ARTICLE IN PRESS

Environmental Pollution xxx (2013) 1-5

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environmental Pollution

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envpol



Short communication

Microplastic pollution in deep-sea sediments

Lisbeth Van Cauwenberghe^{a,*}, Ann Vanreusel^b, Jan Mees^{b,c}, Colin R. Janssen^a

^a Laboratory of Environmental Toxicology and Aquatic Ecology, Ghent University, Jozef Plateaustraat 22, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
^b Biology Department, Marine Biology Research Group, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281/S8, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
^c Flanders Marine Institute (VLIZ), Wandelaarkaai 7, 8400 Oostende, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 July 2013 Received in revised form 5 August 2013 Accepted 20 August 2013

Keywords: Microplastics Deep sea Sediment Pollution

ABSTRACT

Microplastics are small plastic particles (<1 mm) originating from the degradation of larger plastic debris. These microplastics have been accumulating in the marine environment for decades and have been detected throughout the water column and in sublittoral and beach sediments worldwide. However, up to now, it has never been established whether microplastic presence in sediments is limited to accumulation hot spots such as the continental shelf, or whether they are also present in deep-sea sediments. Here we show, for the first time ever, that microplastics have indeed reached the most remote of marine environments: the deep sea. We found plastic particles sized in the micrometre range in deep-sea sediments collected at four locations representing different deep-sea habitats ranging in depth from 1100 to 5000 m. Our results demonstrate that microplastic pollution has spread throughout the world's seas and oceans, into the remote and largely unknown deep sea.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The world's seas and oceans are subjected to different kinds of threats of which the accumulation of anthropogenic debris is a major and worldwide problem that has been an environmental concern for decades. Despite the increased international attention, the build-up of these materials in the environment is considered problematic due to the increasing global plastic production (280 million tons y^{-1} in 2011 (PlasticsEurope, 2012)) and the continuing improper disposal of plastic waste. In the last decade it has been demonstrated that in the marine environment such large plastic items will break up into smaller fragments (Andrady, 2011; Cole et al., 2011) with dimensions as small as a few micrometre, the so called microplastics. Moreover, additional sources of microplastics have been identified: microplastic particles present in cosmetics (Fendall and Sewell, 2009) and microplastic fibres from fabrics such as polyester and polyamide (Browne et al., 2011; Dubaish and Liebezeit, 2013) present in domestic wastewater are not retained during sewage treatment and can thus enter the marine environment.

Many authors have defined microplastics as particles smaller than 5 mm (Arthur et al., 2009), while others have set the upper size limit at 1 mm (Claessens et al., 2011). While the value of 5 mm

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: Lisbeth.VanCauwenberghe@UGent.be (L. Van Cauwenberghe).

0269-7491/\$ – see front matter @ 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.08.013 is more commonly used, 1 mm is a more intuitive value (i.e. 'micro' refers to the micrometre range). Most plastic present in the marine environment fits these small size classes. For instance, plastic particles <1 mm accounted for 65% of all marine debris items collected on beaches in the Tamar Estuary (U.K.) (Browne et al., 2010). Because of these small dimensions, microplastics become available for ingestion by organisms commonly not affected by the larger marine debris. Short-term laboratory trails have shown that marine invertebrates, representing different feeding strategies, can ingest these microscopic plastic particles. Polychaetes, bivalves, echinoderms and copepods will all, in at least one life stage, take up microplastics from the environment (Cole et al., 2013; Graham and Thompson, 2009; Thompson et al., 2004; Ward and Shumway, 2004). Once ingested, these microplastics can either be eliminated through defecation or retained in the tissues of the exposed animals (called translocation) (Browne et al., 2008; von Moos et al., 2012). Since there is a data gap concerning chronic effects of microplastic exposure, the implications of microplastic contamination in the marine environment are, to date, unknown.

Microplastics have been reported in the water column and marine sediments worldwide (Claessens et al., 2011; Law et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2001; Thompson et al., 2004), from low, background concentrations of 3 particles m^{-3} in water (Doyle et al., 2011) and 8 particles kg^{-1} in sediment (Thompson et al., 2004), to very high, hot-spot concentrations of 102 000 particles m^{-3} in water (Norén and Naustvoll, 2010) and 621 000 particles kg^{-1} in sediments (Liebezeit and Dubaish, 2012). The observed sediment

Please cite this article in press as: Van Cauwenberghe, L., et al., Microplastic pollution in deep-sea sediments, Environmental Pollution (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.08.013

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Van Cauwenberghe et al. / Environmental Pollution xxx (2013) 1-5

Table 1

	Details on sampling	locations and	sampling stations.	including same	ple collection method
--	---------------------	---------------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------------

Sampling location	Geographical location	Station number	Latitude (decimal)	Longitude (decimal)	Depth (m)	Sample collection
Polar front	Southern Ocean	1	53°0.67′S	10° 3.00' E	4230	Multicorer
		2	51°59.98′S	7°59.99′W	2749	
		3	49°33.81′S	38°24.27′W	4881	
Porcupine Abyssal Plain	North Atlantic Ocean	1	48°49.60'N	16°29.68′W	4842	Multicorer
		2	48°49.77'N	16°28.90'W	4843	
		3	48°49.41′N	16°29.85′W	4844	
Distal lobe of Congo Canyon	Gulf of Guinea	1	6°25.20′S	5°29.40′W	4785	ROV Victor 6000
		2	6°25.20′S	5°29.40′W	4785	
		3	6°25.20′S	5°29.40′W	4785	
Nile Deep Sea Fan	Mediterranean Sea	1	32°22.9′N	31°43.13′E	1176	Multicorer
		2	32°22.9′N	31°43.13′E	1176	

concentrations all originate from sites located on the continental shelf. However, accumulation zones of floating plastic debris and associated microplastics, the so-called garbage patches, are located far from any continental margin. Hence, the question arises whether the degradation products of larger marine debris are present in deep-sea sediments as well, since surface particulate material can be rapidly exported to abyssal depths.

Here, we investigate the presence of microplastics in one of the most pristine of marine environments: the deep sea. To explore the hypothesis that microplastics have entered the deep sea, sediment samples from deep-sea locations worldwide were analysed for the presence of microplastics by means of a new and highly efficient extraction technique using a high density salt solution.



Fig. 1. Locations of the sites sampled and microplastics recovered from the deep sea sediments. Three locations in the Atlantic Ocean (Porcupine Abyssal Plain, Lobe of Congo Canyon and Atlantic sector of the Southern ocean) and one location in the Mediterranean Sea (Nile Deep Sea Fan) were sampled. Microplastics (see inserted photos) were detected in the top centimetre of sediments from three of the four sampled locations (Scale bar represents 100 μ m).

2. Materials & methods

Microplastic extractions were performed on 11 sediments samples originating from several locations in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea ranging in depth from 1176 to 4844 m (see Table 1). These deep sea systems represent different marine environments. The three sampling stations in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean, off the polar front were representative for a pristine environment, since the sea floor of this remote location is still largely unexplored and assumed void of pollution. In the Northern Atlantic Ocean, the Porcupine Abyssal Plain was sampled. This site is characterised by large seasonal variations in the flux of particulate organic matter (POM) derived from surface production (Lampitt et al., 2001). The distal lobe of the Congo Canyon (Gulf of Guinea, South Atlantic Ocean) is fuelled by organic matter delivered by one of the world's largest rivers, the Congo River (Khripounoff et al., 2003). The last sampling location is shallower and situated off the Nile Deep Sea Fan adjacent to the Amon Mud volcano in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. This is an area on the Egyptian margin characterised by strong sedimentation, and influenced by the burial of thick accumulations of organic-rich sediments and the formation of hydrocarbons (Felden et al., 2013).

Each time a surface area of 25 cm² was sampled. Immediately after recovery, the cores were cut into horizontal slices by extruding it into a ring and slicing the sediment with a metal plate. The cores were cut into 1-cm-thick slices. Only the top centimetre of the sediment cores were analysed for the presence of microplastics. The extraction was performed according to Claessens et al. (2013), with minor modifications. Since the deep-sea sediment core samples represented only a small volume (25 cm³), no volume reduction of the sample by elutriation was performed. Instead, sediment samples were wet sieved, first on a 1 mm mesh sieve and subsequently on a 35 μ m mesh sieve. The fraction remaining on the 35 μ m sieve was used for a further extraction based on density flotation using sodium iodide (density: 1.6 g cm⁻³), as described in Claessens et al. (2013). The entire extraction process was performed with instruments that were rinsed with filtered deionised water (0.8 μ m membrane filter, GelmanScience) and in a clean fume hood, in order to prevent contamination with airborne microplastics.

The particles that were classified as possible microplastics were analysed using micro-Raman spectroscopy. The spectra of the particles were recorded with a Bruker Optics 'Senterra' dispersive Raman spectrometer coupled with an Olympus BX51 microscope. The system used a thermoelectrically cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) detector. The instrument was controlled via the OPUS 6.5.6 software.

3. Results & discussion

In three of the four locations studied, microplastics were recovered from the top 1 cm of the sediment samples (see Fig. 1). In total, five particles were identified as possible microplastics: 1 particle originating from the Nile Deep Sea Fan, 1 from the Southern ocean, and three particles from the Porcupine Abyssal Plain. No

Table 2

Dimensions of the five microplastics recovered from the deep-sea sediment samples.

Sampling location	Depth (m)	Length (µm)	Width (µm)
Southern Ocean	2749	118	60
Nile Deep Sea Fan	1176	75	53
Porcupine Abyssal Plain	4842	161	137
Porcupine Abyssal Plain	4842	83	44
Porcupine Abyssal Plain	4843	125	76

Please cite this article in press as: Van Cauwenberghe, L., et al., Microplastic pollution in deep-sea sediments, Environmental Pollution (2013), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.08.013 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6317160

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6317160

Daneshyari.com