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## A B S T R A C T

It is known that the numerical radius of the Hadamard product $A \circ B$ of two $n$-by- $n$ matrices $A$ and $B$ is related to those of $A$ and $B$ by (a) $w(A \circ B) \leq 2 w(A) w(B)$, (b) $w(A \circ B) \leq w(A) w(B)$ if one of $A$ and $B$ is normal, and (c) $w(A \circ B) \leq\left(\max _{i} a_{i i}\right) w(B)$ if $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$ is positive semidefinite. In this paper, we give complete characterizations of $A$ and $B$ for which the equality is attained. The matrices involved can be considered as elaborate generalizations of the equality-attaining $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & a \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & b \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ for (a), $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2}\end{array}\right] \quad\left(\left|a_{1}\right| \geq\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}w(B) & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right]$ for (b), and $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & a_{2} \\ a_{3} & a_{4}\end{array}\right] \geq 0\left(a_{1} \geq a_{4}\right)$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}w(B) & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right]$ for (c).
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## 1. Introduction

If $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$ are $n$-by- $n$ complex matrices, then their Hadamard product $A \circ B$ is the matrix $\left[a_{i j} b_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$. The numerical range of $A$ is the subset $W(A)=$ $\left\{\langle A x, x\rangle: x \in \mathbb{C}^{n},\|x\|=1\right\}$ of the complex plane, where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the standard

[^0]inner product and norm of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, respectively, and the numerical radius of $A$ is $w(A)=\max \{|z|: z \in W(A)\}$. It is known that the numerical radii of $A, B$ and $A \circ B$ are related via the inequalities: (a) $w(A \circ B) \leq 2 w(A) w(B)$, (b) $w(A \circ B) \leq w(A) w(B)$ if $A$ is normal, and (c) $w(A \circ B) \leq\left(\max _{i} a_{i i}\right) w(B)$ if $A$ is positive semidefinite. Here (a) is a consequence of the following series of inequalities:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(A \circ B) \leq w(A \otimes B) \leq \min \{\|A\| w(B),\|B\| w(A)\} \leq 2 w(A) w(B) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the first inequality follows from the fact that $A \circ B$ is a principal submatrix of the tensor product $A \otimes B$, and the second and third from [3, Proposition 1.1] and [5, p. 44, Problem $23(\mathrm{~g})]$, respectively. On the other hand, (b) is by [5, Corollary 4.2.17] and (c) by [1, Corollary 4]. In this paper, we completely characterize those $A$ 's and $B$ 's for which the equality is attained in the above cases. One example for the equality in (a) is $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & a \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & b \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, for $(\mathrm{b})$ is $A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2}\end{array}\right]\left(\left|a_{1}\right| \geq\left|a_{2}\right|\right)$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}w(B) & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right]$, and for (c) $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\end{array}\right] \geq 0\left(a_{11} \geq a_{22}\right)$ and $B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}w(B) & * \\ * & *\end{array}\right]$. Our characterizations can be considered as far-fetching generalizations of such examples. These will be taken up in the subsequent sections.

In Section 2 below, we consider when the equality $w(A \circ B)=2 w(A) w(B)$ holds. A complete characterization is given in Theorem 2.1. In case $n=2$, this can be simplified to a manageable form in Corollary 2.2: two nonzero 2-by-2 matrices $A$ and $B$ are such that $w(A \circ B)=2 w(A) w(B)$ if and only if $A=U^{*}\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & a \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] U$ and $B=V^{*}\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & b \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] V$ for some unitary matrices $U=\left[u_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2}$ and $V=\left[v_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{2}$ with $\left|u_{i j}\right|=\left|v_{i j}\right|$ for all $i$ and $j$. Section 3 deals with $w(A \circ B)=w(A) w(B)$ for normal $A$. The main result is Theorem 3.2. Proposition 3.1 gives the special case when $A$ is already diagonal: for the $n$-by- $n$ matrices $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with $\left|a_{1}\right|=\cdots=\left|a_{k}\right|>\left|a_{k+1}\right|, \ldots,\left|a_{n}\right|$ $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$, the equality $w(A \circ B)=w(A) w(B)$ holds if and only if $w(B)=\left|b_{j j}\right|$ for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$. In Section 4, we consider the equality $w(A \circ B)=\left(\max _{i} a_{i i}\right) w(B)$ for the matrices $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n} \geq 0$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]_{i, j=1}^{n}$. We start with a direct proof of $w(A \circ B) \leq\left(\max _{i} a_{i i}\right) w(B)$ in Proposition 4.1. The inequality was first obtained by Ando and Okubo [1, Corollary 4]. The equality case is discussed in Theorem 4.2, a particular case of which, when $A$ is positive definite, says that the equality holds if and only if $w(B)=\left|b_{j j}\right|$ for some $j$ with $a_{j j}=\max _{i} a_{i i}$ (cf. Corollary 4.3). We conclude with the result on a condition for a positive semidefinite $A$ to satisfy $w(A \circ B)=\left(\max _{i} a_{i i}\right) w(B)$ for all matrices $B$ of size $n$ (cf. Theorem 4.6).

Admittedly, the conditions in our characterizations are in general difficult to verify. These make their special cases more interesting. The difficulties originate from the fact that the Hadamard product is basically a matrix operation instead of an operator one. This means that even for matrices $A$ and $B$ which are simultaneously unitarily similar to $C$ and $D$, their Hadamard products may not be unitarily similar. One such example is $A=B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $C=D=(1 / 2)\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$, in which case $A, B, C$ and $D$ are all unitarily similar to each other, but $A \circ B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $C \circ D=(1 / 4)\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$ are not.
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