Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environmental Research

Health impact assessment of traffic noise in Madrid (Spain)

Aurelio Tobías [a], Alberto Recio [b], Julio Díaz [c], Cristina Linares [c,*]

[a] Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, Spanish Council for Scientific Research, Barcelona, Spain
[b] Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Autonomous University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain
[c] National School of Public Health, Carlos III Institute of Health, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

The relationship between environmental noise and health has been examined in depth. In view of the sheer number of persons exposed, attention should be focused on road traffic noise. The city of Madrid (Spain) is a densely populated metropolitan area in which 80% of all environmental noise exposure is attributed to traffic. The aim of this study was to quantify avoidable deaths resulting from reducing the impact of equivalent diurnal noise levels (LeqD) on daily cardiovascular and respiratory mortality among people aged ≥ 65 years in Madrid. A health impact assessment of (average 24 h) LeqD and $PM_{2.5}$ levels was conducted by using previously reported risk estimates of mortality rates for the period 2003–2005: For cardiovascular causes: LeqD 1.048 (1.005, 1.092) and $PM_{2.5}$ 1.041(1.020, 1.062) and for respiratory causes: LeqD 1.060 (1.000, 1.123) and $PM_{2.5}$ 1.030 (1.000, 1.062). The association found between LeqD exposure and mortality for both causes suggests an important health effect. A reduction of 1 dB(A) in LeqD implies an avoidable annual mortality of 284 (31, 523) cardiovascular- and 184 (0, 190) respiratory-related deaths in the study population. The magnitude of the health impact is similar to reducing average $PM_{2.5}$ levels by 10 $\mu g/m^3$. Regardless of air pollution, exposure to traffic noise should be considered an important environmental factor having a significant impact on health.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

According to a recent study by the World Health Organisation Regional Office for Europe (WHO, 2011), 20% of the population of EU countries is exposed to traffic noise levels of above 65 dBA during the day and 30% is exposed to levels of over 55 dBA at night, which translates as a loss of 61,000 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). For levels above these values, which are considered health-protective, many studies report statistically significant associations between exposure to noise and cardiovascular diseases (Niemann et al., 2006; Coghlan, 2007; Van Kempen et al., 2002; Davies et al., 2005; Schwela at al., 2005; Stansfeld et al., 2005), respiratory system diseases (Niemann et al., 2006; Ising et al., 2003, 2004) and connective tissue diseases (arthritis) (Niemann et al., 2006). According to a recent meta-analysis, traffic noise would rank among the four environmental factors having the greatest health impact and causing anywhere from 400 to 1500 DALYs per million population in Europe (Hänninen et al., 2014).

It is estimated that 3% of cases of ischaemic heart disease in large cities are attributable to road traffic noise (Babisch, 2008). There are ever more studies that point to a significant association between urban noise and severe cardiovascular events, such as myocardial infarction and stroke (Babisch, 2006; Selander et al., 2009; Sørensen et al., 2011). The risk factors which are directly related to cerebrovascular accident are hypertension, arteriosclerosis and low heart-rate variability index.

Furthermore, the degree of acceptance of environmental noise is determined by psychosocial and demographic aspects, such as time of residence, sensitivity, attitude and personality (Paunovic et al., 2009; Guski, 1999). This response is channelled by the limbic system to the hypothalamus, in an endocrine process that culminates in the adrenal cortex with the release of cortisol. Exposure to nocturnal noise produces sleep interruptions and electroencephalography (EEG) arousal, which cause a lack of deep, repairing slow-wave sleep (SWS), as well as affecting rapid eye movement (REM) sleep (Eberhardt, 1988; Belojevic et al., 1997). A reduction in the time of repairing sleep causes an increase in cortisol levels on the following day (Leproult et al., 1997; Vgontzas et al., 1999, 2003; Spiegel et al., 2003; Ising et al., 2004). All studies report a generalised long-term inability on the part of individuals to adapt to nocturnal noise, which may lead to chronification of cortisol overproduction (Maschke, 2002, 2003; Ising and Ising, 2002). Hypercortisolaemia is associated with the development or exacerbation of atherosclerosis. Indeed, cortisol activates the adipose tissue metabolism in order to increase the supply of energy to the body in response to stress. Lypolisis of triglycerides

---

increases the quantity of fatty acids in the arteries, favouring the irreversible accumulation of plaques that increase the risk of cerebrovascular accident due to ischaemia or thrombosis (Samra et al., 1998, Spreng, 2000a, 2000b).

Lastly, one of the most surprising effects of exposure to noise, and one that has been investigated for some years now, is the increased risk of respiratory diseases among children. While a study undertaken in 2002 in the city of Madrid concluded that noise was a risk factor for daily all-cause emergency hospital admissions (Tobias et al., 2001) across all age groups, another undertaken in 2006 reached the same conclusion for children under the age of 10 years in respect of hospital admissions due to all causes, respiratory diseases and, more specifically, pneumonia (Linares et al., 2006). In other studies, bronchitis and other respiratory symptoms, such as bronchial asthma, were associated with the sensation of noise annoyance, both severe and moderate, as well as sleep disturbances caused by nocturnal noise, particularly in children (Niemann et al., 2006; Ising et al., 2004).

Health outcomes deriving from long-term exposure to noise include both cardiovascular and respiratory events, a phenomenon that has been well documented by cohort studies undertaken in recent years (Hart et al., 2013), but short-term studies which link traffic noise to short-term mortality due to the above-described causes are practically non-existent. Recent research conducted in the city of Madrid links short-term circulatory (Tobías et al., 2014a) and respiratory mortality (Tobías et al., 2014b) in the over-65 age group to traffic noise, regardless of the effects of chemical air pollution. The above association was quantified using relative risk (RR), rather than an indicator that might give an idea of the real impact on population health, such as assessment of the direct impact on annual mortality. This study now calculates the impact on annual mortality attributed to traffic noise among subjects aged over 65 years and compares it to $PM_{2.5}$-related mortality, which is the indicator of traffic-related chemical pollution recommended by the WHO for ascertaining health impacts (WHO, 2005).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Context and study population

The city of Madrid is a densely populated metropolitan area situated in the central region of Spain. In the period 2003–2005, it had a mean population of 3,099,834, and of this total, 2,507,563 (80%) were aged under 65 years (National Statistics Institute/*Instituto Nacional de Estadística*); both groups formed the target population for this study. The vehicle fleet exceeded 2 million vehicles, which had a mean daily intensity of 2.4 million cars that peaked in May (2.5 million) and fell to a minimum in August (1.7 million), and a mean speed of 24 km/h according to the Madrid City Council's Statistical Yearbook (*Anuario Estadístico*). Road traffic is the principal source of environmental noise, accounting for 80% of exposure; other sources are industry (10%), railways (6%) and leisure activities (4%) (Díaz et al., 2003).

2.2. Mortality data

Daily mortality data for the period 2003–2005 were furnished by the Madrid Regional Revenue Authority (*Consejería de Hacienda de la Comunidad de Madrid*.http://www.madrid.org/). These data comprised two time series of 1096 entries: the one for mortality due to cardiovascular causes (International Classification of Diseases-9th Revision (ICD-9): 390-459), and the other for mortality due to respiratory causes (ICD-9: 460-519). The age group targeted was that aged 65 years and over.

2.3. Noise-level data

Eighty per cent of all urban noise comes from road traffic, and specifically from automobile internal combustion engines and vehicle friction with ground and air. Noise levels were recorded by the Permanent Acoustic Pollution Monitoring Grid (*Red Fija de Control de la Contaminación Acústica*.http://www.mambiente.munimadrid.es/). This network consists of six urban background stations background stations across the city that capture environmental noise data in real time, these being in turn transmitted to a central station for their processing. Technically, the measuring process involves the following steps: (a) an outdoor anti-bird omnidirectional microphone, provided with wind screen, captures the data; (b) the captured signal connects with a statistical noise analyser; latest stations' analysers also allow audio recording and frequency analysis (1/1- and 1/3-octaves); (c) the information stored in the analyser is transferred to a central station via a high speed telephony modem (ISDN); (d) the central station is equipped with a distributor adapted to ISDN that communicates with all stations at set intervals to send the data. For study purposes, three different measures of noise were used:

LeqD: equivalent diurnal noise level (8–22 h) in dBA.
LeqN: equivalent nocturnal noise level (22–8 h) in dBA.
Leq24: equivalent daily noise level (0–24 h) in dBA.

Measurements made by automatic measurement stations from Monitoring Network Air Quality Madrid City Council. Each monitor's daily level (LeqD, LeqN and Leq24) was recorded and then a citywide average was calculated from all monitors for a given day during the study period.

2.4. Calculation of impact on mortality

The health impact of noise -in terms of the number of avoidable deaths- is estimated by *risk of death attributable to noise (atribuible al ruido/RA)* for an increase q in the average noise level:

$$RA = \frac{Me - Mo}{Me} = 1 - \frac{Mo}{Me}$$

where *Me* and *Mo* are the mortality rates in periods with and without exposure to an increase q in the noise level respectively. The *Me/Mo* ratio can be replaced by $(RR)^q$, the value of the relative risk (*RR*) shown in the Poisson regression in previous publications (Tobías et al., 2014a, 2014b) for any increase in the noise level (q), such that:

$$RA = 1 - \frac{1}{RR^a}$$

Furthermore, $RA \times Me = Me - Mo$ is the mortality rate due to an increase q in the noise level. Given that noise enters the Poisson regression as a continuous variable, every day in the study period is a day with risk; thus, *Me* can be replaced by *M*, the annual mortality rate. After multiplying by the total population, *P*, the expression $RA \times M \times P$ is as follows:

$$\text{Annual deaths due to an increase } q \text{ in the noise level} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{RR^q}\right)MP$$

where *M* is the crude mortality rate among persons aged ≥ 65 years due to cardiovascular (ICD-9: 390-459) and respiratory (ICD-9: 460-519) causes, and P is the population at risk, with both *M* and *P* being sourced from municipal registry data.

To compare the results for noise- and air pollution-related mortality, the impact was calculated for comparable noise and $PM_{2.5}$ values. The RR values for $PM_{2.5}$ and for noise were obtained from previous studies undertaken in the city of Madrid for the same target period (Tobías et al., 2014a, 2014b). In the cited papers, we fitted over-dispersed Poisson regression models adjusted