



Some remarks on oscillation of second order neutral differential equations



Ravi P. Agarwal^a, Chenghui Zhang^b, Tongxing Li^{b,c,d,*}

^a Texas A&M University–Kingsville, Department of Mathematics, 700 University Blvd., Kingsville, TX 78363-8202, USA

^b Shandong University, School of Control Science and Engineering, Jinan, Shandong 250061, PR China

^c Linyi University, LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi, Shandong 276005, PR China

^d Linyi University, School of Informatics, Linyi, Shandong 276005, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

MSC:
34C10
34K11

Keywords:
Oscillation
Neutral differential equation
Second-order equation

ABSTRACT

We study oscillatory behavior of a class of nonlinear second-order neutral differential equations. A new criterion is established that amends related results reported in the literature.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation

$$(r(t)((x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)))^\alpha)')' + q(t)x^\alpha(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $t \geq t_0 > 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$ is a quotient of odd positive integers, the functions r, p, q, τ, σ are such that $r, \sigma \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, $p, q, \tau \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. We also suppose that, for all $t \geq t_0$, $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\sigma(t) \leq t$, $\sigma'(t) > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$, $0 \leq p(t) < 1$, $q(t) \geq 0$, and q does not vanish eventually.

In the sequel, we denote $z(t) := x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t))$ and assume that solutions x to Eq. (1.1) exist and can be continued indefinitely to the right. As usual, a solution of Eq. (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise, it is termed nonoscillatory. Eq. (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions oscillate.

The increasing interest in oscillatory behavior of solutions to second-order neutral differential equations is motivated by their applications in the natural sciences and engineering. We refer the reader to [1–8] and the references cited therein. Therein, Han et al. [2] and Ye and Xu [7] proved several oscillation results for (1.1), some of which we present below for the convenience of the reader. In what follows, we use the notation:

$$\varepsilon := (\alpha/(\alpha + 1))^{\alpha+1}, \quad Q(t) := q(t)(1 - p(\sigma(t)))^\alpha, \quad \pi(t) := \int_t^\infty r^{-1/\alpha}(s) ds.$$

Theorem 1.1 (See [7, Theorem 2.3]). *Assume*

$$\pi(t_0) < \infty \quad (1.2)$$

* Corresponding author at: Linyi University, LinDa Institute of Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Network Based Intelligent Computing, Linyi, Shandong 276005, PR China. Tel.: +86 13869959692; fax: +86 539 5797055.

E-mail addresses: agarwal@tamuk.edu (R.P. Agarwal), zchui@sdu.edu.cn (C. Zhang), litongx2007@163.com (T. Li).

and let

$$\int^{\infty} \left[Q(t)\pi^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) - \frac{\varepsilon\sigma'(t)}{\pi(\sigma(t))r^{1/\alpha}(\sigma(t))} \right] dt = \infty$$

and

$$\int^{\infty} \left[Q(t)\pi^{\alpha}(t) - \frac{\varepsilon r(\sigma(t))}{\pi(t)(\sigma'(t))^{\alpha}r^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}(t)} \right] dt = \infty.$$

Then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 1.2 (See [2, Theorem 2.1]). Assume (1.2) and let

$$p'(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(t) \leq \tau(t) = t - \tau_0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_0. \tag{1.3}$$

If there exists a function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\rho(s)Q(s) - \frac{((\rho'(s))_+)^{\alpha+1}r(\sigma(s))}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}\rho^{\alpha}(s)(\sigma'(s))^{\alpha}} \right] ds = \infty \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\frac{q(s)\pi^{\alpha}(s)}{(1 + p(s))^{\alpha}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi(s)r^{1/\alpha}(s)} \right] ds = \infty,$$

where $(\rho'(t))_+ := \max\{0, \rho'(t)\}$, then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

On the basis of assumption (1.3), the inaccuracies in Theorem 1.1 have been corrected by Theorem 1.2. In particular, Han et al. [2] pointed out that

$$x(t) \geq (1 - p(t))z(t)$$

does not hold eventually when assuming that x is a positive solution of (1.1) and condition (1.2) holds.

The objective of this paper is to improve recent oscillation results due to Han et al. [2] and Ye and Xu [7]. Using the generalized Riccati substitution, a new oscillation criterion for (1.1) is obtained that does not require assumption (1.3).

2. Oscillation results

All functional inequalities considered in this section are assumed to be satisfied for all t sufficiently large. The following auxiliary result collects two useful inequalities that can be found in Jiang and Li [4] and Zhang and Wang [8], respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha \geq 1$ be a ratio of two odd numbers. Then

$$A^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} - (A - B)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} \leq \frac{B^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha} [(1 + \alpha)A - B], \quad AB \geq 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$-Cv^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha} + Dv \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{D^{\alpha+1}}{C^{\alpha}}, \quad C > 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (1.2) holds and there exists a function $\rho \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that (1.4) is satisfied. If there exists a function $\delta \in C^1([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[\psi(s) - \frac{\delta(s)r(s)((\varphi(s))_+)^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha + 1)^{\alpha+1}} \right] ds = \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\psi(t) := \delta(t) \left[q(t) \left(1 - p(\sigma(t)) \frac{\pi(\tau(\sigma(t)))}{\pi(\sigma(t))} \right)^{\alpha} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)\pi^{\alpha+1}(t)} \right],$$

$$p(t) < \pi(t)/\pi(\tau(t)), \quad \varphi(t) := \frac{\delta'(t)}{\delta(t)} + \frac{1 + \alpha}{r^{1/\alpha}(t)\pi(t)},$$

and $(\varphi(t))_+ := \max\{0, \varphi(t)\}$, then Eq. (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let x be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $x(t) > 0, x(\tau(t)) > 0$, and $x(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$. Then $z(t) \geq x(t) > 0$, and by virtue of

$$(r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha})' = -q(t)x^{\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0, \tag{2.4}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6419944>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6419944>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)