



Recent developments in membranes for efficient hydrogen purification



Panyuan Li ^{a,b}, Zhi Wang ^{a,b,*}, Zhihua Qiao ^{a,b}, Yanni Liu ^{a,b}, Xiaochang Cao ^{a,b}, Wen Li ^{a,b}, Jixiao Wang ^{a,b}, Shichang Wang ^{a,b}

^a Chemical Engineering Research Center, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Weijin Road 92#, Nankai District, Tianjin 300072, PR China

^b Tianjin Key Laboratory of Membrane Science and Desalination Technology, State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 April 2015

Received in revised form

31 July 2015

Accepted 2 August 2015

Available online 14 August 2015

Keywords:

Gas separation

Hydrogen purification

H₂-selective membranes

CO₂-selective membranes

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen has been extensively accepted as a clean and efficient energy carrier to alleviate the mounting global energy and environmental crisis. Therefore, an ever-increasing demand for high-quality hydrogen provides a strong driving force towards developing efficient hydrogen purification technologies. Membrane-based gas separation technology for hydrogen purification has attracted considerable attention owing to the inherent advantages over other conventional separation techniques. Benefited from the booming development of chemical science, materials science and membrane science, an increasing number of advanced membrane materials and membranes have been developed for hydrogen purification in recent years. This review primarily focuses on the latest developments in design and fabrication of H₂-selective membranes and CO₂-selective membranes for hydrogen purification, and the comparison of H₂-selective membranes and CO₂-selective membranes will be briefly discussed. In addition, future direction to further explore energy-efficient membranes for hydrogen purification will be presented for discussion. It is anticipated that the present review will provide the guidance for the future research and development of membrane materials and membranes for hydrogen purification, and hence promote the development of sustainable and clean hydrogen energy.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	131
2. General background of gas separation membranes	132
3. Advances in H ₂ -selective membranes	133
3.1. Dense metallic membranes	133
3.2. Microporous inorganic membranes	134
3.2.1. Zeolite membranes	134
3.2.2. Silica membranes	136

Abbreviations: WGS, water gas shift; AIPO, aluminophosphate; SAPO, silicoaluminophosphate; PES, polyethersulfone; APTEs, 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane; DIC-4, 1,4-diisocyanate; CPTMS, 3-chloropropyltrimethoxysilane; CCD, catalytic cracking deposition; MDES, methyl-diethoxysilane; TEOS, tetraethylorthosilicate; CMS, carbon molecular sieve; OMs, oxometalates; POMs, polyoxometalates; PSf, polysulfone; PAN, polyacrylonitrile; PVDF, polyvinylidene fluoride; DOP, dopamine; PDA, polydopamine; LDH, layered double hydroxide; PI, polyimide; PBI, polybenzimidazole; 6FDA, hexafluoroisopropylidene-diphthalic anhydride; TR-PBO, TR-polybenzoxazole; PAHs, poly(hydroxylamide)s; PAA, poly(acrylic acid); PEI, polyethylenimine; PMMA, poly(methyl methacrylate); PVP, poly(vinylpyrrolidone); SBI, spirobisindane; SBF, spirobifluorene; EA, ethanoanthracene; Trip, triptycene; TB, Troger's base; PAF, porous aromatic framework; PTMSP, poly(trimethylsilylpropyne); PMP, poly(4-methyl-2-pentyne); PEO, poly(ethylene oxide); PEG, poly(ethylene glycol); PEGDA, poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate; PEGA, poly(ethylene glycol) acrylate; PEGMEA, poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether acrylate; PEGDMA, poly(ethylene glycol) dimethacrylate; PEO-PI, PEO-polyimide; PEO-PA, PEO-polyamide; PEO-PBT, PEO-poly(butylene terephthalate); PEO-PTT, PEO-poly(trimethylene terephthalate); T6T6T, tetra-amide; PEO-PSf, PEO-polysulfone; PEG-DME, polyethylene glycol dimethyl ether; GTA, glycerol triacetate; PEG-DBE, polyethylene glycol dibutylether; TMC, trimethyl chloride; DGBAmE, diethylene glycol bis(3-aminopropyl) ether; DAmPEG, diamino polyethylene glycol; RTILs, room temperature ionic liquids; PILs, poly(ionic liquid)s; TSILs, task-specific ionic liquids; PVAm, polyvinylamine; PAAm, polyallylamine; PAMAM, polyamidoamine; EDA, ethylenediamine; PIP, piperazine; MEA, monoethanolamine; MC, methylcarbamate; PANI, polyaniline; POSS, polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxane; MWNTs, multi-walled carbon nanotubes; HT, hydrotalcite

* Corresponding author at: Chemical Engineering Research Center, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Weijin Road 92#, Nankai District, Tianjin 300072, PR China. Fax: +86 22 27404496.

E-mail address: wangzhi@tju.edu.cn (Z. Wang).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.08.010>

0376-7388/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

3.2.3.	Carbon-based membranes	137
3.3.	MOF membranes	138
3.3.1.	In situ growth	139
3.3.2.	Secondary growth	140
3.3.3.	Structure optimization during the synthesis and post-synthesis modification	140
3.4.	H ₂ -selective polymeric membranes	141
3.4.1.	Polyimide-based membranes	142
3.4.2.	Polybenzimidazole-based membranes	142
3.4.3.	Thermally rearranged (TR) polymer-based membranes	142
3.4.4.	Polyelectrolyte multilayers membranes	144
3.5.	H ₂ -selective mixed matrix membranes	144
3.6.	Comparison of H ₂ -selective membranes	145
4.	Advances in CO ₂ -selective membranes	146
4.1.	Microporous membranes based on CO ₂ preferential sorption	146
4.2.	Polymers of intrinsic microporosity-based membranes	147
4.3.	CO ₂ -philic polymeric membranes	150
4.3.1.	PEO-based membrane	150
4.3.2.	Ionic liquid-based membranes	153
4.4.	Facilitated transport membranes	154
4.5.	CO ₂ -selective mixed matrix membranes	158
4.5.1.	PEO-based MMMs	158
4.5.2.	Facilitated transport MMMs	159
4.6.	Comparison of CO ₂ -selective membranes	160
5.	Comparison of H ₂ -selective membranes and CO ₂ -selective membranes	161
6.	Conclusion and outlook	162
	Acknowledgments	163
	Appendix A. Supplementary Information	163
	References	163

1. Introduction

Sustainable and clean energy development has become a major global issue in terms of the world's energy shortage and environmental problem. However, fossil fuels are still expected to be the predominant resource of energy by preference in the near term (next 5–20 years or even more) despite that an increasing number of renewable energies have received considerable attention over the several decades. Therefore, it is of great importance and impendency to develop more efficient ways to utilize these limited fossil fuels for sustainable development. Hydrogen has been widely considered to be an attractive energy carrier and storage medium with high efficiency for developing a cost-effective, environmental-benign and sustainable energy system, because it possesses distinct advantages of high gravimetric energy density (1.43×10^8 J/kg) and low greenhouse gas emission [1]. In addition, hydrogen is an important feedstock with increasing demands for the chemical industries. Hence, about 53 million metric tons of hydrogen worldwide was produced annually, and the hydrogen market valued at \$88 billion in 2010 [2].

So far, hydrogen production is dominated by thermochemical processes, and about 96% hydrogen is generated from fossil fuels. In these hydrogen production processes, synthesis gas production is an intermediate step, and CO in synthesis gas could further react with water vapor via the water gas shift (WGS) reaction for enhancing H₂ yield. The shifted synthesis gas mainly consists of H₂ and CO₂, along with some minor contaminants such as CO, H₂S and CH₄. Generally, H₂ content in shifted synthesis gas varies from 60 vol% to 80 vol%, which depends on the quality of feedstock and process conditions. Moreover, biohydrogen production such as dark fermentation is a very promising alternative method to generate hydrogen, even if it contributes very limitedly to global hydrogen supply nowadays [3]. Similar to conventional thermochemical processes, the hydrogen product generated by biotechnological technique also contains some impurities (mainly CO₂). The produced H₂-rich gaseous mixture via various

mentioned production techniques is considered as raw H₂ product. However, it is difficult for raw H₂ product to meet the demands for purity in most cases [4]. For example, high-purity hydrogen (> 99.99 vol%) supply is a prerequisite for the success of fuel cell technology. Therefore, hydrogen purification is essential to satisfy the purity requirements of various potential applications, and it is an important issue for efficient hydrogen supply. Moreover, H₂-CO₂ separation is a key process in pre-combustion CO₂ capture for integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants, even though the required hydrogen purity for subsequent electricity generation is generally lower than that of aforementioned applications [2]. It should be noted that hydrogen possesses a very low volumetric energy density despite its aforementioned advantages over conventional liquid fuels. Hence, hydrogen storage is vital for the widespread utilization of hydrogen as well as hydrogen purification [5].

As a relatively new and rapidly developing technology, membrane technology exhibits inherent advantages of energy-efficiency, cost-effective and environmental compatibility compared to conventional separation techniques. Moreover, membrane technology can be readily coupled with other separation techniques to enhance the efficiency and economics of separation process. Nowadays, membrane technology has been widely used in water treatment, meanwhile it has also commercialized for air separation, natural gas sweetening and hydrogen recovery from ammonia purge gas [6]. With the rapid development of hydrogen economy and membrane science, membrane-based gas separation technology shows great potential for the hydrogen purification market as well. Great demands for high-quality hydrogen products provide the driving force for research and development of advanced membrane materials and membranes for hydrogen purification. Benefited from the remarkable progress in materials science over the past several decades, some conventional membrane materials have exhibited notably improved performances via structural optimization. In addition, an increasing number of advanced materials, such as metal organic frameworks (MOFs), graphene-based materials, thermal

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/632691>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/632691>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)