



Short communication

Validation of a Real-time PCR assay for fast and sensitive quantification of *Brucella* spp. in water buffalo milk

Maria Grazia Amoroso, Caterina Salzano, Barbara Cioffi, Michele Napoletano, Francesca Garofalo, Achille Guarino, Giovanna Fusco*

Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Mezzogiorno, Division of Caserta, Via Jervolino n. 19, 81029 Caserta, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 30 July 2010
Received in revised form
22 February 2011
Accepted 1 March 2011

Keywords:
Brucella spp.
Real-time PCR
Assay validation
Water buffalo

ABSTRACT

Water buffalo milk and derived dairy products, including mozzarella cheese, represent a possible source of *Brucella* contamination for consumers. *Brucella* is a severe pathogen for human health even at low concentrations. It is therefore fundamental to develop an assay that is faster and more sensitive than the traditional bacterial culturing method for the detection of the pathogen in the food matrix. We designed a Real-time PCR assay able to detect as low as 1 CFU/ml of *Brucella* spp. in water (80% probability) and 3 CFU/ml of *Brucella* spp. in buffalo milk (50% probability) in less than 3 h without any enrichment step. The assay was validated by calculating specificity, sensitivity, detection limit, precision, PCR efficiency, DNA extraction efficiency and food matrix inhibition. When this method was employed to detect and quantify *Brucella* spp. in 109 buffalo milk samples, the assay demonstrated a higher sensitivity in comparison to bacteriological analysis (27 positive samples and 2 positive samples, respectively).

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The European Community has a long tradition of production and consumption of raw milk cheese. Since cheeses made from raw or thermised milk have been responsible for many food poisoning outbreaks in humans by several bacteria, it is fundamental to ensure microbiological safety of these products (Commission Recommendation of 19 December 2003, 2004/24/EC, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu>). Mozzarella di Bufala Campana (Buffalo Mozzarella Cheese, BMC) is an unripened cheese famous worldwide for its special and unique flavour and taste. It is produced in specific regions of southern Italy from the milk of locally raised water buffaloes. According to its disciplinary of production (Decree of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Annex 1, 18 September 2003, G.U. n. 258, 6.11.2003), this cheese must be exclusively manufactured with raw, thermized or pasteurized whole fresh buffalo milk. The main use of unpasteurized milk, together with a production process that has no step to surely inactivate bacteria, render the product a possible vehicle of pathogens from the raw materials. Of these pathogens, *Brucella* spp. is of great importance because brucellosis is endemic in water buffalo in southern Italy. *Brucella* localizes in the supramammary lymph nodes and mammary glands

of infected animals and is consequently spread in milk. Since production of BMC cannot warrant danger (pathogen) elimination, to correctly implement the H.A.C.C.P. system, (mandatory for all the food industries, EC Regulation 852/2004 on the hygiene of food-stuffs) it is essential to pursue the absolute safety of the raw buffalo milk. In this regard, EC Regulation 853/2004 states that raw buffalo milk must come from herds that are officially free of brucellosis. Nevertheless, in order to guarantee food safety it is important to utilize a rapid and sensitive detection method that can lead to the identification of likely-contaminated milk samples coming from previously unrecognized infected animals.

Detection of *Brucella* spp. in milk is commonly carried out by a bacterial culture method (reference standard assay). However, as a class III pathogen, *Brucella* cultures are hazardous, require a 6-week time period for definitive diagnosis, and require a minimal amount of viable *Brucella* in the specimen (Bounaadja et al., 2009). These limitations have led to the development of new PCR-based detection methods that are rapid, less hazardous, and more sensitive. The ability to find pathogenic bacteria in food samples by molecular assays is influenced by PCR-inhibitory substances associated with the food matrix (Rossen, Nørskov, Holmström, & Rasmussen, 1992) and by the specificity of the genus of the pathogen to be identified. In this study we analysed the ability of different combinations of commercial DNA extraction and Real-time PCR amplification kits to detect *Brucella* spp. in water buffalo milk with absolute specificity and high sensitivity, comparing the

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 (0) 823 388241.
E-mail address: giovanna.fusco@cert.izsmporciti.it (G. Fusco).

results with those obtained by traditional culture analysis. With the best combination of kits in terms of efficiency, sensitivity, specificity and precision, we developed a standardized Real-time PCR assay that allowed us to quantify the amount of *Brucella* present in 1 ml of contaminated field milk. This is the first paper, to our knowledge, reporting the validation of an assay for Real-time PCR quantification of *Brucella* in buffalo milk.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Biological material

The study was carried out on samples of milk and serum collected from 109 buffaloes culled in the district of Caserta, including 89 animals belonging to herds with a known history of brucellosis and 20 animals belonging to herds officially *Brucella*-free. Bacterial strains utilized for cross-reaction assessment are indicated in Table 1. *Brucella abortus* reference strain was kindly provided by the National Reference Laboratory for Brucellosis, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale dell'Abruzzo e del Molise, Teramo, Italy.

2.2. Detection of anti-brucella antibodies (IgGαBr) in buffalo serum and milk

Animal serum-positivity to *Brucella* was established by Rose Bengal test (RBT) and complement fixation test (CFT). The analyses were carried out according to the World Organisation for Animal health (OIE) Terrestrial Manual 2008 (www.oie.int/fr/normes/mmanual/2008/pdf/2.04.03_BOVINE_BRUCCELL). Milk samples were tested for the presence of anti-*Brucella abortus* IgG and anti-*Brucella melitensis* IgG by ELISA using a commercial kit (Pourquier ELISA Brucellosis, Institute Pourquier, Montpellier, France).

Table 1

List of non-*Brucella* strains analysed in this study.

Species	Strain
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	Field strain
<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Field strain
<i>Campylobacter coli</i>	Field strain
<i>Listeria innocua</i>	ATCC 33090
<i>Listeria ivanovii</i>	ATCC 19119
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	ATCC 7644
<i>Ochrobactrum anthropi</i>	ATCC 49687
<i>Ochrobactrum anthropi</i>	ATCC BAA749
<i>Rodococcus equi</i>	ATCC 6939
<i>Salmonella enterica ser. Typhimurium</i>	ATCC 14028
<i>Salmonella Poona</i>	NCTC 4840
<i>Streptococcus bovis</i>	Field strain
<i>Vibrio parahemolyticus</i>	Field strain
<i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i>	ATCC 9610
Bacteria isolated from water buffalo milk	
<i>Aerococcus viridans</i>	Field strain
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	Field strain
<i>E. coli</i> O157	Field strain
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	Field strain
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	Field strain
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Field strain
<i>Staphylococcus cromogenes</i>	Field strain
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	Field strain
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	Field strain
<i>Streptococcus disgalactiae</i>	Field strain
<i>Streptococcus parasanguinis</i>	Field strain
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	Field strain
<i>Streptococcus uberis</i>	Field strain

2.3. Detection of *Brucella* spp. in milk by culturing

Isolation and identification of *Brucella* spp. in milk was carried out by examination of cultures according to the OIE Terrestrial Manual 2008 (http://www.oie.int/fr/normes/mmanual/2008/pdf/2.04.03_BOVINE_BRUCCELL). Positive colonies were sent to Brucellosis National Reference Laboratory for typing.

2.4. Extraction of DNA

DNA from bacteria was extracted using PrepMan® Ultra Sample Preparation Reagent (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). Bacterial DNA was extracted from milk samples with two commercial kits: (N) NucleoSpin® Food (Macherey–Nagel GmbH & Co., Düren, Germany) and (Q) QIAamp® DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.5. Real-time PCR analysis

DNA was amplified by Real-time PCR using two commercial kits: (AB) TaqMan® *Brucella* species detection kit (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) and (BIO) BioDect *Brucella* spp. detection kit (Biodiversity, Brescia, Italy). Kit AB, specific for *Brucella melitensis*, *abortus*, *canis*, *suis*, *neotomae* and *ovis* (Applied Biosystems, technical support), amplifies the gene *rnpB*, which codes for an RNA component of Ribonuclease P, an essential endonuclease that acts early in the tRNA biogenesis pathway (Xiao, Scott, Fierke, & Engelke, 2002). Kit BIO identifies *Brucella* spp. by amplification of a sequence of the gene *bcs31*, which codes for a 311 kDa cell surface protein found in all *Brucella* species and biovars (Baily, Krahn, Drasar, & Stoker, 1992). Amplifications were performed on a StepOnePlus™ Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) following kit specific protocols. All the samples were analysed in triplicate. Positive and negative test controls included in this study are indicated in Table 2.

2.6. DNA extraction and Real-time PCR performance evaluation

Extraction efficiency of the two DNA extraction kits under analysis was determined by performing extraction and Real-time PCR on samples of both DNase/RNase-free water (control) and certified *Brucella*-free water buffalo milk (sample), each contaminated with a known amount of *Brucella*. Efficiency was then calculated according to the equation $R = 2^{ct_{\text{sample}} - ct_{\text{control}}}$ (Fleige et al., 2006). Food matrix inhibition was measured by performing the assay on two controls (DNase/RNase-free water and *Brucella*-free

Table 2

Amplification controls.

Internal positive control (IPC)	Chimeric non-relevant DNA already contained in the reaction mix together with its primers and probe. Its amplification indicates the absence of PCR inhibitors in the sample.
Process positive control (PPC)	<i>Brucella</i> -free buffalo milk sample spiked with <i>Brucella</i> and processed throughout the protocol
Process negative control (PNC)	<i>Brucella</i> -free buffalo milk sample processed throughout the protocol
Positive PCR control (PC)	Reaction containing a known concentration of extracted <i>Brucella</i>
Negative PCR control (NC)	Reaction performed with DNA/RNA-free water instead of DNA extracted
Premises control	Tube containing all reagents and left open in the PCR set up room. Its amplification indicates presence of contaminating DNA in the environment (quality control carried out at regular intervals).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6394612>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6394612>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)