Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Aerosol Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jaerosci

Identification of the compensation effect in the characteristic sintering time model for population balances



Xiaoming Hao^{a,b}, Haibo Zhao^{a,*}, Zuwei Xu^a, Chuguang Zheng^a

^a State Key Laboratory of Coal Combustion, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Luoyu Road 1037, Wuhan 430074, China ^b Dongfang Electric Corporation Limited, Shuhan Road 333, Chengdu 610036, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 April 2014 Received in revised form 2 August 2014 Accepted 19 December 2014 Available online 29 December 2014

Keywords: Population balance modeling Inverse problem Agglomerates Sintering

ABSTRACT

The sintering of agglomerates under high temperature determines the diameter and morphology of particles. Accurate sintering model is essential to the process simulation for the particle dynamics. A method of combining population balance modeling and inverse problem methodology was applied in sintering simulation process to investigate relationship between effective kinetic parameters in the characteristic sintering time model, i.e., two dynamic parameters (the pre-exponential factor A and the apparent activation energy E). The polydisperse primary particle (PP) model was introduced to consider the inhomogeneous structure in agglomerates. Two inverse problem methodologies, tabulation method and response surface method, were employed by fitting simulation results to experimental measurements. A contour map about the difference between simulation results and experimental measurements as a function of various parameter sets was obtained. Optimal values were obtained when the difference is small. A linear relationship between the two uncertain kinetic parameters was identified, which is similar to the kinetic compensation effect in the Arrhenius equation for reaction rate. The linear relationship holds true for the sintering of both TiO₂ and SiO₂ agglomerates at least, which are dominated by the surface diffusion mechanism and the viscous flow transport mechanism, individually.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nanoparticle synthesis via aerosol route has recently attracted growing interests from the scientific and industrial communities because it can produce high-purity nanoparticles with specially tailored chemical and physical property, e.g., hybrid component and high specific surface area (SSA), which can be used to produce ceramics, catalysts, electric and optical materials (Seto, Hirota, Fujimoto, Shimada, & Okuyama, 1997). With respect to the events involved in the synthesis process (e.g., nucleation, condensation, coagulation, sintering), coagulation and sintering strongly influence the size and morphology of nanoparticles. In the aerosol processes (Nakaso et al., 2001), highly concentrated nanosized nuclei grown from gas monomers by nucleation and surface reaction undergo rapid Brownian agglomeration. At a high temperature, the resultant nanoparticles may fully coalesce into dense spheres almost instantaneously, as the agglomeration rate is far smaller than the sintering rate. As the aerosol reactor cools down, the sintering rate may be far smaller than the

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2014.12.005 0021-8502/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 27 8754 4779x8208; fax: +86 27 8754 5526. *E-mail address:* klinsmannzhb@163.com (H. Zhao).

1

Nomenciature		n _a	$(m^{-3}m^{-3})$
а	surface area of particle (m ²)	Na	number concentration of agglomerate (m^{-3})
$a_{a,k}$	surface area of agglomerate particle at the <i>k</i> th	$n_{\rm pp}$	primary particle size distribution function of
	bin (m ²)		an agglomerate $(m^{-3}m^{-3})$
<i>a</i> _{final}	surface area of completely fused spherical	$N_{\rm pp}$	primary particle number concentration of an
	particle (m ²)		agglomerate (m ⁻³)
$a_{\rm pp}$	surface area of primary particle (m ²)	$N_{\rm s,pp}$	volume bin number of primary particle
A	pre-exponential factor	R	gas constant, 8.314 J mol ^{-1} K ^{-1}
$d_{\rm ag}$	geometric mean mobility equivalent diameter	t	time (s)
	of agglomerate (m)	Т	temperature (°C)
$d_{\rm am}$	mobility equivalent diameter of agglomerate (m)	T_0	ambient temperature, temperature at furnace
$d_{\rm m,exp}$	mobility equivalent diameter of agglomerate	_	entrance (°C)
	from experimental measurement (m)	$T_{\rm f}$	furnace temperature (°C)
d _{m,sim}	mobility equivalent diameter of agglomerate	$v_{a,k}$	volume of agglomerate particle at the <i>k</i> th bin
	from simulation (m)		(m ³)
$d_{ m p}$	particle diameter (m)	$v_{\rm pp}$	volume of primary particle (m ³)
$d_{ m pp}$	primary particle diameter (m)	у	objection function defined in Eq. (9) (m ²)
$d_{\rm ppg}$	geometric mean diameter of primary particle (m)		
$d_{ppg,ex}$	geometric mean diameter of primary particle	Greek symbols	
	from experimental measurement (m)		
$d_{\rm ppg,sir}$	geometric mean diameter of primary particle	$\sigma_{ m ag}$	geometric standard deviation of agglomerate
	from simulation (m)	$\sigma_{ m ppg}$	geometric standard deviation of primary
E	activation energy (J mol ⁺)		particle
ĸ	index of volume bin	δ	symbol for relative error
l	index of surface area bin	$ au_{ m s}$	characteristic sintering time (s)
L	distance from the inlet of furnace (m)		

agglomeration rate, leading to fractal-like agglomerates consisting of a large number of primary particles (PPs). The sintering events involved directly determine the morphology and size of particle product. However, the sintering kinetics is still under study (Kirchhof, Schmid, & Peukert, 2004).

The sintering process is usually described by the evolution of surface area which is found to approach its final value exponentially (Koch & Friedlander, 1990). Many researchers had studied the sintering dynamics by experiments or simulation. Seto, Shimada, and Okuyama (1995) used the two-dimensional sectional method of population balance modeling to simulate the sintering process of fractal agglomerates (TiO₂ and SiO₂) in an aerosol reactor where only sintering occurs. They found that primary particles coalesce at temperatures that are 50-100% of the bulk melting points of the corresponding particle materials. A similar reactor system built by Kirchhof, Forster, Schmid, and Peukert (2012) was employed to obtain detailed experimental measurements with sufficient heating and cooling times at the inlet and outlet. Yang and Biswas (1997) proposed a new parameter set, i.e. pre-exponential factor A and apparent activation energy E for TiO₂ nanoparticles, using the in situ light scattering data. Tsantilis, Briesen, and Pratsinis (2001) proposed a new characteristic sintering time model for silica particle by extending the particle size dependence of the melting point of metals to the sintering model. Simulations using the new characteristic time model were carried out for a variety of conditions with good agreement between the experiments and the simulation results except at larger temperatures. Based on their sintering equation form, Shekar et al. (2012) combined a kinetic model with the response surface method to identify effective model parameters for silica nanoparticles by fitting the model to the experimental measurements. Buesser, Grohn, and Pratsinis (2011) used molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to study the sintering rate and obtained a new characteristic sintering time model of TiO₂ nanoparticles, which was thought to be effective especially when the diameter of primary particles is small.

In fact, up to now researches mainly focused on identifying the effective sintering parameter sets (*A*, *E*) in certain experimental cases which even cannot be regarded as being universal (Park & Rogak, 2003). Several differing sintering parameter sets were proposed (Ehrman, Friedlander, & Zachariah, 1998; Kobata, Kusakabe, & Morooka, 1991; Xiong, Kamal Akhtar, & Pratsinis, 1993; Yang & Biswas, 1997). Johannessen, Pratsinis, and Livbjerg (2001) also proposed different apparent activation energy for TiO₂ in a diffusion flame reactor with temperature closer to 2000 K. They attributed the considerable difference to different reactors. They proposed that various apparent activation energy levels corresponding to different experimental conditions should exist because of the phase transformations from amorphous to anatase and rutile. Even though parameter sets from different researches vary greatly, but still can be successfully applied to simulate specific sintering processes of nanoparticles. This fact makes us wonder if there exists a relationship between *A* and *E*, which implies

2

. .

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6344482

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6344482

Daneshyari.com