Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Separation and Purification Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/seppur

A review of the mechanisms and models of bubble-particle detachment in froth flotation

Guichao Wang^{a,*}, Anh V. Nguyen^b, Subhasish Mitra^a, J.B. Joshi^c, Graeme J. Jameson^a, Geoffrey M. Evans^a

^a Discipline of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Newcastle, 2308, Australia
^b School of Chemical Engineering, University of Queensland, 4072, Australia

^c Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai 400094, India

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 25 January 2016 Received in revised form 19 June 2016 Accepted 24 June 2016 Available online 27 June 2016

Keywords: Bubble-particle detachment Detachment model Turbulence Flotation

ABSTRACT

Only when the process of particle detachment is well understood and modelled can minerals recovery using the flotation process be modulated to achieve a high efficiency by suitably changing the operating parameters. This is vitally necessary for the recovery of coarse particles in an energy efficient way, as detachment is the key limiting factor in the successful recovery of large particles. However, until the detachment mechanism is more fully understood, an upper limit on the floatable particle diameter still remains unidentified. To assess the current state of knowledge available in this area, a comprehensive literature review on the mechanisms and models of the bubble-particle detachment process in froth flotation is presented. In general, the detachment process is considered to be a stochastic process, and is usually attributed to the dynamic interactions with the turbulent flow structures (eddies) in the flotation environment which cause particles to detach because of dissipating energy. In this paper, previous studies on bubble-particle detachment have been critically analyzed with respect to the formulation of the models in predicting the detachment probability of particles. The models are classified into three different categories: force balance analysis; energy balance analysis and empirical analysis of particle size compared to maximum floatable particle size. Attention is also paid to an understanding of the mechanisms of bubble-particle detachment in quiescent and turbulent liquid flow fields. The predictions of all these models have been compared with the published experimental data and it was found that models which take an accurate consideration of the influence of eddies on a particle's detachment give the closest predictions. The generally held concept of bubble-particle detachment inside an eddy was experimentally validated, where a particle was observed to rotate on the surface of a bubble, resulting in a centrifugal acceleration 20 times that of gravitational acceleration. The aim of this paper is to review the developments and limitations of the existing models. The experimental work is reviewed so as to reveal the mechanisms of bubble-particle detachment. Therefore, the future development of models is identified in order to successfully predict particle detachment.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1.	Introduction	156
2.	Bubble-particle detachment models	158
	2.1. Detachment models based on force balance	158
	2.2. Detachment models based on energy balance	163
	2.3. Detachment models based on maximum floatable particle size	164
3.	Experimental works	164
4.	Summary of discussions	167
5.	Conclusion	170
	References	170

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: Guichao.wang@uon.edu.au (G. Wang).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.06.041 1383-5866/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



Review





No	men	icla	ture
110	inci	icia	un c

Α	magnitude of vibration (m)	$d_{b\max}$	maximum stable bubble diameter (m)
A_s	empirical constant (–)	d_{pmax}	maximum floatable particle diameter (m)
Во	Bond number (–)	d_{pmin}	minimum particle diameter below which detachment
B_{o}^{*}	modified Bond number (–)		probability is zero (m)
Č	correction to the estimation of the particle detachment	g	gravity acceleration (m/s^2)
	energy, Eq. (61) (–)	k	flotation rate constant (–)
C_{n}	particle concentration in the pulp (kg/m^3)	1	particle rotating distance from the axis of an eddy (m)
E_1	energy barrier (J)	m_p	particle mass (kg)
E'_{k}	detachment energy (J)	m_{b}	bubble mass (kg)
ΔE_{de}	particle energy of detachment (J)	r	radius of rotation (m)
F_a	centrifugal force (N)	r_s	radius of particle movement on bubble surface due to
Fatt	attaching force (N)	-	bubble vibration (m)
F_c	capillary force (N)	p_1	pressure acting on the particle at the bottom (pa)
F_{b}	buoyancy force (N)	$\frac{1}{u_l}$	fluctuating velocity corresponding to eddy of scale <i>l</i> (m/
F _{de}	detaching force (N)		s)
F_{g}	gravity force (N)	u′	fluctuating velocity corresponding to eddy of κ -space
$\vec{F_p}$	pressure force (N)		(m/s)
Ĥ	distance between the bubble apex and the plane of the	u_{ps}	circular velocity of the attached particle on the vibrating
	three phase contact circle (m)		bubble (m/s)
P_a	attachment probability (–)		
P_c	collision probability (–)	Greek letters	
Pcollection	collection probability (-)	ω	rotational speed (rad/s)
P_d	detachment probability (–)	σ	surface tension (N/m)
R _{imp}	radius of impeller (m)	θ_{A}	advancing contact angle (°)
R_p	particle radius (m)	θ_R	receding contact angle (°)
S	strength of bubble-particle aggregate (N)	α	central angle (°)
U_D	impeller tip velocity (m/s)	θ	contact angle (°)
V_g	gas superficial velocity (m/s)	ρ	particle density (kg/m^3)
ΔV	turbulent relative velocity between the particle and the	ρι	liquid density (kg/m^3)
	bubble (m/s)	ρ _P	particle density (kg/m^3)
W_a	work of adhesion (J)	3	energy dissipation rate (m^2/s^3)
b_m	eddy turbulent acceleration (m/s ²)	κ	wavenumber of oscillating eddy (1/m)
$b_{\rm max}$	sum acceleration due to circulation and vibration (m/s ²)	α_R	maximum central angle at attaching process (°)
<i>C</i> ₁	constant in fluctuating velocity equation, Eq. (21) (–)	α_m	central angle at maximum capillary force (°)
<i>c</i> ₂	particle rotational radius correction factor (–)	v	kinetic viscosity (m^2/s)
d_{ag}	diameter of hubble-particle aggregate (m)	Ø	area of contact between particle and bubble (m^2)
	diameter of bubble-particle aggregate (iii)	× ×	
d	characteristic length scale of particle (m)	$\widetilde{\Delta}\rho$	density difference between particle and liquid (kg/m ³)
d^{-} d_{B}	characteristic length scale of particle (m) bubble diameter (m)	$\widetilde{\Delta} ho$	density difference between particle and liquid (kg/m³)
d d_B d_P	characteristic length scale of particle (m) bubble diameter (m) particle diameter (m)	$\widetilde{\Delta} ho$	density difference between particle and liquid (kg/m³)

1. Introduction

Froth flotation is an important process in the mining industry, and is widely used in the recovery of valuable minerals from the ores. It is also applied in processes like the deinking of waste paper and in waste water treatment. The essence of flotation lies in using bubbles to capture particles based on their surface hydrophobicity difference. Hydrophobic particles are more likely to attach to the bubble interface due to a strong adhesion force compared to hydrosphilic particles. The kinetics of flotation is often described as a firstorder process, relating the rate of particle attachment to particle concentrations [2,8,58,60,61,106]. Following this definition, the rate of a particle capture process in a batch process can be described as:

$$\frac{dC_p}{dt} = -kC_p \tag{1}$$

where the rate constant, k, represents the rate of the removal of particles from the pulp, and C_p is the particle concentration in the pulp in units of mass/volume. It is noted that Eq. (1) only applies to the simulated removal of particles in a batch process. In a continuous flotation cell, the inlet and outlet concentrations do not change with time in a steady state, so that Eq. (1) does not apply to the cell as a whole. Batch flotation has been most extensively studied in the laboratory. The experimental data has been tested against a more general model:

$$\frac{dC_p}{dt} = -kC_p^n \tag{2}$$

where n is the order of the "reaction" between the particles and bubbles. Arbiter [7] considered the second-order fit experimental data. Morris [80] considered a first-order rate equation similar to Eq. (1). When integrated, it gave:

$$k = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{C_0 - x}{C_t - x} \tag{3}$$

where C_0 is the original concentration of the mineral, C_t is the concentration after time t, and x is the percentage of unfloatable mineral.

Bushell [21] used a modified first-order equation to fit his data, and gave:

$$\frac{dC_p}{dt} = -k(C_p - C_T) \tag{4}$$

Here, C_T is the concentration of unfloatable material. The fit of the function improved when C_T was taken as an empirical constant.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/639815

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/639815

Daneshyari.com