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A B S T R A C T

We give upper and lower bounds on the determinant of a small perturbation of the identity matrix. The lower bounds are best possible, and in most cases they are stronger than well-known bounds due to Ostrowski and other authors. The upper bounds are best possible if a skew-Hadamard matrix of the same order exists.
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[^0]Table 1
Summary of lower bound results.

| Case | Lower bound | Condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (A) general | $(1-\delta-(n-1) \varepsilon)(1-\delta+\varepsilon)^{n-1}$ | $\delta+(n-1) \varepsilon \leq 1$ |
| (B) $\delta=\varepsilon$ | $1-n \varepsilon$ | $n \varepsilon \leq 1$ |
| (C) $\delta=0$ | $(1-(n-1) \varepsilon)(1+\varepsilon)^{n-1}$ | $(n-1) \varepsilon \leq 1$ |

Table 2
Summary of upper bound results.

| Case | Upper bound |
| :--- | :--- |
| (A) general | $\left((1+\delta)^{2}+(n-1) \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{n / 2}$ |
| (B) $\delta=\varepsilon$ | $\left(1+2 \varepsilon+n \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{n / 2}$ |
| (C) $\delta=0$ | $\left(1+(n-1) \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{n / 2}$ |

## 1. Introduction

Many bounds on determinants of diagonally dominant matrices have been given in the literature. See, for example, Bhatia and Jain [1], Elsner [5], Horn and Johnson [8], Ipsen and Rehman [9], Ostrowski [11-13], and Price [14]. We consider the case of a matrix $A=I-E$, where $I$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and the elements $e_{i j}$ of $E$ are small. Thus, $A$ is "close" to the identity matrix. A more general case, where $A$ is close to a nonsingular diagonal matrix, can be reduced to this case by row and/or column scaling.

To make precise the sense in which $E$ is small, we introduce two non-negative parameters $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$, and require

$$
\left|e_{i j}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\delta & \text { if } i=j \\ \varepsilon & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We consider three cases: (A) is the general case, (B) is when $\delta=\varepsilon$, and (C) is when $\delta=0$. These cases are all of interest. Case (B) is the simplest, and was considered by Ostrowski [13] and others. Case (C) arises naturally if scaling is used to reduce the diagonal elements to 1 . Case (A) is an obvious generalization which unifies the cases (B)-(C), and is required to obtain sharp results in some applications where $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ have different orders of magnitude. ${ }^{1}$

For the reader's convenience, the lower and upper bound results are summarized in Tables 1-2. A comparison with previously-published bounds is given in Section 2. Our lower bounds are given in Section 3, and the upper bounds in Section 4.

## 2. Comparison with previous bounds

It is perhaps surprising that we have only found one of the six bounds (cases (A)-(C), lower and upper) in the literature, although their proofs use standard techniques and are not difficult.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For example, in [2, Corollary 5], an optimization problem leads to the choice $\delta \asymp \varepsilon^{2}$.

