



Impact of a future H₂ transportation on atmospheric pollution in Europe



M.E. Popa^{a,*}, A.J. Segers^b, H.A.C. Denier van der Gon^b, M.C. Krol^{a,c}, A.J.H. Visschedijk^b,
M. Schaap^b, T. Röckmann^a

^a Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht (IMAU), Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

^b TNO, Department of Climate, Air and Sustainability, Utrecht, Netherlands

^c Meteorology and Air Quality (MAQ), Wageningen University, Wageningen, Netherlands

HIGHLIGHTS

- European air quality improves in the future due to emission regulations.
- When road traffic is converted to H₂, air quality improves further.
- H₂ leaked into the atmosphere does not have a large negative impact on air quality.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 December 2014

Received in revised form

5 March 2015

Accepted 9 March 2015

Available online 11 March 2015

Keywords:

Hydrogen vehicles

Road traffic

Air quality

Europe

ABSTRACT

Hydrogen (H₂) is being explored as a fuel for passenger vehicles; it can be used in fuel cells to power electric motors or burned in internal combustion engines. In order to evaluate the potential influence of a future H₂-based road transportation on the regional air quality in Europe, we implemented H₂ in the atmospheric transport and chemistry model LOTOS-EUROS. We simulated the present and future (2020) air quality, using emission scenarios with different proportions of H₂ vehicles and different H₂ leakage rates. The reference future scenario does not include H₂ vehicles, and assumes that all present and planned European regulations for emissions are fully implemented.

We find that, in general, the air quality in 2020 is significantly improved compared to the current situation in all scenarios, with and without H₂ cars. In the future scenario without H₂ cars, the pollution is reduced due to the strict European regulations: annually averaged CO, NO_x and PM_{2.5} over the model domain decrease by 15%, 30% and 20% respectively. The additional improvement brought by replacing 50% or 100% of traditionally-fueled vehicles by H₂ vehicles is smaller in absolute terms. If 50% of vehicles are using H₂, the CO, NO_x and PM_{2.5} decrease by 1%, 10% and 1% respectively, compared to the future scenario without H₂ cars. When all vehicles run on H₂, then additional decreases in CO, NO_x and PM_{2.5} are 5%, 40%, and 5% relative to the no-H₂ cars future scenario. Our study shows that H₂ vehicles may be an effective pathway to fulfill the strict future EU air quality regulations.

O₃ has a more complicated behavior – its annual average decreases in background areas, but increases in the high-NO_x area in western Europe, with the decrease in NO_x. A more detailed analysis shows that the population exposure to high O₃ levels decreases nevertheless.

In all future scenarios, traffic emissions account for only a small proportion of the total anthropogenic emissions, thus it becomes more important to better regulate emissions of non-traffic sectors.

Although atmospheric H₂ increases significantly in the high-leakage scenarios considered, the additional H₂ added into the atmosphere does not have a significant effect on the ground level air pollution in Europe.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: epopa2@yahoo.com (M.E. Popa).

1. Introduction

Road traffic is a major source of greenhouse gases and pollutants. Greenhouse gases affect the earth system globally, leading to global warming and ocean acidification. Pollution affects human health and ecosystems in particular in densely populated areas. Fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) enters the lungs and enhances allergies, asthma, lung infections and long term lung diseases. PM_{2.5} is recognized as one of the main pollutants reducing life expectancy in Europe (EEA, 2013); recent studies show that PM_{2.5} affects health already at levels much lower than present European air quality limits (Beelen et al., 2014). NO_x contributes to acid rain formation; it is a precursor for tropospheric ozone, aerosols and other toxic chemicals, and affects human health, especially the respiratory system (EEA, 2013; WHO, 2013). CO is a precursor for tropospheric ozone, participates in atmospheric chemistry, and is a toxic gas, affecting blood ability to transport oxygen. Tropospheric O₃ is not emitted directly but formed under influence of NO_x and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions. It is one of the major components of photochemical smog; it affects human health, ecosystems and causes important crop losses (EEA, 2013; WHO, 2006, 2008).

In Europe (EU 28), emissions from road traffic were responsible for about 25% of the total CO emissions, 39% of NO_x and 15% of the primary PM_{2.5} in 2012 (EEA, 2014). Road transport was responsible for 26% of O₃ precursor emissions in Europe in 2007 (EEA, 2010).

Replacing fossil fuels by H₂ in a potential future “hydrogen economy” is attractive, in the context of reducing availability of fossil fuels and increased awareness on the problems of pollution and climate change. When using fuel cell technology, H₂ burning emits only water, thus using H₂ as a fuel would avoid direct emissions of both anthropogenic greenhouse gases and pollutants.

Regarding H₂ safety, it is often thought that H₂ would be a dangerous fuel quickly leading to explosions. However, with adequate handling, H₂ can be a safer fuel than e.g. gasoline or methanol: because of its high buoyancy it does not accumulate around leak points, reducing the risk of explosions; also, a H₂ fire causes fewer toxic emissions and less severe radiant heat damage (Adamson and Pearson, 2000; Veziroglu and Barbir, 1992).

However, it is important to consider all potential effects. In 2000s, several studies (Prather, 2003; Schultz et al., 2003; Tromp et al., 2003; Warwick et al., 2004) drew attention to the fact that a hydrogen economy would be associated with an increase in atmospheric H₂ due to leakage, and this additional atmospheric H₂ could have negative effects on the atmosphere. Additional H₂ in the atmosphere could enhance the stratospheric ozone hole (Tromp et al., 2003), and could indirectly increase the radiative forcing by influencing the greenhouse gases methane and tropospheric ozone (Prather, 2003). Later, more detailed studies showed that these negative effects are much smaller than feared initially, and small compared to the potential benefits (Derwent et al., 2006; Jacobson, 2008; Vogel et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013b; Warwick et al., 2004).

The benefits of a hydrogen economy can be significant, especially regarding air quality. All studies to date reported considerable improvements in air quality, if (part of) the economy or transportation were switched to H₂ as an energy carrier. The first studies on this subject considered the present (~2000) situation and assumed an immediate transition to a H₂ economy.

In their modeling study, Schultz et al. (2003) considered a conversion to H₂ of 50% of the total economy (which is roughly equivalent to 100% conversion of the transportation sector). This resulted in decreases in simulated NO_x (30%), CO (3%) and O₃ (5%)

mole fractions. They also found that in polluted areas in Western Europe, China and Eastern US the average surface O₃ would increase; however, even in these areas, the peak O₃ values were predicted to decrease, which would result in fewer violations of air quality limits. Another finding of this study was a decrease in oxidizing power of the troposphere, because the decrease in NO_x leads to less OH.

In a similar study, Warwick et al. (2004) assumed a complete replacement of the fossil fuel economy, and found reductions in tropospheric O₃ (2.2%) and OH (5%).

Jacobson et al. (2005) assumed a conversion of all US vehicles to H₂, and compared the effects on air quality, health and climate for several H₂ production options. Unlike the other studies, they also took into account the emissions associated to the production of H₂. The results showed that using H₂ produced from wind energy offers the largest benefits for health and climate. For all H₂ production methods, significant reductions were found for CO, O₃, NO_x, black carbon, and other tropospheric pollutants.

Jacobson (2008) estimated the effects of converting the global vehicle fleet to H₂ produced by wind-powered electrolysis, and found reductions over 10 years in tropospheric CO (5%), NO_x (5–13%), O₃ (6%) and OH (4%).

The recent study of Wang et al. (2013a), which estimated the effects of converting the global transportation to H₂, is the first one to place the simulations in the future (2050). The study is global, and includes higher resolution simulations with focus on the US territory. Their baseline (no H₂ cars) emission scenarios are based on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) growth scenarios A1F1 and B1 (Nakicenovic et al., 2000), combined with present-day fossil fuel burning emission factors. A1F1 and B1 are the highest and lowest emission SRES scenarios. Both assume a large population increases and a world integrated economically; the A1F1 scenario assumes rapid economic growth and intensive use of fossil fuels, while in B1 the economy becomes more information oriented and less material intensive, and employs more clean and resource efficient technologies. The simulations using these baseline scenarios predict significantly increased air pollution in 2050 compared to present. The pollution decreases then significantly compared to the baseline when switching all the road traffic to H₂. The largest improvements are found in most polluted, highly populated areas. Wang et al. assume no emissions of air pollutants are associated with H₂ production.

In the present study we investigate the effects on air quality in Europe of a future (2020) H₂ -based road transportation sector, using the chemistry transport model LOTOS-EUROS. We compare future emission scenarios in which 50% or 100% vehicles are converted to H₂, with a future “baseline” scenario without H₂ vehicles. Our baseline emission scenario assumes that the current and planned European legislation concerning emissions of air pollutants is fully implemented. We account for NO_x emissions associated to the production of H₂ for vehicle use.

2. Methods

2.1. Model

LOTOS-EUROS is an Eulerian 3D chemistry transport model designed to simulate air pollution in the lower troposphere in Europe (Schaap et al., 2008). The model has been used to simulate for example ozone (Vautard et al., 2006; Schaap et al., 2008), particulate matter (Manders et al., 2009), secondary inorganic aerosol (Schaap et al., 2004a; Erisman and Schaap, 2004), black carbon

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6338013>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6338013>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)