



Effect of CO₂ on the structural variation of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst for oxidative coupling of methane to ethylene

Jia Shi, Lu Yao, Changwei Hu*

Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, Sichuan, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 March 2015

Revised 18 May 2015

Accepted 30 June 2015

Available online 8 August 2015

Keywords:

Oxidative coupling of methane

CO₂

C₂H₄

Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst

Structural variation

ABSTRACT

In this work, the influence of CO₂ on the structural variation and catalytic performance of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ for oxidative coupling of methane to ethylene was investigated. The catalyst was prepared by impregnation method and characterized by XRD, Raman and XPS techniques. Appropriate amount of CO₂ in the reactant gases enhanced the formation of surface tetrahedral Na₂WO₄ species and promoted the migration of O in MO_x, Na, W from the catalyst bulk to surface, which were favorable for oxidative coupling of methane. When the molar ratio of CH₄/O₂/CO₂ was 3/1/2, enriched surface tetrahedral Na₂WO₄ species and high surface concentration of O in MO_x, Na, W were detected, and then high CH₄ conversion of 33.1% and high C₂H₄ selectivity of 56.2% were obtained. With further increase of CO₂ in the reagent gases, the content of active surface tetrahedral Na₂WO₄ species and surface concentration of O in MO_x, Na, W decreased, while that of inactive species (MnWO₄ and Mn₂O₃) increased dramatically, leading to low CH₄ conversion and low C₂H₄ selectivity. It could be speculated that Na₂WO₄ crystal was transformed into MnWO₄ crystal with excessive CO₂ added under the reaction conditions. Pretreatment of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst by moderate amount of CO₂ before OCM also promoted the formation of Na₂WO₄ species.

© 2015 Science Press and Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Both CH₄ and CO₂ are thought to contribute to greenhouse effect, and their conversion into value-added chemicals is one of the attractive research focuses worldwide [1–6]. As one way to produce valuable chemicals from abundant natural gas resources, oxidative coupling of methane (OCM) has long been widely investigated and is expected to play a major role in the utilization of natural gas [7–12].

Keller et al. [13] published the first report on the production of C₂ hydrocarbons from CH₄ in 1982, and then much more attention has been paid to OCM reaction. In order to find a suitable catalyst, a large number of materials had been tested. Most of the active and selective catalysts for OCM reaction were composed of two or three irreducible oxides, e.g., alkali metal oxides, alkali earth metal oxides, or rare earth metal oxides [14–18]. Fang et al. [19,20] first reported the Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst for OCM in 1992, 37.7% CH₄ conversion and 66.9% C₂ selectivity were achieved at 800 °C in a microreactor. Then this system has received increasing attention for its excellent catalytic performance [21–24].

A lot of researches concerning the nature of the active phase on Mn–Na₂WO₄/SiO₂ catalyst were published, but an agreement has not yet been reached. The first article about the surface reconstruction of Mn–Na₂WO₄/SiO₂ catalyst was reported by Jiang et al. [25]. A surface cluster compound with a stoichiometry of Si₃WO_{8.5}, containing one W=O double bond and three W–O–Si bonds, was proposed. This surface cluster compound formed by the reconstruction of surface tetrahedral WO₄ might constitute to the active phase for OCM on Mn–Na₂WO₄/SiO₂ catalyst. Wu et al. [26] suggested that the shortest W–O bond of the distorted WO₄ tetrahedron might be the active phase for OCM reaction, while the catalyst with W–O octahedral coordinated structure was inactive for effectively converting CH₄ to C₂ hydrocarbons. They proposed that the octahedral structure was changed into a distorted tetrahedron due to the presence of Na⁺, and the WO₄ tetrahedron on 5% Na₂WO₄/SiO₂ catalyst distorted to some extent by the interaction of Na₂WO₄ with the silica support. Lunsford et al. [27] studied OCM reaction over Mn/Na₂WO₄/SiO₂, Mn/Na₂WO₄/MgO and NaMnO₄/MgO catalysts, and suggested that Na–O–Mn was the active phase. EXAFS and XPS characterizations of Mn/Na₂WO₄/SiO₂ catalyst were carried out by Kou et al. [28]. They found that the combination of tetrahedral metallic core of WO₄ and octahedral metallic core of MnO₆ with different oxidation states was responsible for the catalysis in OCM reaction. Ji et al. [29,30] reported that both Na–O–Mn and Na–O–W acted as the active phase, and WO₄ tetrahedron (Na₂WO₄ or Na₂W₂O₇) on the catalyst surface appeared to play an essential role

* Corresponding author. Tel: +86 28 85411105; Fax: +86 28 85411105.

E-mail address: changweihu@scu.edu.cn (C. Hu).

in achieving high CH₄ conversion and high selectivity to C₂ hydrocarbons. Theoretical calculations suggested that the transition state formed via the interaction of WO₄ with CH₄ could be more stable than that of WO₆ with CH₄, demonstrating that WO₄ tetrahedron had suitable geometry and energy matching with CH₄, and this might account for the high OCM activity. However, tetrahedral WO₄ was first formed in the presence of Na and Mn when the W content was low, while the increase of W led to the presence of both tetrahedral WO₄ and octahedral WO₆. In contrast to other researchers, Chua et al. [31] and Mahmoodi et al. [32] found that Mn₂O₃ and α -cristobalite were the predominant species acting as the active phase on the nanocatalyst surface, and Na₂WO₄ and Mn₂O₃ crystalline phases contributed to achieving high selectivity to C₂ products.

In OCM reaction, CO₂ was an undesirable by-product, and it might also have effect on the reaction system. Ross et al. [33] explored the influence of CO₂ on the OCM over the Li/MgO catalyst. They found that CO₂ in the gas phase lowered both the CH₄ conversion and the yield of C₂ products. Suzuki et al. [34] reported that the use of CO₂ as a reactive diluent for OCM increased the C₂ yield and selectivity but lowered CH₄ conversion for some MgO- and Sm₂O₃-based catalysts, whereas for CaO and SrO catalysts, the presence of large amounts of CO₂ was detrimental. The effect of CO₂ on OCM kinetics was also investigated over a Li/Pb/Ca catalyst, and the reduction in CH₄ conversion and increase in C₂ selectivity were observed when CO₂ is added to the feed gas [35]. However, very few studies had been devoted to the influence of CO₂ on the structural nature of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst. In this work, the effect of CO₂ addition to OCM reaction system on the structural variation and catalytic performance of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst was studied.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst was prepared by two-step incipient wetness impregnation method. The silica support (20–40 mesh, 320 m²/g) was impregnated with aqueous solution containing appropriate amounts of Mn(NO₃)₂ (purchased from Kelong Company, 50% solution) for 24 h without stirring and then dried at 80 °C in water bath, followed by being dried at 110 °C in air for 4 h, and finally calcined at 800 °C in air for 5 h. The above prepared material was then impregnated with Na₂WO₄ (purchased from Bodi Company, 99.5%) aqueous solution for 24 h without stirring and dried at 80 °C in water bath, then dried at 110 °C in air for 4 h, and finally calcined at 800 °C in air for 5 h to obtain the catalyst.

Pretreatment of Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst by CO₂ was conducted. The catalyst prepared above was heated from ambient temperature to 800 °C at the rate of 10 °C/min under CO₂ flow (54 mL/min), and the temperature was kept at 800 °C for 10 h to treat the catalyst.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed on an X'Pert-Pro MPD diffractometer with a Cu K α monochromatic X-ray radiation operated at 40 kV and 35 mA. The data was collected over the scattering angle of 2 θ from 10° to 80° with a step of 0.03 °/s.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on AXIS Ultra DLD (KRATOS) spectrometer, using Al K α radiation (1486.6 eV) operated at an accelerating power of 150 W, and the binding energy was calibrated with XPS signals of C 1s at 284.6 eV.

Raman spectrum was obtained using a LabRAM HR Raman Spectrometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon S.A.S.) with a semiconductor laser source and the laser excitation wavelength was 785 nm. The scanning range was set from 200 to 1000 cm⁻¹.

Table 1

The influence of CH₄/O₂/CO₂ mole ratio on catalytic performance.

CH ₄ /O ₂ /CO ₂	Conversion (%)		Selectivity (%)				
	CH ₄	CO ₂	H ₂	CO ₂	CO ^d	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₆
3/1/0 ^a	28.7	–	5.6	14.3	18.7	50.0	17.0
3/1/0 ^b	29.4	–	6.2	13.4	16.8	52.4	17.4
3/1/1 ^a	31.2	–43.2 ^c	1.9	7.6	20.6	54.0	17.8
3/1/2 ^a	33.1	–14.0 ^c	2.6	4.1	21.0	56.2	18.7
3/1/2.5 ^a	27.1	–9.6 ^c	2.7	10.0	26.5	45.9	17.6
3/1/2.8 ^a	14.7	–1.6 ^c	3.3	3.1	70.4	15.0	11.5
3/1/3 ^a	12.7	2.0	3.1	–	92.6	2.2	5.2
3/1/4 ^a	11.3	1.7	2.9	–	98.8	0.6	0.6

Reaction conditions: *T* = 800 °C, total flow rate = 120 mL/min, 0.25 g Na₂WO₄/Mn/SiO₂ catalyst

^a Catalyst without pretreatment;

^b Catalyst pretreated by CO₂;

^c Minus sign showed that CO₂ was generated other than being converted;

^d The amount of CO produced from the carbon atom in CH₄, not from CO₂

2.3. Activity test

The catalytic activity test was carried out in a fixed-bed continuous flow micro-quartz-tube reactor (70 cm length, 8 mm i.d.) under ambient atmospheric pressure. 0.25 g catalyst was loaded in the middle of the reactor. A thermocouple centered at the catalyst bed was bound to the outer wall of the reactor to control the reaction temperature. The catalyst was heated from ambient temperature to reaction temperature (800 °C) at the rate of 10 °C/min under argon flow (99.99%, 30 mL/min). Then the reactants, CH₄ (99.95%) and O₂ (99.95%) were co-fed into the reactor (CH₄/O₂ = 3/1). The effect of CO₂ addition on the reaction system was studied by keeping the total GHSV of CH₄, O₂ and CO₂ (or Ar) constant, and changing the amount of CO₂ (or Ar) added. Mass flow controllers (D07-11A/ZM made by Beijing Sevenstar Electronics Co. Ltd.) were used to control the flow rate of reactant gases. A cold trap and a desiccator were used at the reactor outlet to remove the water from the effluent. Then the effluent after removal of water was analyzed by an on-line gas chromatograph (Fu Li 9750 GC) with a plot-C2000 capillary column for separating the products, and a TCD to determine the amount of each component. The data discussed in this paper were obtained at TOS (time on stream) = 10 h. Experimental data were repeatable and the deviation was about $\pm 0.2\%$. In all experiments, the amount of deposited carbon was below 7 mg/(g_{cat}), which was negligible.

2.4. Transient experiment

The reaction equipment in transient experiment was the same as that used in activity test. 0.25 g catalyst was loaded in the middle of the reactor. The catalyst was heated from ambient temperature to reaction temperature (800 °C) at the rate of 10 °C/min under argon flow (99.99%, 30 mL/min). Then the reactants and diluent gas, CH₄, O₂ and Ar were co-fed into the reactor (CH₄/O₂/Ar = 3/1/2). When OCM reaction was carried out for about 1 h, Ar was immediately switched to CO₂ (CH₄/O₂/CO₂ = 3/1/2). The effluent was analyzed by on-line multichannel HP R-20QIC mass spectrometry.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Activity results

The catalytic activity data at TOS = 10 h in both the absence and presence of CO₂ were listed in Table 1. CH₄ conversion of 28.7% and C₂H₄ selectivity of 50.0% were obtained without dilution of reagent gases (CH₄/O₂/CO₂ = 3/1/0). On the other hand, with the introduction of CO₂, from the CH₄/O₂/CO₂ molar ratio of 3/1/0 to 3/1/2, the conversion of CH₄ increased gradually, from 28.7% to 33.1%, while

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/63842>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/63842>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)