



# Three non-zero solutions for a nonlinear eigenvalue problem

Francesca Faraci<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alexandru Kristály<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Department of Economics, Babeş-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 16 August 2011

Available online 27 April 2012

Submitted by Manuel del Pino

### Keywords:

Nonlinear eigenvalue problem

Dirichlet boundary conditions

Multiple solutions

## ABSTRACT

In the present paper we prove a novel multiplicity result for a model quasilinear Dirichlet problem  $(P_\lambda)$  depending on a positive parameter  $\lambda$ . By a variational method, we prove that for every  $\lambda > 1$  problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two non-zero solutions, while there exists  $\hat{\lambda} > 1$  such that problem  $(P_{\hat{\lambda}})$  has at least three non-zero solutions.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we deal with the problem of multiplicity results for the following quasilinear equation coupled with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda \alpha(x) f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open connected set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $p > n$ ,  $\Delta_p$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian operator,  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$  is a non-zero potential, and  $f : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function with  $f(0) = 0$ .

Problems of the type  $(P_\lambda)$  have been the object of intensive investigations in the recent years, see [1–8], and references therein. Many of the aforementioned contributions guarantee the existence of *at least two* non-trivial weak solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  large enough where the key geometric assumptions on the nonlinear term  $F$ , where  $F : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the primitive of  $f$ , that is  $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt$  for every  $s \geq 0$ , can be summarized as

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{[0, +\infty[} F > 0; \\ \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(s)}{s^p} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(s)}{s^p} \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

In order to obtain the aforementioned multiplicity results, various variational approaches are exploited; for instance, Morse theory [5,6], the mountain pass theorem and Ricceri-type three critical points results [1–4,7,9].

Notice that under (1.1) one can have even an exact multiplicity result for  $(P_\lambda)$ . To see this, let  $p = 2$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $\Omega = I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a large interval,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $f : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(s) = s(s-a)(1-s)_+$  with  $0 < a < 1/2$ ; here,  $t_+ = \max(0, t)$ . It is clear that  $F$  verifies (1.1). Moreover, via a bifurcation argument, Wei [10] proved that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [ffaraci@dm.unict.it](mailto:ffaraci@dm.unict.it) (F. Faraci), [alexandrukristaly@yahoo.com](mailto:alexandrukristaly@yahoo.com) (A. Kristály).

all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has no positive solution, it has exactly one positive solution for  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ , and *exactly two* positive solutions for  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ ; see also [11].

The main purpose of the present paper is to guarantee the existence of *at least three* non-zero, non-negative weak solutions for  $(P_\lambda)$  for certain values of  $\lambda > 0$  when (1.1) holds. According to the above exact multiplicity result, our aim requires more specific assumptions both on  $f$  (or  $F$ ) and  $\alpha$ . In order to state our main result, we introduce the notation

$$k_\infty := \frac{n^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[ \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \frac{p-1}{p-n} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} m(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the Euler Gamma-function.

Our main result reads as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p > n$ ,  $\alpha \in L^1(\Omega)$  be a non-negative, non-zero function with compact support  $K$ . Assume that*

(i)  $S_F := \sup_{[0, +\infty[} F < +\infty$ ;

(ii)  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(s)}{s^p} \leq 0$ .

Moreover, there exists  $c > 0$  such that

(iii)  $F(c) = \max_{[0, k_\infty(pS_F \|\alpha\|_{L^1})^{\frac{1}{p}}]} F < S_F$ ;

(iv)  $\frac{F(c)}{c^p} > \frac{m(\Omega \setminus K)}{p \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)^p \|\alpha\|_{L^1}}$ .

Then, the following statements hold:

(a) For every  $\lambda > 1$ , problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two non-zero, non-negative weak solutions.

(b) There exists  $\hat{\lambda} > 1$  such that problem  $(P_{\hat{\lambda}})$  has at least three non-zero, non-negative weak solutions.

Before proving Theorem 1.1 some remarks are in order.

**Remark 1.1.** (a) Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, one can prove the existence of two non-zero weak solutions for  $(P_\lambda)$  for enough large values of  $\lambda > 0$ ; the first one is the global minimum of the energy functional associated with  $(P_\lambda)$  with negative energy-level, while the second one is a mountain-pass type solution with positive energy-level. A much precise conclusion can be deduced as follows. Since (i),(ii) and (iv) imply (1.1), a suitable choice in [9] guarantees the existence of at least two non-zero weak solutions for  $(P_\lambda)$  for every  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ , where

$$\lambda_0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p}{p \int_K \alpha(x) F(u(x)) dx} : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \int_K \alpha(x) F(u(x)) dx > 0 \right\}. \quad (1.3)$$

A simple estimate by means of a suitable truncation function and assumption (iv) show that

$$\lambda_0 < \frac{c^p m(\Omega \setminus K)}{p F(c) \text{dist}(K, \partial\Omega)^p \|\alpha\|_{L^1}} < 1,$$

which concludes the proof of (a) in Theorem 1.1; for details see (3.6). Even more, under these assumptions, Ricceri's result (see [9]) provides a *stability* of problem  $(P_\lambda)$  with respect to any small nonlinear perturbation whenever  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . However, for  $\lambda > 0$  *small* enough, problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has usually only the trivial solution. Example 3.1 supports this fact as well.

(b) Assumption (iii) requires that the function  $F$  has a local maximum  $c > 0$  on a quite large set whose size depends on the function  $F$  itself, namely, on the interval  $I_F := [0, k_\infty(pS_F \|\alpha\|_{L^1})^{\frac{1}{p}}]$ . Note that a simple estimate together with hypothesis (iv) shows that  $c$  belongs to the interval  $I_F$ . In view of the above discussion, the technical assumption (iii) is behind on the existence of a third non-zero weak solution for  $(P_\lambda)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** Note that in Theorem 1.1 we are able to prove the existence of a single value of  $\hat{\lambda} > 1$  such that problem  $(P_{\hat{\lambda}})$  has at least three non-zero, non-negative weak solutions. A challenging problem is to know if this phenomenon is stable/unstable with respect to the parameter  $\lambda$ ; namely, to confirm/infirm the existence of certain functions  $f$  satisfying all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 such that problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has exactly two non-zero weak solutions for  $\lambda \in ]1, +\infty[ \setminus \{\hat{\lambda}\}$  and at least three solutions for  $\lambda = \hat{\lambda}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Taking into account the special character of the function  $\alpha$  (i.e.,  $\alpha$  has a compact support  $K$  in  $\Omega$ ), we could expect to construct in a trivial way some weak solutions for  $(P_\lambda)$  via  $p$ -harmonic functions. The reason is the following; for simplicity, let us consider the case when  $\Omega = B(0, R)$  and  $K = \bar{B}(0, r)$  for some  $0 < r < R$ . Due to (iii), the nonlinearity  $f$  attains the zero value at least in two points ( $c$  being one of them since it is a local maximum for  $F$ ). Let us denote such an element by  $c > 0$ . A simple calculation shows that the function  $\tilde{u}_c \in W_0^{1,p}(B(0, R))$  defined by

$$\tilde{u}_c(x) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } x \in K = \bar{B}(0, r), \\ c \frac{|x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} - R^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}}{r^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} - R^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}} & \text{if } x \in B(0, R) \setminus K, \end{cases}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6419113>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6419113>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)