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#### Abstract

In this paper the problem of estimation of location and scatter of multivariate nonnormal distributions is considered. Estimators are derived under a maximum likelihood setup by expressing the non-linear likelihood equations in the linear form. The resulting estimators are analytical expressions in terms of sample values and, hence, are easily computable and can also be manipulated analytically. These estimators are found to be remarkably more efficient and robust as compared to the least square estimators. They also provide more powerful tests in testing various relevant statistical hypotheses.


© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Consider a $q$-variate random vector $X$ having a location-scale type multivariate distribution with location vector $\Gamma$, and scale matrix $\Omega$. Let, for any distinct pair $\left(X_{j}, X_{k}\right) \quad(2 \leq k \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq k-1)$ of random variables $X_{j}$ and $X_{k}$ in $X$, we have a bivariate distribution with location parameters $\mu_{j}$ and $\mu_{k}$, scale parameters $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ and $\sigma_{k}^{2}$ and covariances $\sigma_{k j}=$ $\rho_{k j} \sigma_{k} \sigma_{j}\left(\sigma_{j k}=\sigma_{k j}\right)$, where $\rho_{k j}\left(=\rho_{j k}\right)$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient between random variables $X_{j}$ and $X_{k}$. Let,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{j}=\left(X_{j}-\mu_{j}\right) / \sigma_{j} \quad \text { and }  \tag{1.1}\\
& Z_{k}=\sqrt{\left(v_{j}+1\right) / v_{j}} \sqrt{w_{j}}\left(X_{k}-\mu_{k \cdot j}-\theta_{k j} X_{j}\right) / \sigma_{k \cdot j}, \quad w_{j}=w_{j}\left(X_{j}\right)=1 /\left(1+Z_{j}^{2} / v_{j}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{k \cdot j}=\mu_{k}-\theta_{k j} \mu_{j}, \sigma_{k \cdot j}^{2}=\sigma_{k}^{2}\left(1-\rho_{k j}^{2}\right)$, and $\theta_{k j}=\left(\sigma_{k} / \sigma_{j}\right) \rho_{k j}$ are independently distributed as Student's $t$ with $v_{j}$ and $v_{k}$ degrees of freedom, respectively. The conditional mean and variance of the variable $X_{k}$ (condition to $X_{j}$ ) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{k} \mid X_{j}=x_{j}\right) \propto \theta_{k j} x_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad V\left(X_{k} \mid X_{j}=x_{j}\right) \propto \sigma_{k \cdot j}^{2} / w_{j}\left(x_{j}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Note that both are stochastic functions of $X_{j}$.
The problem is to find the maximum likelihood estimates (MLE) of the mean vector $\Gamma$ and the scatter matrix $\Omega$. However, the maximum likelihood equations have no explicit solution and solving them by iteration is problematic in various respects [1-4]. Therefore, we use the method of modified maximum likelihood estimation initially proposed by Tiku [5-8] and later on developed by Tiku, Islam and others [9-13]. The modified maximum likelihood estimates (MMLE) so obtained are in closed form and, hence, are easy to compute and can also be manipulated analytically. In fact, the MMLE are equivalent to MLE asymptotically [2,14-17]. Here we shall provide the MMLE in multivariate case following the approach used by Tiku et al. [12] for bivariate distributions.
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## 2. Estimation

### 2.1. Modified maximum likelihood estimates

Consider a random sample $\left(x_{j i}, x_{k i}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n)$ taken from the bivariate distribution of random variable $\left(X_{j}, X_{k}\right)$. The log likelihood function for the random sample can then be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln (L) \propto-n \ln \left(\sigma_{j}\right)-\frac{\left(v_{j}+1\right)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(1+z_{j i}^{2} / v_{j}\right)-n \ln \left(\sigma_{k \cdot j}\right)-\frac{\left(v_{k}+1\right)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln \left(1+z_{k i}^{2} / v_{k}\right),  \tag{2.1}\\
& z_{j i}=\left(x_{j i}-\mu_{j}\right) / \sigma_{j}, \quad z_{k i}=\sqrt{v_{j}^{*}} \sqrt{w_{j i}}\left(x_{k i}-\mu_{k \cdot j}-\theta_{k j} x_{j i}\right) / \sigma_{k \cdot j}, \quad v_{j}^{*}=\left(v_{j}+1\right) / v_{j}, w_{j i}=1 /\left(1+z_{j i}^{2} / v_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the likelihood equations are expressed in terms of non-linear functions $g_{l}\left(z_{l i}\right)=z_{l i} /\left(1+z_{l i}^{2} / v_{l}\right)(1 \leq i \leq n ; l=$ $j, k$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{j}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{j}\left(z_{j i}\right)=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \sigma_{j}: n-v_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{j i} g_{j}\left(z_{j i}\right)=0,  \tag{2.3}\\
& \mu_{k \cdot j}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{w_{j i}} g_{k}\left(z_{k i}\right)=0,  \tag{2.4}\\
& \sigma_{k \cdot j}: n-v_{k}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{k i} g_{k}\left(z_{k i}\right)=0,  \tag{2.5}\\
& \theta_{k \cdot j}: \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{w_{j i}} x_{j i} g_{k}\left(z_{k i}\right)=0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving these equations by iteration is fraught with difficulties as mentioned earlier. Instead, we first modify the likelihood equations by replacing $z_{l i}(l=j, k)$ with corresponding ordered variates $z_{l(i)}(l=j, k)$. The functions $g_{l}(l=j, k)$ are then replaced by their linear approximations $g_{l}\left(z_{l(i)}\right) \cong \alpha_{l i}+\beta_{l i} z_{l(i)}(l=j, k)$ obtained from the first two terms of a Taylor series expansion about the $i$ th quantiles $t_{l i}(l=j, k)$ of Student's $t$-distributions with $v_{l}(l=j, k)$ degrees of freedoms, respectively. This gives $\alpha_{l i}=\left(2 t_{l i}^{3} / v_{l}\right) /\left(1+t_{l i}^{2} / v_{l}\right)^{2}, \beta_{l i}=\left(1-t_{l i}^{2} / v_{l}\right) /\left(1+t_{l i}^{2} / v_{l}\right)^{2}(l=j, k)$. The values of $t_{l i}(l=j, k)$ are given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{v i l} B\left(1 / 2, v_{l} / 2\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{t_{l i}}\left(1+t^{2} / \nu_{l}\right)^{-\left(v_{l}+1\right) / 2} d t=\frac{i}{n+1}$.

Denoting observation $x_{j i}$ associated with $z_{j(i)}$ as $x_{j(i)}$ and solving the Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3) provides the MMLE of $\mu_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}(1 \leq j \leq q)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\mu}_{j}=\bar{x}_{j(\cdot)},  \tag{2.7}\\
& \hat{\sigma}_{j}=\left(B_{j}+\sqrt{B_{j}^{2}+4 n C_{j}}\right) / 2 n, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{x}_{j(\cdot)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{j i} x_{j(i)} / m_{j}, m_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{j i}, B_{j}=v_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{j i} x_{j(i)}, C_{j}=v_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{j i}\left(x_{j(i)}-\bar{x}_{j(\cdot)}\right)^{2}$.
Now, take the observation ( $w_{j i}, x_{j i}, x_{k i}$ ) associated with $z_{k(i)}$ and denote it as ( $w_{j[i]}, x_{j[i]}, x_{k[i]}$ ) and call it concomitant of the observation (see [12]). The solution of Eqs. (2.4)-(2.6) provides the following MMLE for the correlation coefficient $\rho_{k j}(2 \leq k \leq q ; 1 \leq j \leq k-1)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\rho}_{k j}=\left(\hat{\sigma}_{j} / \hat{\sigma}_{k}\right) \hat{\theta}_{k j} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{k j}=K_{k j}+D_{k j} \hat{\sigma}_{k \cdot j}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{k \cdot j}=\left(B_{k j}+\sqrt{B_{k j}^{2}+4 n C_{k j}}\right) / 2 n, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{k j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{k i}^{*}\left(x_{j[i]}-\bar{x}_{j k[]}\right) x_{k[i]} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{k i}^{*}\left(x_{j[i]}-\bar{x}_{j k[\cdot]}\right)^{2}, \\
& D_{k j}=\left(1 / \sqrt{v_{j}^{*}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{k i}^{*}\left(x_{j[i]}-\bar{x}_{j k[\cdot]}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{k i}^{*}\left(x_{j[i]}-\bar{x}_{j k[\cdot]}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$
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