

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Science of the Total Environment



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

# Differential effects of ozone on photosynthesis of winter wheat among cultivars depend on antioxidative enzymes rather than stomatal conductance



# Zhaozhong Feng<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Liang Wang<sup>a</sup>, Håkan Pleijel<sup>c</sup>, Jianguo Zhu<sup>d</sup>, Kazuhiko Kobayashi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shuangqing Road 18, Haidian District, Beijing 100085, China

+[O<sub>3</sub>]

<sup>b</sup> Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, P.O. Box 461, 40530 Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>d</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

## HIGHLIGHTS

## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- 5 modern cultivars of wheat were investigated under fully open-air field conditions.
- Significant O<sub>3</sub> effects were only found during the mid-grain filling stage.
- The lower photosynthetic rates were mainly due to nonstomatal factors.
- Antioxidative enzymes contributed to the differential response to E-O<sub>3</sub> among cultivars.

## ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 10 May 2016 Received in revised form 11 August 2016 Accepted 12 August 2016 Available online xxxx

Editor: Elena PAOLETTI

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Air pollution Antioxidant system Gas exchange Ozone Photosynthesis Wheat Five modern cultivars of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): Yangmai16 (Y16), Yangmai 15 (Y15), Yangfumai 2 (Y2), Yannong 19 (Y19) and Jiaxing 002 (J2) were investigated to determine the impacts of elevated ozone concentration (E-O<sub>3</sub>) on photosynthesis-related parameters and the antioxidant system under fully open-air field conditions in China. The plants were exposed to E-O<sub>3</sub> at 1.5 times the ambient ozone concentration (A-O<sub>3</sub>) from the initiation of tillering to final harvest. Pigments, gas exchange rates, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence, antioxidants contents, antioxidative enzyme activity and lipid oxidation were measured in three replicated plots throughout flag leaf development. Results showed that significant O<sub>3</sub> effects on most variables were only found during the mid-grain filling stage. Across five cultivars, E-O<sub>3</sub> significantly accelerated leaf senescence, as indicated by increased lipid oxidation as well as faster declines in pigment amounts and photosynthetic rates. The lower photosynthetic rates were mainly due to non-stomatal factors, e.g. lower maximum carboxylation capacity and electron transport rates. There were strong interactions between O<sub>3</sub> and cultivar in photosynthesis rate and chlorophyll a fluorescence with O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive (Y19, Y2 and Y15) and O<sub>3</sub>-tolerant (J2, Y16) cultivars being clearly differentiated in their responses to E-O<sub>3</sub>. E-O<sub>3</sub> significantly influenced the antioxidative enzymes but not antioxidant contents. Significant interactions between O<sub>3</sub> and

\* Corresponding author at: Shuangqing Road 18, Haidian District, Beijing 100085, China. *E-mail address:* fzz@rcees.ac.cn (Z. Feng). cultivar were found in antioxidative enzymes, such as SOD and CAT, but not in stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$ . Therefore, it can be concluded that antioxidative enzymes rather than  $g_s$  or antioxidants are responsible for the differential responses to E-O<sub>3</sub> among cultivars. These findings provide important information for the development of accurate modeling O<sub>3</sub> effects on crops, especially with respect to the developmental stage when O<sub>3</sub> damage to photosynthesis becomes manifest.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Ground-level ozone  $(O_3)$  is the most important phytotoxic air pollutant at global scale with adverse impacts on terrestrial vegetation (Ashmore, 2005; Booker et al., 2009; Bytnerowicz et al., 2007; Feng and Kobayashi, 2009; Feng et al., 2014, 2015; Van Dingenen et al., 2009). A meta-analysis based on numerous chamber studies revealed that elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentration (average 73 ppb) reduced leaf photosynthesis and grain yield of wheat by 20% and 29%, respectively, as compared with plants grown in carbon-filtered air (Feng et al., 2008). The grain filling period is the most sensitive developmental period to  $O_{3}$ , during which the decreased photosynthesis induced by elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentration appears to be the key driver for the yield loss (Gelang et al., 2000; Pleijel et al., 1998). Although the photosynthetic rate in saturating light  $(A_{sat})$  is often co-limited by stomatal and biochemical factors under field conditions, many scientists argued that the central biochemical process rather than the stomatal limitation  $(L_s)$  of  $A_{sat}$  was the main cause for the O<sub>3</sub>-induced reduction in photosynthesis (Farage and Long, 1999; Feng et al., 2011; Fiscus et al., 1997; Morgan et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2002). Among the non-stomatal factors, maximum carboxylation  $(V_{\rm cmax})$ , reflecting in vivo Rubisco activity, as well as the maximum rate of RuBP regeneration  $(J_{max})$  have been reported to play the most important role (Farage and Long, 1999; Fiscus et al., 2005; Morgan et al., 2004; Sun et al., 2014). Other important physiological responses reported to be affected by O<sub>3</sub> include the changes in chlorophyll a fluorescence variables such as reduction in quenching of photochemical efficiency (qP) and quantum yield of PSII in the light (PhiPS2) (Feng et al., 2011), as well as endogenous antioxidant metabolism (Inada et al., 2012).

Many studies have been done to clarify the mechanism of plant defense to  $O_3$  damage in relation to detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Dizengremel et al., 2008; Paoletti et al., 2008), and reported that the responses of antioxidant metabolism varied by species/ cultivars, depending on the concentrations of  $O_3$  and the duration of the fumigation, e.g. acute vs. chronic ozone exposure (Baier et al., 2005; Betzelberger et al., 2010; Burkey et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2011; Kollist et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2014a, 2014b). In general, acute exposure to relatively high  $O_3$  concentrations often caused leaf lesions resulting from programmed cell death associated with plant-pathogen

Table 1

ANOVA results for the photosynthesis related parameters measured across the measurements at heading, flowering, early-grain filling, and mid-grain filling stages.

Variables	03	Cultivar (C)	Date (D)	0 <sub>3</sub> *C	0 <sub>3</sub> *D	0 <sub>3</sub> *C*D
A <sub>sat</sub>	0.293	0.017	< 0.001	0.546	< 0.001	0.001
Ci	0.720	0.041	< 0.001	0.369	< 0.001	< 0.001
gs	0.395	0.061	< 0.001	0.383	0.001	0.171
V <sub>cmax</sub>	0.986	0.001	< 0.001	0.601	< 0.001	< 0.001
J <sub>max</sub>	0.478	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.661	< 0.001	0.003
Ls	0.609	0.005	< 0.001	0.089	< 0.001	0.041
$V_{\rm cmax}/J_{\rm max}$	0.616	0.012	0.001	0.456	< 0.001	< 0.001
Fv'/Fm'	0.128	0.054	< 0.001	0.916	< 0.001	0.001
PhiPS2	0.260	0.003	< 0.001	0.226	< 0.001	0.003
PhiCO <sub>2</sub>	0.129	0.901	< 0.001	0.624	< 0.001	< 0.001
qP	0.651	0.002	< 0.001	0.144	< 0.001	0.003
Chl	0.695	0.154	< 0.001	0.803	< 0.001	< 0.001
Car	0.852	0.447	< 0.001	0.993	< 0.001	0.007

interaction (Kangasjarvi et al., 2005; Overmyer et al., 2003; Rao et al., 2000) and induces an increase in antioxidant metabolism (Conklin and Barth, 2004; Kangasjarvi et al., 1994). The chronic exposure to relatively low O<sub>3</sub> concentrations always induces declined photosynthesis along with accelerated leaf senescence and consequently reductions in productivity and yield (Ashmore, 2005; Burkart et al., 2013; Feng and Kobayashi, 2009; Feng et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011). Recent evidence showed an increased total antioxidant capacity of soybean plants when chronically exposed to the elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentration (Gillespie et al., 2011, 2012). These authors also suggested that the antioxidant metabolism was primed by previous exposure to oxidative stress, indicating the importance of the endogenous antioxidant metabolism in detoxifying ROS. However, the results from soybean plants contrast with earlier studies conducted in wheat in O<sub>3</sub>-FACE platform with two cultivars, which generally showed a declining trend of antioxidant pools and key enzymes in the apoplast and leaf tissues under O<sub>3</sub> stress (Feng et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2014a, 2014b).

In the present study, therefore, we examined the photosynthetic potential and antioxidant metabolism in the leaf tissues among five wheat cultivars in order to determine whether there are different responses to  $O_3$  among cultivars, and if so, which factors contribute to the differences. To this aim, we re-examined part of the data set presented in Feng et al. (2011) and compared them with new data obtained under the same experimental conditions.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Experiment site

The fully open-air O<sub>3</sub> fumigation system (O<sub>3</sub>-FACE) is located in a paddy field in Xiaoji Town, Jiangsu Province, China (119° 42′ E, 32° 35′ N) with continuous rice/wheat or rice/rapeseed rotation for > 1000 years. The soil is Shajiang Aquic Cambisols with a sandy-loamy texture. The site belongs to the subtropical marine climatic zone with mean annual precipitation and mean annual temperature being 1100–1200 mm and 16 °C, respectively. Total annual sunshine hour is >2000 h and frost-free period >230 days. During the growth period from 1st March (around turning green stage) to final harvest in this study, mean daily maximum and minimum temperature were 20.6 and 10.1 °C, respectively. Mean daily maximum photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was 1376 mmolm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and accumulated precipitation was 171 mm.

Table 2

ANOVA results for the antioxidant-related parameters across the measurements at flowering and mid-grain filling stages.

Variables	03	Cultivar (C)	Date (D)	0 <sub>3</sub> *C	$O_3^*D$	0 <sub>3</sub> *C*D
APX	0.351	0.010	0.001	0.335	0.035	0.319
POD	0.471	< 0.001	0.001	0.891	0.839	0.497
CAT	0.917	0.177	< 0.001	0.471	0.005	< 0.001
SOD	0.978	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.666	0.084	0.049
GSH	0.981	0.013	0.006	0.261	0.697	0.248
Phenolics	0.684	0.002	< 0.001	0.379	0.375	0.032
MDA	0.537	0.552	< 0.001	0.931	< 0.001	0.038
AsA	0.100	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.537	< 0.001	0.430

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6320619

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6320619

Daneshyari.com