ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Invertebrate Pathology xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Invertebrate Pathology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jip

Mixed infections reveal virulence differences between host-specific bee pathogens

Ellen G. Klinger^{a,b,*}, Svjetlana Vojvodic^{c,1}, Gloria DeGrandi-Hoffman^d, Dennis L. Welker^b, Rosalind R. James^{a,2}

^a USDA-ARS Pollinating Insect Research Unit, 1410 North 800 East, Logan, UT 84341, United States

10 ^b Utah State University, 5305 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT 84322, United States

^c University of Arizona, Center for Insect Science, 1041 E. Lowell St., Tucson, AZ 85721, United States 11 12

^d USDA-ARS Carl Hayden Bee Research Center, 2000 East Allen Road, Tucson, AZ 85721, United States

ARTICLE INFO

30 18 Article history: 19 Received 5 December 2014 20 Revised 27 April 2015 21 Accepted 5 May 2015

- 22 Available online xxxx
- 23 Keywords:
- 24 Alfalfa leafcutting bee
- 25 Ascosphaera 26
- Chalkbrood 27
- Honey bee Multiple infections
- 28 29

5 6

8

ABSTRACT

Dynamics of host-pathogen interactions are complex, often influencing the ecology, evolution and behavior of both the host and pathogen. In the natural world, infections with multiple pathogens are common, yet due to their complexity, interactions can be difficult to predict and study. Mathematical models help facilitate our understanding of these evolutionary processes, but empirical data are needed to test model assumptions and predictions. We used two common theoretical models regarding mixed infections (superinfection and co-infection) to determine which model assumptions best described a group of fungal pathogens closely associated with bees. We tested three fungal species, Ascosphaera apis, Ascosphaera aggregata and Ascosphaera larvis, in two bee hosts (Apis mellifera and Megachile rotundata). Bee survival was not significantly different in mixed infections vs. solo infections with the most virulent pathogen for either host, but fungal growth within the host was significantly altered by mixed infections. In the host A. mellifera, only the most virulent pathogen was present in the host post-infection (indicating superinfective properties). In M. rotundata, the most virulent pathogen co-existed with the lesser-virulent one (indicating co-infective properties). We demonstrated that the competitive outcomes of mixed infections were host-specific, indicating strong host specificity among these fungal bee pathogens.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46 47

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72 73

74

75

76

77

78

79

48 49

51

52

53

54 55

56 57

59

1. Introduction

Pathogens are detrimental to the fitness of their hosts, and 50 changes in pathogen virulence are fueled by various evolutionary pressures (Read, 1994). Theoretical models and empirical studies used to quantify the pressures affecting pathogen virulence show a trade-off between virulence and the ability of the pathogen to be transmitted to future susceptible hosts (Anderson and May, 1982, 1979; de Roode et al., 2008; Doumayrou et al., 2013; May and Anderson, 1979). Models based on this trade-off predict that, 58 over evolutionary time, host and pathogen populations persist when pathogen virulence is intermediate and pathogen

E-mail address: ellen.klinger@ars.usda.gov (E.G. Klinger).

Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Rowan University, 201 Mullica Hill Road, Glassboro, NJ 08028, United States.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.05.003 0022-2011/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. transmission is high (Frank, 1996; Alizon et al., 2009). However, the presence of a second pathogen in the same host can alter a pathogen's ability to overcome the host's defenses (Woolhouse et al., 2002). Models that describe the evolution of pathogen virulence based on competition among multiple pathogens infecting the same host generally make predictions based on assumptions of one of two frameworks, superinfection and co-infection (May and Nowak, 1995; Nowak and May, 1994).

In the superinfective framework, one of the pathogens outcompetes the other and the virulence and transmission levels reflect those of only the most competitive pathogen, usually disturbing the balance predicted by the virulence/transmission trade-off theory (Alizon, 2013; Levin and Pimentel, 1981; Mosquera and Adler, 1998; Nowak and May, 1994). While superinfective properties have been observed in some studies (Hughes and Boomsma, 2004; Ben-Ami et al., 2008; Bashey et al., 2011), co-existence of more than one strain of the same pathogen can also occur. In a co-infection, pathogens co-exist until the host dies or recovers, and the resulting virulence and pathogen transmission will reflect a combination of both pathogens (Martcheva and Pilyugin, 2006;

Please cite this article in press as: Klinger, E.G., et al. Mixed infections reveal virulence differences between host-specific bee pathogens. J. Invertebr. Pathol. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.05.003

^{*} Corresponding author at: USDA Pollinating Insect Research Unit, 1410 North 800 East, Logan, UT 84341, United States. Tel.: +1 435 797 8011; fax: +1 435 797 0196.

Present address: USDA National Programs Office, 5601 Sunnyside Ave, Beltsville, MD 20705, United States.

2

162

189

E.G. Klinger et al./Journal of Invertebrate Pathology xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

80 May and Nowak, 1995; van Baalen and Sabelis, 1995). The evolu-81 tionary basis for coexistence is more difficult to rationalize than 82 super-infections, as it is not apparently selfish (Alizon et al., 83 2013). Low genetic diversity among multiple pathogens in a host 84 has been suggested to increase the ability of two pathogens to 85 co-infect the host (Frank, 1996; Buckling and Brockhurst, 2008; 86 Rumbaugh et al., 2012); potentially creating an evolutionarily 87 stable relationship if the pathogens share goods (e.g., digestive 88 enzymes) during the infection process.

Species-specific empirical data on mixed-infections is needed to 89 90 advance our understanding of pathogen virulence models (Alizon 91 et al., 2013; Brockhurst and Koskella, 2013). In this study, we chose 92 the fungal genus Ascosphaera to quantify parameters associated with within-host, multi-pathogen dynamics in two bee host spe-93 94 cies. The Ascosphaera are always associated with social and solitary 95 bees, and several species cause a disease known as chalkbrood. Of 96 the 28 described Ascosphaera species, some are commensal pollen 97 saprophytes found in bee nests, some are facultatively pathogenic 98 to bees, and others are obligate pathogens only found in infected bees (Anderson and Gibson, 1998; Wynns, 2012). Chalkbrood is a 99 100 disease common to megachilid bees (such as the alfalfa leafcutting 101 bee, Megachile rotundata [Megachilidae]), but also afflicts the honey bee, Apis mellifera (Apidae). Chalkbrood only infects bee lar-102 103 vae, infecting per os after the larvae ingest pollen-based food pro-104 visions contaminated with fungal spores. After the spores 105 germinate in the larval gut, the hyphae invade the hemocoel, grow 106 throughout the larval body, and eventually emerge through the 107 integument of moribund larvae, or for some Ascosphaera species, 108 the hyphae sporulate just under the larval cuticle (McManus and 109 Youssef, 1984). Only after host death do the hyphae produce spores 110 in vivo, which then get disseminated to future larval food provi-111 sions by emerging adult bees, whereby the pathogen gets transmitted. 112

113 Virulence studies of Ascosphaera and other fungal infections in 114 honey bees have been mostly limited to describing single pathogen 115 infections (Vandenberg & Goettel, 1995; Goettel et al., 1997; 116 Gilliam, 2000; Vojvodic et al., 2011), but Vojvodic et al. (2012) 117 found honey bee mortality was significantly increased when 118 Ascosphaera atra was combined with an obligate pathogen, 119 Ascosphaera apis. Thus, mixed infections of Ascosphaera species 120 may be more detrimental to their bee hosts than single infections, 121 but to what extent is that the case throughout the remainder of the Ascosphaera pathogens is hard to predict. We selected three patho-122 123 gens, A. apis, Ascosphaera aggregata, and Ascosphaera larvis to determine if mixed infections with these pathogens in bees favor the 124 125 assumptions of the superinfective or co-infective framework. 126 Both A. apis and A. aggregata are the most prevalent obligate patho-127 gens of two economically important bee species, A. mellifera (the 128 European honey bee) and Megachile rotundata (the alfalfa leafcut-129 ting bee), respectively (Aronstein and Murray, 2010; James and 130 Pitts-Singer, 2013). A. larvis is pathogenic to the alfalfa leafcutting 131 bee, but it also grows saprophytically on the pollen provisions (Goettel et al., 1997; Bissett, 1988). It is not reported to occur in 132 honey bee hives. 133

134 2. Methods

135 2.1. General bioassay methods

To quantify the competition dynamics of mixed *Ascosphaera* spp. infections in the bees, we compared host survival and fungal production (post host death) in bees fed combinations of pathogens. Bioassays were conducted using three pathogens (*A. apis, A. larvis*, and *A. aggregata*) and two hosts (honey bee and alfalfa leafcutting bee). For each treatment replicate, 36–60 bee larvae were given one of seven treatments (252-420 larvae per replicate) with 142 various combinations of one or two pathogens mixed in their diet 143 (Table 1). In the single pathogen treatments, larvae were fed 5 μ l of 144 a 2×10^5 pathogen spores/ml diet mixture, resulting in a dose of 145 1000 spores per larva. For multiple pathogen treatments, larvae 146 were fed 2.5 μ l of 4 × 10⁵ spores/ml of each pathogen 147 (1000 spores), resulting in a total dose of 5 µl and 2000 spores 148 per larva, providing a two-way multivariate experimental design 149 to test the effects of co-infections as compared to single infections 150 (for similar designs, see Chouvenc et al., 2012; Raymond et al., 151 2007; Vojvodic et al., 2012). After treatment, larval survival was 152 checked every day until all surviving larvae had either pupated 153 (for the honey bee, up to 10 days) or spun a prepupal cocoon (for 154 the alfalfa leafcutting bee, up to 24 days). Larvae were considered 155 deceased when feeding movement could not be observed and lar-156 val body structure appeared rigid. After death, the cadavers were 157 monitored for evidence of fungal growth. Time to death (in days), 158 presence or absence of external hyphae (for honey bees only 159 because A. aggregata does not emerge from the host cuticle), and 160 presence or absence of spore production were recorded. 161

2.2. Fungal source cultures

The spores of A. aggregata and A. apis used in the experiments 163 came from dead, infected honey bee or alfalfa leafcutter bee larvae 164 found in the field in the general vicinity of Logan, Utah between 165 July and August 2011. These dead larvae with sporulating infec-166 tions were stored for approximately one year at 4 °C until experi-167 mental use. For each replicate, spores were collected from three 168 alfalfa leafcutting bee larvae killed by *A. aggregata* and three honey 169 bee larvae killed by A. apis. The purity and identification of the 170 spores was verified using PCR (James and Skinner, 2005). All the 171 spores from one host species were placed in a sterile glass tube 172 and ground with a small glass tissue grinder (Radnoti Glass 173 Company, Monrovia, CA) to break apart the spore balls and sepa-174 rate the spores. Sterile water (1 ml) was added, the mixture further 175 homogenized, then transferred to a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube 176 and mixed on a vortex mixer for 20 min. The sample was allowed 177 to settle by gravity for 20-45 min, and then spores were removed 178 from the middle of the suspension with a sterile pipet. Spore con-179 centration was determined using a hemocytometer and adjusted to 180 concentrations for use in the experiment (Table 1). A. larvis spores 181 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC[®] 182 62708[™]; Manassas, VA) and were originally isolated from an 183 alfalfa leafcutting bee cadaver (Bissett, 1988). We maintained A. 184 larvis on Sabouraud dextrose agar. Spore viability for all three 185 Ascosphaera species was verified for each experiment following a 186 CO₂ rich, liquid germination protocol in the dark at either 29 °C 187 (for A. apis) or 34 °C (for A. aggregata) (James and Buckner, 2004). 188

2.3. Honey bee bioassay

Honey bee larvae were obtained from three queenright and vis-190 ibly disease-free nucleus colonies located at the USDA-ARS Carl 191 Hayden Bee Research Center in Tucson, Arizona. To collect larvae 192 of a uniform age, a clean frame of honey comb was placed in the 193 center of each colony and the queen was restricted to part of the 194 comb using a metal cage. The queen was caged for approximately 195 48 h, after which most of the comb available to her was filled with 196 one egg per cell. Three days after cage removal, larvae younger 197 than 24 h old were grafted (removed) from the frame and placed 198 into a warmed, sterile, 48-well plate (BD Biosciences, San Jose, 199 CA). Each well contained one egg and 40 μ l of honey bee larval diet, 200 consisting of 50% fresh frozen royal jelly (Stakich, Royal Oak, MI) 201 and 50% (v/v) of an aqueous solution containing sterile deionized 202 water, 12% glucose, 12% fructose and 2% yeast extract (Aupinel 203

Please cite this article in press as: Klinger, E.G., et al. Mixed infections reveal virulence differences between host-specific bee pathogens. J. Invertebr. Pathol. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.05.003

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6389466

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6389466

Daneshyari.com