Journal of Theoretical Biology ■ (■■■) ■■■–■■■



1

2

3

4 5 6

12

13 14

16

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

30

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Theoretical Biology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yjtbi

Personalized mechanistic models for exercise, meal and insulin interventions in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes

¹⁵ o Naviyn Prabhu Balakrishnan, Lakshminarayanan Samavedham, Gade Pandu Rangaiah*

17 Q2 Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

HIGHLIGHTS

- Personalized mechanistic models are developed for young type 1 diabetic patients.
- Exercise, meal and insulin interventions are incorporated in the model.
- Rate of perceived exertion (RPE) is used as a marker of exercise intensity.
- Blood glucose predictions of the personalized models are closer to clinical data.
- Personalized parameter estimates are precise and within the physiological ranges.

ARTICLE INFO

31 Article history: 32 Received 13 September 2013 Received in revised form 33 29 March 2014 34 Accepted 30 April 2014 35 36 Keywords: 37 Blood glucose 38 Ordinary differential equations 39 Identifiability analysis Parameter estimation 40 Confidence intervals 41 42 43 44 45

ABSTRACT

Personalized mechanistic models involving exercise, meal and insulin interventions for type 1 diabetic children and adolescents are not commonly seen in the literature. Patient specific variations in blood glucose homeostasis and adverse effects of exercise-induced hypoglycemia emphasize the need for personalized models. Hence, a modified mechanistic model for exercise, meal and insulin interventions is proposed and tailored as personalized models for 34 type 1 diabetic children and adolescents. This is achieved via a 3-stage methodology comprising of modification, a priori identifiability analysis, and personalized parameter estimation and validation using the clinical data. Rate of perceived exertion is introduced as a marker quantifying exercise intensity. Six out of 16 parameters in the modified model are identified to be estimable and are estimated for each subject as personalized parameters. The R^2 values for both fitness and validation vary between 0.7 and 0.96 in 97% of the patients, indicating the goodness of the proposed model in explaining the glucose dynamics. For most of the estimated parameters, values of personalized point estimates and their confidence intervals are found to be within physiological ranges reported in the modeling literature. Personalized values of appearance rate of exercise effect on glucose uptake in 34 subjects are 54-250% higher than the nominal values of adults. This is expected for children and adolescents as the literature shows that they exhibit higher fat and exogenous carbohydrate oxidation rates during exercise when compared to adults.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Blood glucose (G) management in type 1 diabetic patients is influenced by three major exogenous interventions - exercise, meal and insulin. The effects of these interventions on G dynamics vary from patient to patient and do not follow a one-size-fits-all dynamics. Development of personalized mechanistic models which can capture the patient specific variations will be helpful in many ways like, (i) understanding the personalized mechanism

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +65 6516 2187; fax: +65 6779 1936. E-mail address: chegpr@nus.edu.sg (G.P. Rangaiah).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.04.038

0022-5193/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

of glucose-insulin dynamics for various levels and types of interventions; (ii) prior prediction of adverse events like exerciseinduced hypoglycemia (especially in type 1 diabetic children and adolescents), and (iii) development of safe and efficient treatment protocols that can control the *G* levels within the normal ranges. The recent developments in sensor technology, especially the advent of frequently sampling G measurement sensors, and the arrival of various classes of G prediction models since early 1960s (Balakrishnan et al., 2011), made the development of personalized models practically possible.

Most of the available mechanistic models on type 1 diabetic subjects (Balakrishnan et al., 2011) do not include exercise effects in them and they were validated for adults alone. There are few

84

85

67

Please cite this article as: Balakrishnan, N.P., et al., Personalized mechanistic models for exercise, meal and insulin interventions in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. J. Theor. Biol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.04.038

1 mechanistic models developed and/or validated for type 1 dia-2 betic children and adolescents. The virtual patients of UVa 3 simulator (Kovatchev et al., 2009) were validated for type 4 1 diabetic children and adolescents. Chen et al. (2010) modified 5 the existing delay differential equation model in the literature 6 (Sturis et al., 1991; Tolic et al., 2000) and fitted it to the type 1 diabetic children. However, these two mechanistic models 7 8 (Chen et al., 2010; Kovatchev et al., 2009) did not explain exercise 9 effect on G dynamics. There are a few mechanistic models that 10 explain the exercise effects on *G* dynamics of adults (Kim et al., 11 2007: Lenart and Parker, 2002: Roy and Parker, 2007), among 12 which some models (Kim et al., 2007; Lenart and Parker, 2002) are too complex to be tailored as a clinically preferred persona-13 14 lized patient model. Further, these two models were validated 15 using the data of healthy adult cohorts only. Roy and Parker 16 (2007) developed a simple exercise model and validated it using 17 adult cohorts of healthy and type 1 diabetic subjects. In spite of 18 the fact that type 1 diabetes is usually diagnosed in children and 19 adolescents, the above-mentioned mechanistic models with 20 exercise effects were not validated using children and adoles-21 cents cohorts. The exercise effect models (Kim et al., 2007; Lenart 22 and Parker, 2002; Roy and Parker, 2007) on type 1 diabetic patients quantified exercise intensity using percentage of max-23 24 imum oxygen consumption during exercise (PVO₂^{max}), whose 25 measurement requires sophisticated devices which constraints 26 their practical applicability in the routine life of patients. A few 27 mechanistic models used heart rate (HR) as a measure to quantify 28 exercise but they were not validated using the clinical data (Dalla 29 Man et al., 2009). Measurement of HR in the routine life is 30 possible with sensors and monitors, but the accuracy of them 31 depends on the cost. Apart from these two markers, there is also 32 a diabetes modeling study which measured 8 activity and stress 33 related variables using an armband type of sensor (Rollins et al., 34 2010).

35 Eventually, all these exercise markers require sophisticated 36 devices, or special sensors. There is another marker, namely, Rate 37 of Perceived Exertion (RPE), which does not require any sophisti-38 cated devices or special sensors for its measurement and has been 39 used in exercise settings since early 1980s (Borg, 1982). RPE 40 explains the level of exertion an individual feels during exercise, 41 and it can be self-measured via simple talk or pictorial tests based 42 on one of the qualitative scales available in the literature (Borg, 43 1982; Robertson et al., 2000; Williams et al., 1994). The qualitative 44 description of RPE scale could be ranging from "no exertion" to 45 "maximal exertion" with steps of light, moderate, heavy exertions, 46 etc. Each qualitative phrase in RPE scale is assigned with a number 47 following a particular point system. The original RPE scale devel-48 oped by Gunnar Borg (1982) rates exercise intensity between 49 ranges of 6 (no physical exertion) and 20 (maximally hard exertion 50 leading to exhaustion). Later on, a revised 11 point RPE scale 51 varying between 0 and 10 was introduced. The cognitive ability of 52 children and adolescents to interpret the actual meaning of the 53 phrases corresponding to each Borg's scale was questioned, which 54 led to formulation of non-pictorial Children's Effort Rating 55 Table (CERT) of Williams et al.'s (1994), pictorial CERT (PCERT) of 56 Yelling et al. (2002) and OMNI's pictorial walk/run scale for 57 children (Robertson et al., 2000). The validity of PCERT and OMNI 58 scales in children and adolescents is reported in literature 59 (Roemmich et al., 2006; Utter et al., 2002). Despite RPE's recogni-60 tion and simplicity involved in its measurement, it has not been 61 used as variable quantifying exercise intensity in any of the above-62 mentioned exercise models related to type 1 diabetic subjects. 63 Recently, in one of our works on personalized hybrid models 64 (Balakrishnan et al., 2013a), RPE is employed as a marker and the 65 models are validated using clinical data of type 1 diabetic children and adolescents. 66

In this work, a modified mechanistic model employing RPE as an exercise marker is proposed and validated using the clinical data of 34 type 1 diabetic children and adolescents retrieved from an exercise study of Diabetes Research in Children Network (DirecNet) public datasets (2005). First, the original Roy and Parker (2007) model is modified for exercise, meal and insulin interventions, followed by identification of estimable parameters using a priori identifiability analysis. Subsequently, the identified parameters are estimated for each patient as personalized estimates and their uncertainty is calculated using variance-covariance matrix. Finally, the personalized models with their point estimates are validated using new data.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explains the nature of clinical data employed in this study; Section 3 elaborates the 3-stage methodology proposed for development and validation of personalized models; Section 4 summarizes the results of this study, and then discusses them. Finally, Section 5 provides the important conclusions of this study.

2. Nature of clinical data used

The clinical data of 34 type 1 diabetic children and adolescents are retrieved from one of the DirecNet (2005) exercise studies. Each subject visited the clinic in the noon (just before lunch) and stayed till dinner. Subjects were given with pre-lunch bolus insulin dose and lunch adhering to their routine values employed in the home settings, such as Insulin (Ins) to Carbohydrate (CHO) ratio, bolus dose amount (D_{bolus}), correction factor (C_F) and pre-lunch target G (T_{PM}). The basal insulin supply was maintained using insulin pumps; it was continued during exercise in 21 patients while it was stopped during exercise in 13 patients considered in this study. In the late afternoon, each subject underwent a 75 min treadmill walk exercise session, which comprised four phases and the RPE values corresponding to each phase were recorded. The G values during the clinical visit were measured with a sampling interval=5 min using Continuous Glucose Monitoring Sensors (CGMS). The distribution of the above mentioned measured data along with the subject's body weight (W) can be seen in Fig. 1. Details like the amount of infused basal dose are not directly provided in the DirecNet website. Hence, amount of basal insulin 108 is calculated using the insulin replacement algorithms employed 109 in clinical settings (see Appendix A). 110

3. Modeling methodology

A 3-stage methodology is proposed to acquire a personalized model for each type 1 diabetes child or adolescent (see Fig. 2). The model development in the first stage is based on the exercise model of Roy and Parker (2007), who proposed a ninecompartment exercise-effect model which can simulate glucoseinsulin dynamics during short- and long-term exercise. They coupled the dynamics of exercise effect on hepatic glucose production (G_{gly}) , glucose uptake (G_{up}) , glycogenolysis (G_{gly}) , and plasma insulin removal (I_e) with the three-compartment model of Bergman et al. (1979). The mathematical representation of 9 ordinary differential equations (ODEs) is as follows:

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = -p_1[G(t) - G_b] - X(t)G(t) + \frac{W}{V_G}[G_p(t) - G_{gly}(t)] - \frac{W}{V_G}G_{up}(t) + \frac{U_M(t)}{V_G}$$
(1)
129

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = -p_2 X(t) + p_3 [I(t) - I_b]$$
(2) 131
132

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

Please cite this article as: Balakrishnan, N.P., et al., Personalized mechanistic models for exercise, meal and insulin interventions in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. J. Theor. Biol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2014.04.038

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6370282

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6370282

Daneshyari.com