



# Impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, climate change and their interactions on water budgets in four different catchments in Australia



Lei Cheng<sup>a</sup>, Lu Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ying-Ping Wang<sup>b</sup>, Qiang Yu<sup>c</sup>, Derek Eamus<sup>c,d</sup>, Anthony O'Grady<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CSIRO Land and Water Flagship, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

<sup>b</sup> CSIRO Ocean and Atmosphere Flagship, Aspendale, Victoria 3195, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster, Faculty of Science, University of Technology Sydney, PO Box 123, Broadway, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

<sup>d</sup> National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, University of Technology Sydney, PO Box 123, Broadway, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

<sup>e</sup> CSIRO Land and Water Flagship, Private Bag 12, Hobart, TAS 7001, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 30 January 2014

Received in revised form 26 August 2014

Accepted 9 September 2014

Available online 4 October 2014

This manuscript was handled by Konstantine P. Georgakakos, Editor-in-Chief, with the assistance of Venkat Lakshmi, Associate Editor

### Keywords:

Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration

Carbon–water coupling relationship

Changing climate

Water balance

Physiological effects

## SUMMARY

Future water availability is affected directly by climate change mainly through changes in precipitation and indirectly by the biological effects of climate change and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (eCO<sub>2</sub>) through changes in vegetation water use. Previous studies of climate change impact on hydrology have focused on the direct impact and little has been reported in the literature on catchment-scale the indirect impact. In this study, we calibrated an ecohydrological model (WAVES) and used this model to estimate the direct and indirect effects and the interactive effect between climate change and eCO<sub>2</sub> on water availability in four different catchments in Australia with contrasting climate regime and vegetation cover. These catchments were: a water-limited forest catchment and an energy-limited forest catchment, a water-limited grass catchment and an energy-limited grass catchment. The future meteorological forcing was projected from 12 GCMs representing a period centred on 2050s and future CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was set as 550 ppm. Modelling experiments show that impacts of eCO<sub>2</sub> and projected climate change on vegetation growth, evapotranspiration (ET) and runoff were in the same magnitude but opposite directions in all four catchments, except for the effects on runoff in the energy-limited grass catchment. Predicted responses of runoff to eCO<sub>2</sub> indicate that eCO<sub>2</sub> increased runoff in the energy-limited forest catchment by ~2% but decreased runoff in other three catchments from 1% to 18%. This study indicates that rising CO<sub>2</sub> increases ecosystem water use efficiency but it does not necessarily result in increased runoff because elevated CO<sub>2</sub> also stimulates vegetation growth and increases ET. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> was proved to have greater impacts on runoff than climate change in the forest catchments. Modelling experiments also suggest that interactive effects between climate and CO<sub>2</sub> are important, especially for predicting leaf area index (LAI) and ET in grassland catchments or runoff in water-limited catchments, where interactive effects were 1–6%. It implies that the assumption that linear combination of individual effects in most of previous studies is not appropriate. This study highlights the importance of considering elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in assessing climate change impacts on catchment-scale water balance and failure to account for direct eCO<sub>2</sub> effect or its interactive effects can lead to large bias in the predictions of future water budgets, especially for the water-limited catchments in Australia.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Climate change is predicted to shape new hydroclimatic regimes in many regions of the world (Ramanathan et al., 2001; Dore, 2005; Dai, 2013), and will have significant impacts on water availability (Milly et al., 2005; Bates et al., 2008; Milly et al., 2008). Recent observational studies have shown that elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (denoted as eCO<sub>2</sub>) may have significant implications

for water availability through its physiological effects on plant function associated with the increased water-use-efficiency (WUE) (Eamus, 1991; Field et al., 1995; O'Grady et al., 2011). Modelling results at both plot and global scales have shown that changes in WUE may lead to a discernible increase in water availability or runoff (Gedney et al., 2006; Betts et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2010; Warren et al., 2011). Potential increase in water availability under eCO<sub>2</sub> may be particularly important for water-limited regions (Wullschlegel et al., 2002), such as Australia (Eamus et al., 2006). However, the physiological effects of eCO<sub>2</sub> on water budget at catchment scales have rarely been addressed (Bates et al., 2008).

\* Corresponding author.

At the leaf scale,  $eCO_2$  trends to reduce stomatal conductance and consequently lower transpiration rate per unit leaf area. This is a water saving effect. Thus, if all other factors remain unchanged,  $eCO_2$  should increase water availability. This leaf-scale effect has been observed in many experimental studies (Eamus and Jarvis, 1989; Norby et al., 1999; Medlyn et al., 2001; Ainsworth and Long, 2005). Several modelling studies showed that runoff increased significantly caused by this leaf-scale physiological effect of  $eCO_2$  (e.g., Aston (1984), Gedney et al. (2006) and Cao et al. (2010)). At stand or regional scales, however, the physiological processes associated with  $eCO_2$  can increase canopy leaf area index (LAI) via two mechanisms. One is via direct  $CO_2$  fertilization effects (Field et al., 1995; Körner et al., 2007; De Kauwe et al., 2014); the other is indirectly via increased water availability resulting from reduced stomatal conductance (Wullschleger et al., 2002; Morgan et al., 2011). Increased LAI may offset the effect of the leaf-scale increased WUE on ecosystem water availability and result in little or no change in ecosystem water budgets (Levis et al., 2000). The net effect of  $eCO_2$  on regional water budgets therefore depends on both responses of stomatal conductance and feedbacks of canopy LAI. The magnitude of the feedbacks of LAI is a key determinant of whether  $eCO_2$  will increase runoff and by how much because leaves are the primary interface of among energy, water and carbon (Woodward, 1990; Cowling and Field, 2003; Piao et al., 2007; Bounoua et al., 2010; Norby and Zak, 2011). How the physiological effects of  $eCO_2$  will manifest at catchment scale is poorly understood and likely to vary across different climate regimes and ecosystems (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; McMurtrie et al., 2008; Leakey et al., 2012).

Future changes in precipitation, temperature and evaporative demand (determined by radiation, humidity, wind speed and temperature) are direct drivers of catchment water yield (Bates et al., 2008). Increased evaporative demand can enhance regional evapotranspiration and decrease runoff. However, both evapotranspiration (ET) and runoff can increase if precipitation increases. Similarly, canopy LAI may be altered by climate change directly by the changes in meteorological forcing on growth (Cowling and Field, 2003) and indirectly through the influence of climate change on regional soil water availability (Knapp et al., 2002; Gerten et al., 2008a). Changes in canopy LAI induced by climate change can also exert indirect influences on regional water budgets through changes in partitioning of ecosystem transpiration and evaporation water use (Zhang et al., 2001; Puma et al., 2013). Future climate change is projected to vary spatio-temporally in both magnitude and direction (IPCC, 2007), thus sensitivities of both vegetation and water budget to climate change may be markedly different across space and time (Milly et al., 2005; Hyvönen et al., 2007; Bonan, 2008). In addition, complex interactions among the influences of both  $eCO_2$  and climate change on canopy LAI and water budget can dampen or amplify the impacts of either individual factor (Cramer et al., 2001; Gerten et al., 2005), because physiological effects of  $eCO_2$  at regional scale depend on both canopy LAI and meteorological conditions. However, the effects of the interactions between climate change and  $eCO_2$  on canopy LAI and water budget have rarely been considered. In those studies that considered such effects, a linear combination of these effects caused by  $eCO_2$  and other environmental drivers was routinely assumed (e.g., Betts et al. (1997), Gedney et al. (2006) and Piao et al. (2007)). Sellers et al. (1996) showed that nonlinear interactions between physiological and radiative effects of double  $CO_2$  on plant growth were noticeable and differed across latitudinal gradients. Luo et al. (2008) demonstrated that interactions among changes in temperature,  $CO_2$  and precipitation on carbon and water dynamics are not consistent among different ecosystems. However, whether nonlinear interactions between climate and  $CO_2$  on plant growth and their impacts

on the water availability are important has rarely been quantified across different ecosystems.

Quantifying the changes in future water yield due to either  $eCO_2$  and climate change remains a challenge (Huntington, 2008; Luo et al., 2011), and whether interactive effects between  $eCO_2$  and climate change on both canopy LAI and water budgets are negligible in different climatic and vegetation condition needs further investigation. Model simulations are a useful approach to elucidate and predict the physiological effects of  $eCO_2$  and their interactions with climate change since physiological effects of  $eCO_2$  at regional scale were poorly understood and atmospheric  $CO_2$  content is projected to rise beyond our observation (Luo et al., 2011). General circulation models with sophisticated land surface models have been used to study the  $eCO_2$  effects on water availability globally (e.g., Sellers et al. (1996), Betts et al. (1997), Gedney et al. (2006), Piao et al. (2007), Betts et al. (2007), Gerten et al. (2008b), Cao et al. (2010)), however the results of these studies are inconclusive due to their differences in modelling methodology including physiological processes of  $eCO_2$ , model structure and underlying assumptions (Gerten et al., 2008b; Bounoua et al., 2010; De Kauwe et al., 2013) and poor hydrological performances (Zhou et al., 2012). At catchment scales, previous modelling experiments have consistently predicted an increase in runoff in response to  $eCO_2$  with a relative response ranging from less than 10% (Eckhardt and Ulbrich (2003), Kruijt et al. (2008), and Leuzinger and Körner (2010)) to about 90% (Aston, 1984). Many previous studies of  $eCO_2$  at catchment scale suffer from two weaknesses. First, physiological processes and hydrological processes were loosely coupled in those models (e.g. Eckhardt and Ulbrich (2003)). As a result, interactions between canopy LAI and soil hydrology under  $eCO_2$  cannot be studied systematically (Gerten et al., 2004; De Kauwe et al., 2013). Secondly, modelling was usually carried out for specific climate regime and vegetation cover. Thus results from those studies may not be applicable to other regions (Wullschleger et al., 2002; McMurtrie et al., 2008).

In this study, a coupled water-carbon ecohydrological model WAVES (WATER Vegetation Energy and Solute modelling, see Zhang et al. (1996)) was used to investigate the effects of  $eCO_2$  and their interactions with future climate change on canopy LAI and the water budget. Four small catchments in Australia were selected with contrasting vegetation cover (i.e. forest versus grass) and climate regimes (i.e. water-limited versus energy-limited). Water-limited climate represents a dry climatic condition where mean annual precipitation is less than mean annual potential evaporation. While, energy-limited climate regime refers to a wet climatic condition where mean annual precipitation is larger than mean annual potential evaporation. The four selected catchments included a water-limited forest catchment and an energy-limited forest catchment as well as a water-limited grass catchment and an energy-limited grass catchment. The future meteorological forcing representing 2050s was projected from 12 GCMs of IPCC AR4 with emission scenario A2, and then downscaled to the study catchments. The future  $CO_2$  concentration under emission scenario A2 (i.e.,  $eCO_2$ ) at 2050s is projected to be 550 ppm. In particular, this study has four objectives: (1) to demonstrate whether a water-carbon coupled model can capture the physiological impacts of both  $eCO_2$  and climate change on canopy LAI and their hydrological impacts on catchment water budgets in different typical ecosystems; (2) to assess effects of  $eCO_2$  on canopy LAI and catchment water yield under different climate regimes and vegetation cover in Australia; (3) to estimate whether impacts of  $eCO_2$  on water budgets in vegetated catchments are small enough to be ignored in comparison to the impacts of future climate change; (4) to investigate whether the interactions between  $eCO_2$  and changes in climate forcing are negligible in predicting future canopy LAI and water yield.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6411911>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6411911>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)