

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

Waste Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/wasman

Comparison of steam sterilization conditions efficiency in the treatment of Infectious Health Care Waste

Olivia Maamari^{a,b,*}, Lara Mouaffak^b, Ramza Kamel^b, Cedric Brandam^{c,d}, Roger Lteif^a, Dominique Salameh^{a,b}

^a Saint Joseph University, Faculty of Sciences, Chemistry Department, B.P. 11-514, 11072050, Lebanon

^b "Arcenciel", Environment Program, B.P. 165216, Beirut, Lebanon

^c Université de Toulouse, INP-ENSIACET, Laboratoire de Génie Chimique UMR 5503, 4, Allée Emile Monso, BP 74233, 31432 Toulouse, France

^d CNRS UMR 5503, Laboratoire de Génie Chimique UMR 5503, 4, Allée Emile Monso, BP 74233, 31432 Toulouse, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 June 2015

Revised 13 January 2016

Accepted 14 January 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Infectious Health Care Waste

Steam sterilization

Waste management

Analysis of efficiency

ABSTRACT

Many studies show that the treatment of Infectious Health Care Waste (IHCW) in steam sterilization devices at usual operating standards does not allow for proper treatment of Infectious Health Care Waste (IHCW). Including a grinding component before sterilization allows better waste sterilization, but any hard metal object in the waste can damage the shredder. The first objective of the study is to verify that efficient IHCW treatment can occur at standard operating parameters defined by the contact time–temperature couple in steam treatment systems without a pre-mixing/fragmenting or pre-shredding step. The second objective is to establish scientifically whether the standard operation conditions for a steam treatment system including a step of pre-mixing/fragmenting were sufficient to destroy the bacterial spores in IHCW known to be the most difficult to treat. Results show that for efficient sterilization of dialysis cartridges in a pilot 60L steam treatment system, the process would require more than 20 min at 144 °C without a pre-mixing/fragmenting step.

In a 720L steam treatment system including pre-mixing/fragmenting paddles, only 10 min at 144 °C are required to sterilize IHCW proved to be sterilization challenges such as dialysis cartridges and diapers in normal conditions of rolling.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Health Care Waste (HCW) includes materials generated during patient diagnosis, treatment, or immunization. Infectious Health Care Waste (IHCW) constitutes a fraction of HCW (approximately 15–25%) that could transmit infectious diseases (Shinee et al., 2008; Voudrias and Graikos, 2015). HCW management is still a serious public health challenge in developing countries due to conspicuously inappropriate disposal methods, and insufficient financing and infrastructural challenges (Toktobaev et al., 2015). Therefore, the development of sustainable systems with low investment and operating costs is essential (Toktobaev et al., 2015). IHCW should be promptly sorted into appropriate bags

and containers (Graikos et al., 2010; WHO, 2013). IHCW treatment technologies include autoclaves; integrated steam-based treatment systems; microwave treatment technologies; dry-heat treatment technologies; chemical treatment technologies; and incinerators (WHO, 2013). The two first technologies are generally less costly than the others (Rashidian et al., 2015). These technologies could be supplemented by post-treatment shredders, grinders and compactors (WHO, 2013). One of the advantages of steam sterilization compared to incineration is that sterilized waste is considered nonhazardous and can be placed in a sanitary landfills designed for municipal solid waste, whereas ash produced by incineration is generally considered hazardous and should be treated before disposal (Voudrias and Graikos, 2015). On the other hand, the incineration ensures a greater volume reduction than the steam sterilization. For example, steam sterilization technologies that rely on shredders or grinders decrease waste volume by about 60–70 percent, compared to 90 or 95 percent with incineration (HCWH, 2001). In many countries, there are difficulties to find disposal sites. Therefore, it is important studying the advantages and disadvantages of each technology. Steam sterilization is widely

Abbreviations: Bs, Bacillus stearothermophilus; HCW, Health Care Waste; HCWH, Health Care Without Harm; IHCW, Infectious Health Care Waste; NIIR, National Institute of Industrial Research; STAATT, State and Territorial Association in Alternative Treatment Technologies; WHO, World Health Organization.

* Corresponding author at: "Arcenciel", Environment Program, B.P. 165216, Beirut, Lebanon

E-mail address: oliviam@arcenciel.org (O. Maamari).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.01.014>

0956-053X/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

used for IHCW treatment (Toktobaev et al., 2015; Voudrias and Graikos, 2015). Steam sterilization inactivates microorganisms through heat generated by the saturated steam.

An autoclave consists of a metal chamber surrounded by a steam jacket. Steam is introduced into the outside jacket and the inside chamber. A common exposure temperature–time parameter is 121 °C and 2 bar for 30 min (WHO, 1999; HCWH, 2001; Karagiannidis et al., 2009) or above 134 °C and 3.1 bar during 5 min (WHO, 1999). The Hydroclave is a type of integrated steam-based treatment system that combines the idea of an autoclave (except that steam is applied to an outside jacket only) and mixing/fragmenting paddles that fragment the waste. The Hydroclave is a double walled tank where steam is injected in the outer jacket to heat the interior of the machine containing the IHCW. This heating induces the evaporation of the moisture contained in the waste, which increases the pressure. Paddles positioned inside the steam treatment vessel and rotated by a rod tumbles waste against the inside walls of the machine, allowing for the mixing and fragmenting of the waste. In the absence of sufficient moisture, additional steam is injected (NIIR, 2005). In fact, if the control panel of the machine detects a rise in temperature, but not a corresponding rise in pressure, the control panel will command the addition of steam to the vessel interior. Fragmentation of the load during the heat up phase ensures even heat distribution. Tests conducted for the supplier show inactivation of microbial load greater than 10^6 equivalent of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* (Bs) within 30 min at 121 °C or 15 min at 132 °C (HCWH, 2001).

D-value, or decimal reduction time, is the time necessary to destroy 90% of the organisms being tested. Sterility is monitored by allowing for a sterility assurance level usually at 10^{-3} or 10^{-6} ; this means that there is a one in a thousand and one in a million chance respectively that a material would not be microorganism free. Ultimately this means that at least a 3 or 6 log reduction should be achieved (Revox sterilization, 2014).

A common microbial inactivation standard for Health Care Waste treatment based on the State and Territorial Association in Alternative Treatment Technologies (STAATT) criteria is Level III, i.e. inactivation of vegetative bacteria, fungi, lipophilic/hydrophilic viruses, parasites and mycobacteria at a 6 \log_{10} reduction or greater; and inactivation of *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* (Bs) spores and *Bacillus atrophaeus* spores at a 4 \log_{10} reduction or greater (WHO, 2013).

Bs spores have traditionally been used to evaluate sterilization processes (Periago et al., 1998a; Toktobaev et al., 2015). In fact, Bs spores are considered to be the most physically and chemically resistant life forms known. Therefore situations that result in Bs death indicate the destruction of other microorganisms (Sheldrake et al., 1995). In addition, Bs indicators are relatively easy to work with, because they grow under aerobic conditions at 60 °C and results can be obtained rapidly (Periago et al., 1998b; Lemieux et al., 2006).

Sterilization efficiency is dependent upon many variables that affect the physics of heat transfer and steam penetration, including the composition, density, liquid content, weight, and types of containers (Lemieux et al., 2006). Insufficient air evacuation, excessive loading weight, low thermal conductivities of waste, air pockets and sealed heat-resistant containers may reduce exposure to steam or heat transfer, and thus decrease the effectiveness of steam sterilization (HCWH, 2001).

Steam sterilization equipment used for IHCW treatment are usually operated at the minimum standards (121 °C for 30 min (WHO, 1999; HCWH, 2001; Karagiannidis et al., 2009) or 134 °C for 5 min (WHO, 1999), based on the past application of steam sterilization in the treatment of medical devices. Nevertheless, many studies show that these operating parameters are not

adapted for the proper sterilization of all IHCW (Tiller et al., 2004; Lemieux et al., 2006; AFEC Solutions LLC New Jersey, 2007). In fact, even if some waste such as syringes, intravenous sets, cotton swabs, bandages and gloves can be successfully decontaminated in standard conditions (Toktobaev et al., 2015), other types of IHCW are more difficult to treat.

Notably, contaminated dialysis cartridges present serious sterilization challenges, mainly because of their physical makeup (Sheldrake et al., 1995).

An evaluation conducted in 2005 by the California Department of Health Services showed that in certain circumstances, suction canister waste may not be adequately treated by steam sterilization. An autoclave test report released by the autoclave supplier OnSite Sterilization, LLC, showed that load residence times at 121 °C would need to be 6–9 h if the waste loads included sharps containers and suction canisters (AFEC Solutions LLC New Jersey, 2007).

Another study conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of a commercial autoclave for treating simulated building decontamination residue showed that the makeup of the waste and its packing density has an impact on the efficiency of the sterilization process. Operating the autoclave at 135 °C within 40 min duration cycle at 2.17 bars did not kill Bs spores contained within the simulated building decontamination residue. More than 120 min of exposure at 135 °C was requested to inactivate the biological indicator (Lemieux et al., 2006).

Another study conducted by the Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center in Shreveport on the treatment of adult diapers in a medical waste autoclave showed sterilization failure for 132.22 °C, at 60 min (Tiller et al., 2004).

A study conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of steam autoclaving on the contents of sharp containers showed that the contents of containers required between 30 and 60 min of autoclaving before being sterilized. The size and shape of the containers influenced ease of sterilization (Palenik et al., 1990).

Including a grinding component before sterilization allows better waste sterilization since it exposes a greater waste surface area to the heat or steam (AFEC Solutions LLC New Jersey, 2007). Internal shredding renders the waste unrecognizable and results in final volume reduction up to 80% (Voudrias and Graikos, 2015). Nevertheless, any large, hard metal object in the waste can damage the shredder or grinder (HCWH, 2001). Knowing that sorting errors are frequent in developing countries (Tsakona et al. 2007; Ferreira et al., 2010; Graikos et al., 2010), utilization of autoclaves with an integrated shredder and/or grinder can lead to repeated failures and high maintenance costs.

In this context, treatment systems including mixing/fragmenting paddles inside the steam treatment vessel could be an optimal option since the mixing/fragmenting step increases the exposure of IHCW surfaces to steam and thus allows for proper sterilization of IHCW. Since mixing paddles are less susceptible to damage caused by metallic pieces, they are therefore more resistant than shredders. In fact, the blades of the shredder will try to shred non crushable solids, whereas the mixing/fragmentation paddles will only strike them (Fig. 1).

In Lebanon, two main types of steam sterilization systems are used by the same operator: the autoclaves with an integrated shredder, and the Hydroclave which uses mixing/fragmenting paddles. The cost analysis for 5 years of activity shows that the treatment cost with an autoclave including a shredder is 59.15% higher than the treatment cost of a Hydroclave. In terms of maintenance and repair, the autoclave with shredder is 147.09% more costly than a Hydroclave.

This study has 2 main objectives. The first objective is to verify if efficient IHCW treatment can occur at standard operating parameters in steam treatment systems without a pre-mixing/

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6353849>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6353849>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)