



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Morphisms between indecomposable complexes in the bounded derived category of a gentle algebra



Kristin Krogh Arnesen^a, Rosanna Laking^{b,*},
David Pauksztello^{b,*}

^a Faculty of Teacher and Interpreter Education, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

^b School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 February 2015

Available online 4 August 2016

Communicated by Michel Van den Bergh

MSC:

18E30

16G10

05E10

Keywords:

Bounded derived category

Gentle algebra

Homotopy string and band

String combinatorics

Morphism

ABSTRACT

In this article we provide a simple combinatorial description of morphisms between indecomposable complexes in the bounded derived category of a gentle algebra.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: kristin.arnesen@ntnu.no (K.K. Arnesen), rosanna.laking@manchester.ac.uk (R. Laking), david.pauksztello@manchester.ac.uk (D. Pauksztello).

Contents

Introduction	2
1. Preliminaries and notation	4
2. Indecomposable objects in \mathbf{D}	5
2.1. Homotopy strings	6
2.2. Homotopy bands	8
2.3. Infinite homotopy strings	9
2.4. The indecomposable objects of \mathbf{D}	11
3. Morphisms between indecomposable objects of \mathbf{D}	12
3.1. Single and double maps	12
3.2. Graph maps and quasi-graph maps	15
3.3. The main theorem	17
4. Proof of the main theorem	18
4.1. A basis at the level of complexes	18
4.2. The strategy for constructing homotopy classes	20
4.3. Basic maps f such that $\mathcal{H}(f)$ is not singleton	21
4.4. Basic maps f such that $\mathcal{H}(f) = \{f\}$	24
5. Higher-dimensional band complexes	25
5.1. Definition, example and unfolded diagrams	26
5.2. Passing through the link	26
5.3. A worked example	27
5.4. Maps which are not self-extensions	30
5.5. Self-extensions of bands	33
6. Application: irreducible morphisms between string complexes	34
6.1. Algorithm for determining irreducible maps	35
6.2. Irreducible maps involving infinite homotopy strings	37
6.3. Non-irreducible maps	38
6.4. Proof of Theorem 6.6	39
7. Application: discrete derived categories	43
Acknowledgments	45
References	45

Introduction

Triangulated categories are of central importance in many branches of mathematics, providing a common framework for algebraists, geometers, topologists and theoretical physicists, amongst others. Perhaps the most famous illustration of their utility is Beilinson's equivalences between the derived categories of coherent sheaves on projective spaces and representations of certain finite-dimensional algebras [7], which provided deep connections between algebra and geometry.

In algebra and geometry, the triangulated categories we have in mind are derived categories and categories constructed from them, for example, cluster categories. However, despite their utility, there is a major drawback: the construction of derived categories is abstract and explicit computation is often difficult. Indeed, much intuition is often obtained from examples of homological dimension one (= hereditary), owing to particularly nice homological properties which allow one to reduce computations to the (well-understood) abelian categories with which one starts.

Developing intuition in such an abstract setting requires a good collection of examples, for which computation becomes straightforward and non-trivial phenomena can be

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6414207>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6414207>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)