<sup>e</sup> Panthera, New York, NY 10018, USA

<sup>f</sup> Zoological Society of London – Indonesia Programme, Bogor 16151, Indonesia

<sup>g</sup> Conservation International Indonesia, Komplek Taman Rekreasi, Bogor 16740, Indonesia

<sup>h</sup> PanEco/YEL/SOCP, PO Box 1472, Medan 20000, North Sumatra, Indonesia

<sup>i</sup> Johor National Parks Corporation, Johor, Malaysia

<sup>j</sup> Centre for Tropical Environmental and Sustainability Science & School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University Cairns, Queensland 4878, Australia

<sup>k</sup> Center for Malaysian Indigenous Studies, University Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>l</sup> Fauna & Flora International, Indonesia Programme, Jakarta 12550, Indonesia

<sup>m</sup> Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Chatuchak, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>n</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Malaysia Program, Kelana Jaya, Petaling Jaya 47301, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>o</sup> Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, PO Box 110430, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

<sup>p</sup> Taman Bukit Jaya, Ampang 68000, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>q</sup> Mpala Research Centre and the Wildlife Conservation Society, PO Box 555, Nanyuki, Kenya

<sup>r</sup> Jl. Bioteknologi No.2, Kampus USU, Medan 20155, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

<sup>s</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, Bronx, NY 10460, USA

<sup>t</sup> Nature and Wildlife Conservation Division, Forest Department, Ministry of Environmental Conservation and Forestry, Naypitaw, Myanmar

<sup>u</sup> King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, School of Bioresources and Technology, Bang Khun Tien, Bangkok, Thailand

<sup>v</sup> Department of Biology, University of Andalas, West Sumatra 25163, Indonesia

<sup>w</sup> World Wildlife Fund, Indonesia Program, Komplek Perkantoran Grand Sudirman, Pekanbaru 28282, Indonesia

<sup>x</sup> World Wildlife Fund, Malaysia Program, Petaling Jaya 47400, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>y</sup> World Wildlife Fund, Thailand Program, Phayathai, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

<sup>z</sup> Tanjung Morawa, Medan 20362, North Sumatra, Indonesia

<sup>aa</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Thailand Program, Pakkred, Nonthaburi, Thailand

<sup>ab</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Indonesia Program, Jl. Atletik, No. 8, Bogor, Indonesia

<sup>ac</sup> World Wildlife Fund, Malaysia Program, Taman SEA, Petaling Jaya 47400, Selangor, Malaysia

\* Corresponding author at: Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent, Canterbury CT2 7NR, United Kingdom. Tel.: +62 81360334177.

E-mail addresses: [matthew.linkie@fauna-flora.org](mailto:matthew.linkie@fauna-flora.org) (M. Linkie), [gurutzeta.guillera@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:gurutzeta.guillera@unimelb.edu.au) (G. Guillera-Arroita), [jsmith@panthera.org](mailto:jsmith@panthera.org) (J. Smith), [aario@conservation.org](mailto:aario@conservation.org) (A. Ario), [3615gregoire@gmail.com](mailto:3615gregoire@gmail.com) (G. Bertagnolio), [perancis@yahoo.com](mailto:perancis@yahoo.com) (F. Cheong), [reuben.clements@my.jcu.edu.au](mailto:reuben.clements@my.jcu.edu.au) (G.R. Clements), [yoan7dinata@gmail.com](mailto:yoan7dinata@gmail.com) (Y. Dinata), [thad\\_dc@hotmail.com](mailto:thad_dc@hotmail.com) (S. Duangchantrasiri), [gabriella.fredriksson@gmail.com](mailto:gabriella.fredriksson@gmail.com) (G. Fredriksson), [mgumal@wcs.org](mailto:mgumal@wcs.org) (M.T. Gumal), [shneoliang@wcs.org](mailto:shneoliang@wcs.org) (L.S. Horng), [kae.kawanishi@gmail.com](mailto:kae.kawanishi@gmail.com) (K. Kawanishi), [fha\\_rizther@yahoo.com](mailto:fha_rizther@yahoo.com) (F.R. Khakim), [mkinnaird@mpala.org](mailto:mkinnaird@mpala.org) (M.F. Kinnaird), [dedi.kiswayadi@gmail.com](mailto:dedi.kiswayadi@gmail.com) (D. Kiswayadi), [pranaja12@yahoo.com](mailto:pranaja12@yahoo.com) (A.H. Lubis), [tlynam@wcs.org](mailto:tlynam@wcs.org) (A.J. Lynam), [maryati.m@gmail.com](mailto:maryati.m@gmail.com) (Maryati), [nwcd.fd@moecef.gov.mm](mailto:nwcd.fd@moecef.gov.mm) (M. Maung), [ndusit@gmail.com](mailto:ndusit@gmail.com) (D. Ngoprasert), [wilson\\_n\\_id@yahoo.com](mailto:wilson_n_id@yahoo.com) (W. Novarino), [tobrien@wcs.org](mailto:tobrien@wcs.org) (T.G. O'Brien), [mila.redkani@gmail.com](mailto:mila.redkani@gmail.com) (K. Parakkasi), [helga.peters@gmail.com](mailto:helga.peters@gmail.com) (H. Peters), [Dolly.Priatna@zsl.org](mailto:Dolly.Priatna@zsl.org) (D. Priatna), [markrayan78@gmail.com](mailto:markrayan78@gmail.com) (D. Rayan), [por\\_seua@hotmail.com](mailto:por_seua@hotmail.com) (N. Seaturien), [nwcd.fd@moecef.gov.mm](mailto:nwcd.fd@moecef.gov.mm) (N.M. Shwe), [roberts@wwfgreatermekong.org](mailto:roberts@wwfgreatermekong.org) (R. Steinmetz), [sugesti\\_marif@yahoo.com.sg](mailto:sugesti_marif@yahoo.com.sg) (A.M. Sugesti), [s.sunarto@yahoo.com](mailto:s.sunarto@yahoo.com) (Sunarto), [sunquist@ufl.edu](mailto:sunquist@ufl.edu) (M.E. Sunquist), [mumponjan@wcs.org](mailto:mumponjan@wcs.org) (M. Umponjan), [beebach66@yahoo.com](mailto:beebach66@yahoo.com) (H.T. Wibisono), [cwong@wwf.org.my](mailto:cwong@wwf.org.my) (C.C.T. Wong), [omen\\_018@yahoo.com](mailto:omen_018@yahoo.com) (Zulfahmi).

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 7 November 2012  
 Received in revised form 29 March 2013  
 Accepted 31 March 2013  
 Available online 15 May 2013

## Keywords:

Camera trap  
 Deforestation  
 Detection probability  
 Human footprint  
 Large-bodied mammal  
 Species distribution  
 Tropics

## ABSTRACT

The loss and fragmentation of substantial areas of forest habitat, in combination with rampant hunting, has pushed many of Southeast Asia's megafauna species to the verge of extinction. However, the extent of these declines is rarely quantified, thereby weakening lessons learned and species-based management. This need not be the case as a proliferation of camera trap surveys for large-bodied mammals across Southeast Asia, which use a standardized sampling technique, presents a rich yet under-utilized wildlife data set. Furthermore, advances in statistical techniques for assessing species distribution provide new opportunities for conducting comparative regional analyses. Here, we focus on one of Southeast Asia's least known species of megafauna, the Endangered Asian tapir (*Tapirus indicus*), to investigate the performance of a camera trap-based spatial modeling approach in conducting a range-wide species assessment. Detection data were collectively collated from 52,904 trap days and 1,128 camera traps located across 19 study areas drawn from the Asian tapir's entire range. Considerable variation in tapir occurrence was found between study areas in: Malaysia (0.52–0.77); Sumatra, Indonesia (0.12–0.90); Thailand (0.00–0.65); and, Myanmar (0.00–0.26), with generally good levels of estimate precision. Although tapirs were widespread (recorded in 17 of the 19 study areas), their occurrence was significantly and negatively correlated with human disturbance. Thus, this study extends the previously known applicability of camera traps to include a threatened and cryptic species by identifying where and how tapirs persist (including new records of occurrence), where future surveys should be conducted and providing a benchmark for measuring future conservation management efforts.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Large-bodied mammals are threatened throughout Southeast Asia. Over 10% of their forest habitat has been lost and fragmented since 2000 thereby increasing access for hunters of wildlife (Miettinen et al., 2011). In combination, deforestation and poaching have had a devastating effect on the region's megafauna (Clements et al., 2010; Corlett, 2007). For example, the Javan rhino (*Rhinoceros sondaicus*) was extirpated across most of its range from India to China to Java, due to the loss of its lowland habitats and intensive illegal hunting for its prized horn. In 2011, the species was declared extinct from Vietnam, leaving behind the last remaining population in Ujung Kulon National Park in Java (Brook et al., 2011). Likewise, Sumatran rhino (*Dicerorhinus sumatrensis*) populations have been decimated across mainland Southeast Asia, including from a former stronghold, the 13,300 km<sup>2</sup> UNESCO World Heritage Site of Kerinci Seblat National Park in Indonesia (Zafir et al., 2011). Furthermore, weak to non-existent law enforcement has strongly contributed to the loss of guilds of other large-bodied mammal species from several Southeast Asian countries, such as Cambodia and Vietnam (Bennett, 2011).

The ability of Southeast Asia's megafauna to recover from unremitting hunting pressures is complicated by their generally slow reproductive rates and heightened sensitivities to human disturbances, such as forest habitat conversion (Kinnaird et al., 2003). Also, the rapid clearance and accompanying fragmentation of forest habitats across Southeast Asia, especially for oil palm cultivation (Fitzherbert et al., 2008), has had a disproportionate impact on those species with large home range requirements, such as the tiger (Wibisono et al., 2011). This situation is exacerbated as wildlife comes into closer contact and ultimately greater conflict with people. For example, the Sumatran elephant (*Elephas maximus sumatranus*) was recently placed on the IUCN Red List as Critically Endangered due to the severity of its habitat loss, hunting and retaliatory killings arising from crop-raiding (IUCN, 2012).

A fundamental requirement for protecting increasingly threatened megafauna species and populations in tropical landscapes is robust law enforcement (Leader-Williams and Milner-Gulland, 1993). Integral to this, is a clear understanding of the response of different species to this and other types of management intervention (Clements et al., 2010). Surprisingly few studies have explored the effect of physical and anthropogenic threat covariates or their proxies, such as roads, on Southeast Asia's megafauna (Rood et al., 2010; Linkie et al., 2006). As important, range-wide assessments

are typically limited by a lack of comparable data sets that are confounded by different approaches to data collection and/or the shy and secretive nature of the focal species that makes it difficult to survey in the first place. However, this is changing due to the proliferation of camera trapping and recent advances in occupancy modeling techniques.

The now widespread use of camera traps for monitoring large-bodied mammals in Southeast Asia has, for the majority of recent work, been conducted according to a standardized monitoring protocol that was originally developed for estimating tiger abundance (Karanth and Nichols, 1998). Here, camera traps are placed along trails that are typically favoured by tigers, such as ridges and undistributed dirt tracks, to increase species detection probabilities. These trails are also favoured by many other large-bodied mammals that would otherwise have difficulties moving through the understorey, especially in the dense humid evergreen forests of Southeast Asia. Thus, a rich yet under-utilized wildlife data set exists on many of the region's poorly studied species, which are not a primary target within the respective camera trapping projects and therefore whose data are unlikely to be analysed.

Next, through use of the robust capture-mark-recapture sampling framework, the statistical advances in distribution analyses now enable imperfect species detection to be explicitly accounted for (MacKenzie et al., 2005). In turn, this has progressed wildlife population studies beyond using a presence/absence approach, which assumes detection probability to be perfect. Thus, new opportunities exist for using camera trap data to assess the status of cryptic, threatened and/or data deficient species that were previously difficult to detect. This has been conducted for species, such as sun bears *Helarctos malayanus*, within a single landscape and holds much promise (Linkie, 2008; Wong et al., 2013). However, how this spatially explicit modeling approach performs for conducting a regional assessment remains untested, but is highly relevant for reliably assessing the conservation status of many of Southeast Asia's megafauna species.

In this study, we focus on one of Southeast Asia's least studied megafauna species, the Asian tapir, to assess the potential of camera trapping as a method that can significantly advance the science and practice of conserving cryptic and poorly studied wildlife. The Asian tapir makes an ideal case study because previous assessments have relied heavily upon expert knowledge or have pooled different types of survey data for which it was not possible to control for varying detection probabilities (Clements et al., 2012; Lyman et al., 2012; Medici et al., 2003; Shwe and Lyman, 2012)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6300523>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6300523>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)