Contents lists available at ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Associations between exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and glucose homeostasis as well as metabolic syndrome in nondiabetic adults



Hui Hu^a, Haidong Kan^b, Gregory D. Kearney^c, Xiaohui Xu^{a,*}

^a Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health and Health Professional and College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, United States

^b Department of Environmental Health, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

^c Department of Public Health, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, United States

HIGHLIGHTS

· Exposure to PAHs is associated with impaired glucose homeostasis.

• PAHs is also associated with increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome.

• The effects of PAHs observed in this study warrant further investigation.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 April 2014 Received in revised form 5 September 2014 Accepted 26 September 2014 Available online xxxx

Editor: Lidia Morawska

Keywords: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) NHANES OH-PAHs Insulin resistance β-Cell function Metabolic syndrome

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are ubiquitous environmental toxic compounds formed from incomplete combustion of carbon-containing materials, cigarette smoking, and food cooking. The genotoxic effects of PAHs have been widely studied. However, their nongenotoxic effects such as their impacts on glucose and metabolic homeostasis have not been well examined.

Methods: We used the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES) 2001–2008 to investigate the associations between eight monohydroxy urinary metabolites of four PAHs and glucose homeostasis as well as metabolic syndrome in 1,878 nondiabetic participants aged 18 years or older.

Results: In linear regression models, increased level of 2-PHEN was significantly associated with increased insulin resistance (β coefficient 0.05 \pm 0.02), and increased concentrations of 3-FLUO (β coefficient -0.02 ± 0.01) were significantly associated with decreased β -cell function (all p < 0.05) after controlling for selected covariates. In addition, increased concentrations of 2-FLUO (OR = 1.25, 95% CI: 1.04–1.51), 1-PHEN (OR = 1.36, 95% CI: 1.09–1.70), and 2-PHEN (OR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.22–1.83) were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of the metabolic syndrome after adjusting for covariates. Consistent results were observed in the subgroup analysis among nonsmokers.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that environmental exposure to PAHs independent of cigarette smoking is associated with insulin resistance, β -cell dysfunction, and increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations: PAHs, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; T2DM, Type 2 diabetes mellitus; PM, Particulate matter; MetS, Metabolic syndrome; OH-PAHs, Monohydroxy urinary metabolites of PAHs; HOMA2, Updated Homeostasis Model Assessment; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; NCEP ATP III, National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guideline; BMI, Body mass index; MEC, Mobile examination center; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; HDL-C, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IR, Insulin resistance; 1-NAP, 1-Hydroxynaphthalene; 2-NAP, 2-Hydroxynaphthalene; 2-FLUO, 2-Hydroxyfluorene; 3-FLUO, 3-Hydroxyfluorene; 1-PHEN, 1-Hydroxyphenanthrene; 2-PHEN, 2-Hydroxyphenanthrene; 3-PHEN, 3-Hydroxyphenanthrene; 1-PYR, 1-Hydroxypyrene; PIR, Poverty income ratio; ORs, Odds ratios; CIs, Confidence intervals; AIC, Akaike information criterion; SE, Standard error; PPARs, Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors.

* Corresponding author at: PO Box 100231, Gainesville, FL 32610. Tel.: + 352 273 5362 (Office); fax: + 352 273 5365.

E-mail address: xhxu@phhp.ufl.edu (X. Xu).

1. Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are widely spread toxic compounds with fused aromatic rings, mainly generated by incomplete combustion of carbon-containing materials such as coal, fossil fuels, cigarette smoking, and food cooking (IARC, 1983; McGrath et al., 2007). Nonoccupational exposure sources of PAHs include airborne PAHs from air pollution, cigarette smoking, and PAH-containing food such as charred and broiled meat (Bentsen et al., 1998; Vanrooij et al., 1992). PAHs can bioaccumulate through the food chain because of its resistance to degradation (Mumtaz et al., 1996). Most studies studying the adverse health effects of PAHs have focused on increasing risks of chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and a variety of cancers (Burstyn et al., 2005; Cai et al., 2012; Gammon et al., 2002,

Table 1

Distribution of selected demographic characteristics and covariates by metabolic syndrome status and glucose homeostasis (n = 1878).

Characteristics	Metabolic syndrome					Glucose homeostasis							
Case		(n = 601)	Noncase ($n = 1277$)			Glucose		Log insulin		Log HOMA-IR		Log HOMA-B	
	N ^a	Percent (95% CI) ^b	N ^a	Percent (95% CI) ^b	р	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SE}^{c}$	р	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SE}^c$	р	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SE}^{c}$	р	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SE}^{c}$	р
<i>Gender</i> Male Female	299 302	50.5 (45.8–55.3) 49.5 (44.7–54.2)	680 597	50.2 (46.9–53.6) 49.8 (46.4–53.1)	0.91	$\begin{array}{c} 98.95 \pm 0.44 \\ 95.18 \pm 0.40 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 2.19\pm0.03\\ 2.12\pm0.03\end{array}$	0.05	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \pm 0.03 \\ -0.06 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 4.38\pm0.02\\ 4.41\pm0.02\end{array}$	0.30
<i>Race</i> Non-Hispanic White Non-Hispanic Black Hispanic and others	358 90 153	77.9 (72.6–83.1) 7.2 (5.2–9.3) 14.9 (10.2–19.7)	617 277 383	71.1 (67.0-75.2) 11.0 (8.7-13.3) 17.9 (14.6-21.2)	<0.01	$\begin{array}{l}97.32\pm0.39\\94.76\pm0.47\\97.38\pm0.51\end{array}$	0.39	$\begin{array}{c} 2.12 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.29 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.22 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.05 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.11 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.05 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 4.37 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.54 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.43 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01
Age 18-29 30-39 40-59 60+	56 63 235 247	8.5 (5.8–11.2) 12.7 (8.9–16.4) 48.8 (43.7–53.9) 30.0 (26.1–33.9)	456 244 355 222	28.6 (24.9–32.3) 23.4 (19.6–27.2) 35.6 (31.6–39.6) 12.3 (10.1–14.6)	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 93.00 \pm 0.50 \\ 95.07 \pm 0.55 \\ 98.45 \pm 0.55 \\ 101.30 \pm 0.46 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 2.14 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.17 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.18 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.11 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.89	$\begin{array}{c} -\ 0.05\ \pm\ 0.03\\ -\ 0.01\ \pm\ 0.04\\ 0.01\ \pm\ 0.03\\ -\ 0.05\ \pm\ 0.03\end{array}$	0.66	$\begin{array}{c} 4.47 \pm 0.03 \\ 4.44 \pm 0.03 \\ 4.39 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.28 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01
Education <high school<br="">High school >High school</high>	146 134 321	15.7 (13.0–18.4) 21.8 (17.3–26.2) 62.5 (57.6–67.3)	255 280 742	11.0 (9.0–13.0) 19.6 (16.4–22.9) 69.4 (65.8–72.9)	<0.01	$\begin{array}{l} 99.20\pm0.76\\ 97.70\pm0.61\\ 96.49\pm0.39\end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 2.23 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.18 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.13 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.00 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.04 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.02	$\begin{array}{c} 4.41 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.40 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.39 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	0.65
Poverty income ratio <1.0 1.0−2.0 ≥2.0	113 143 345	14.4 (10.1–18.8) 19.0 (15.5–22.4) 66.6 (62.2–71.1)	235 327 715	12.3 (9.9–14.6) 20.1 (17.2–23.0) 67.6 (64.0–71.2)	0.62	$\begin{array}{l}97.02\pm0.73\\97.23\pm0.53\\97.04\pm0.43\end{array}$	0.94	$\begin{array}{c} 2.26 \pm 0.05 \\ 2.11 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.15 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.16	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08 \pm 0.05 \\ - \ 0.06 \pm 0.03 \\ - \ 0.02 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	0.18	$\begin{array}{c} 4.47 \pm 0.03 \\ 4.36 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.39 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	0.13
Smoking Current smoker Former smoker Nonsmoker	126 185 290	23.6 (19.1–28.0) 27.8 (23.0–32.7) 48.6 (43.2–54.0)	355 246 675	31.0 (27.5–34.5) 20.9 (17.3–24.5) 48.1 (44.2–52.0)	0.03	$\begin{array}{l} 96.32 \pm 0.67 \\ 99.00 \pm 0.49 \\ 96.60 \pm 0.43 \end{array}$	0.96	$\begin{array}{c} 2.04 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.18 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.21 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.13 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.02 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.04 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 4.34 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.38 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.44 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01
Body mass index <25.0 25.0-29.9 ≥30.0	51 213 337	6.7 (4.2–9.2) 34.0 (29.5–38.6) 59.2 (53.9–64.5)	567 466 244	45.9 (42.2–49.7) 35.8 (32.2–39.4) 18.3 (16.0–20.5)	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 94.01 \pm 0.54 \\ 97.78 \pm 0.42 \\ 99.55 \pm 0.40 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 1.70 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.13 \pm 0.02 \\ 2.66 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.47 \pm 0.03 \\ -0.03 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.48 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 4.15 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.37 \pm 0.01 \\ 4.69 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01
Physical activity Low Moderate High	308 174 119	45.8 (40.6–51.0) 33.4 (27.9–39.0) 20.7 (16.1–25.4)	495 367 415	33.8 (30.6–36.9) 32.5 (28.6–36.4) 33.8 (29.9–37.7)	<0.01	$\begin{array}{l} 98.38 \pm 0.45 \\ 96.56 \pm 0.49 \\ 96.00 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 2.23 \pm 0.03 \\ 2.15 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.07 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \pm 0.03 \\ - \ 0.02 \pm 0.04 \\ - \ 0.10 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 4.42 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.41 \pm 0.03 \\ 4.36 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	0.01
Dietary saturated fatty Low Moderate High	acid i 221 194 186	ntake 33.1 (27.5–38.7) 32.0 (28.1–36.0) 34.8 (29.7–40.0)	405 432 440	28.4 (25.3–31.6) 35.3 (32.6–38.1) 36.2 (33.1–39.4)	0.29	$\begin{array}{l} 96.66 \pm 0.48 \\ 96.71 \pm 0.50 \\ 97.78 \pm 0.48 \end{array}$	0.08	$\begin{array}{c} 2.10\pm0.03\\ 2.10\pm0.04\\ 2.25\pm0.04 \end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.07\pm0.03\\ -0.07\pm0.04\\ 0.08\pm0.04\end{array}$	<0.01	$\begin{array}{c} 4.37 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.37 \pm 0.02 \\ 4.45 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	<0.01

^a Unweight N.

^b Weighted percent with 95% confidence limit.

^c Weighted mean with standard error.

2004; Grant, 2009; Karami et al., 2011; Rundle et al., 2000; Sagiv et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2010). Recently, several studies have suggested an association between ambient air pollution with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and the effects to potentially induce insulin resistance (Andersen et al., 2012; Brook et al., 2008; Coogan et al., 2012; Kramer et al., 2010; Pearson et al., 2010; Raaschou-Nielsen et al., 2013). Because air pollution and cigarette smoking are important sources of PAHs, both have been consistently linked to cardiometabolic diseases such as diabetes (Chang, 2012), the biological plausibility could suggest that PAHs may play an important role in the relationship between cigarette smoking, ambient air pollution, and cardiometabolic diseases. A recent study found that PAHs are associated with prevalence of diabetes among adults in the United States (Alshaarawy et al., 2014); however, the underlying mechanism for this relationship is largely unknown.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a clustering of interrelated metabolic risk factors including obesity, dyslipidemia, abnormal blood glucose, and raised blood pressure (Meigs, 2000). Its importance is increasingly becoming recognized due to its association with elevated risks of developing and aggravation of cardiometabolic disease such as CVD and diabetes (American Heart et al., 2005; Stern et al., 2004). In the U.S., approximately 34% to 40% of adults are diagnosed with MetS, according to different definition criteria (Cheung et al., 2006; Ervin, 2009). Abdominal obesity and insulin resistance are the predominant underlying risk factors for MetS (Carr et al., 2004; Ferrannini et al., 1991; Lemieux et al., 2000; Park et al., 2003; Reaven, 1988), while other associated conditions include physical inactivity and aging (Ford et al., 2002; Gustat et al., 2002). Insulin resistance, a state where there is reduced biological effect for any given concentration of insulin (Kahn, 1978; Matthaei et al., 2000), is also regarded as the essential cause of MetS (Reaven, 2004). On the other hand, pancreatic β -cell failure has been recently acknowledged as the triggering factor for the progression of pre-diabetic states to full-blown diabetes (Muoio and Newgard, 2008) and thus being recommended to be investigated along with insulin resistance when possible (Hectors et al., 2011). Given the dramatically rapid increases in the incidence of cardiometabolic diseases such as obesity and diabetes, genetic modifications in the population and traditional factors such as physical inactivity and excessive energy intake do not fully explain the worldwide explosive increase of these diseases (Baillie-Hamilton, 2002). The nongenotoxic effects of enduring environmental exposure to PAHs in the general population might be another way to understand the potential risks of metabolic syndrome, which may eventually lead to cardiometabolic diseases. PAHs' potential ability to induce insulin resistance and defective

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6327988

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6327988

Daneshyari.com