



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Stability of depths of powers of edge ideals

Tran Nam Trung

Institute of Mathematics, VAST, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Hanoi, Viet Nam

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 15 July 2013 Available online 4 February 2016 Communicated by Bernd Ulrich

MSC: 13D45 05C90 05E40 05E45

Keywords: Depth Monomial ideal Stanley–Reisner ideal Edge ideal Simplicial complex Graph

ABSTRACT

Let G be a graph and let I := I(G) be its edge ideal. In this paper, we provide an upper bound of n from which depth $R/I(G)^n$ is stationary, and compute this limit explicitly. This bound is always achieved if G has no cycles of length 4 and every its connected component is either a tree or a unicyclic graph.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

ALGEBRA

CrossMark

Introduction

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and I be a homogeneous ideal in R. Brodmann [2] showed that depth R/I^n is a constant for sufficiently large n. Moreover

 $\lim \operatorname{depth} R/I^n \leqslant \dim R - \ell(I),$

E-mail address: tntrung@math.ac.vn.

 $[\]label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.01.009 \\ 0021-8693/ © 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$

where $\ell(I)$ is the analytic spread of I. It was shown in [6, Proposition 3.3] that this is an equality when the associated graded ring of I is Cohen–Macaulay. We call the smallest number n_0 such that depth $R/I^n = \operatorname{depth} R/I^{n_0}$ for all $n \ge n_0$, the *index of depth stability* of I, and denote this number by dstab(I). It is of natural interest to find a bound for dstab(I). As until now we only know effective bounds of dstab(I) for few special classes of ideals I, such as complete intersection ideals (see [5]), square-free Veronese ideals (see [8]), polymatroidal ideals (see [10]). In this paper we will study this problem for *edge ideals*.

From now on, every graph G is assumed to be simple (i.e., a finite, undirected, loopless and without multiple edges) without isolated vertices on the vertex set V(G) = [r] := $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and the edge set E(G) unless otherwise indicated. We associate to G the quadratic squarefree monomial ideal

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subseteq R = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

which is called the edge ideal of G.

If I is a polymatroidal ideal in R, Herzog and Qureshi proved that $dstab(I) < \dim R$ and they asked whether $dstab(I) < \dim R$ for all Stanley–Reisner ideals I in R (see [10]). For a graph G, if every its connected component is nonbipartite, then we can see that $dstab(I(G)) < \dim R$ from [4]. In general, there is no an absolute bound of dstab(I(G)) even in the case G is a tree (see [20]). In this paper we will establish a bound of dstab(I(G)) for any graph G. In particular, $dstab(I(G)) < \dim R$.

The first main result of the paper shows that the limit of the sequence depth $R/I(G)^n$ is the number s of connected bipartite components of G and depth $R/I(G)^n$ immediately becomes constant once it reaches the value s. Moreover, dstab(I(G)) can be obtained via its connected components.

Theorem 4.4. Let G be a graph with p connected components G_1, \ldots, G_p . Let s be the number of connected bipartite components of G. Then

- (1) $\min\{\operatorname{depth} R/I(G)^n \mid n \ge 1\} = s.$
- (2) dstab(I(G)) = min{ $n \ge 1$ | depth $R/I(G)^n = s$ }.
- (3) dstab $(I(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} dstab(I(G_i)) p + 1.$

The second one estimates an upper bound for dstab(I(G)). Before stating our result, we recall some terminologies from graph theory. In a graph G, a *leaf* is a vertex of degree one and a *leaf edge* is an edge incident with a leaf. A connected graph is called a *tree* if it contains no cycles, and it is called a *unicyclic* graph if it contains exactly one cycle. We use the symbols v(G), $\varepsilon(G)$ and $\varepsilon_0(G)$ to denote the number of vertices, edges and leaf edges of G, respectively.

Theorem 4.6. Let G be a graph. Let G_1, \ldots, G_s be all connected bipartite components of G and let G_{s+1}, \ldots, G_{s+t} be all connected nonbipartite components of G. Let $2k_i$ be the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6414267

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6414267

Daneshyari.com