Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

## Binary matroids with no 4-spike minors

### Sean McGuinness

Department of Mathematics, Thompson Rivers University, McGill Road, Kamloops, BC V2C5N3, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 27 August 2013 Received in revised form 31 January 2014 Accepted 8 February 2014 Available online 28 February 2014

*Keywords:* Binary matroid *n*-spike Minor

#### 1. Introduction

This paper concerns a problem in *extremal matroid theory*, an area of matroid theory which, much like extremal graph theory, is rich with numerous problems. For two surveys on this subject, see [2,8]. One general problem is, given a certain minor-closed class of matroids, find good bounds, as a function of rank, for the maximum size of a simple matroid in this class. Of particular interest are classes of binary matroids with certain forbidden minors. For  $k \ge 2$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_k$  be the class of binary matroids having no PG(k, 2)-minor. Finding sharp bounds for  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is an extremely hard problem, although we have the following good bound for the class  $\mathcal{P}_2$  due to Heller [7] and Murty [12]:

**Theorem 1.1** (*Heller*, *Murty*). If  $M \in \mathscr{P}_2$  is simple, then  $|E(M)| \leq \binom{r(M)+1}{2}$ .

For binary minor-closed classes of matroids which contain  $\mathscr{P}_2$ , the problem of bounding matroid size becomes quite tricky. In [9], Kung showed that  $|E(M)| \leq \frac{15}{4} \binom{r(M)+1}{2}$  for all simple  $M \in \mathscr{P}_3$ . When considering larger classes containing  $\mathscr{P}_2$ , it is useful to consider single element extensions of  $F_7$ , for example, the affine geometry AG(3, 2). One may ask, what bounds are possible for the class of binary matroids containing no AG(3, 2)-minor? Another natural minor-closed class of matroids to consider is the class of binary matroids with no *n*-spike minor. An *n*-spike is a simple matroid *M* consisting of *n* triangles  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  meeting at a point *e* where

(i)  $r(T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k) = k + 1, \ k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ 

and

(ii)  $r(T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_n) = n$ .

Note that a binary 3-spike is the Fano plane  $F_7$ . *N*-spikes appear in numerous places in the literature on matroids: for example, see [3,5,14,15]. In particular, they are important in the theory of matroid representation. It was shown in [14] that *n*-spikes can have an unbounded number of inequivalent GF(q)-representations for  $q \ge 7$ . For  $k \ge 3$ , let  $\mathscr{N}_k$  be the set

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.02.006 0012-365X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

A B S T R A C T For a simple binary matroid *M* having no *n*-spike minor, we examine the problem of bounding |E(M)| as a function of its rank r(M) and circumference c(M). In particular, we show that  $|E(M)| \le \min\left\{\frac{r(M)(r(M)+3)}{2}, c(M)r(M)\right\}$  for any simple, binary matroid *M* having no 4-spike minor. As a consequence, the same bound applies to simple, binary matroids having no AG(3, 2)-minor.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





E-mail address: smcguinness@tru.ca.

of binary matroids having no k-spike minor. Then  $\mathscr{P}_2 \subset \mathscr{N}_k$  for all  $k \geq 3$ . It is also easy to show that every non-trivial binary extension of the affine geometry AG(3, 2) is either isomorphic to a 4-spike or its dual (see Oxley [13]). For this reason, the class  $\mathscr{N}_4$  is interesting and relevant here. In this paper, we focus on finding good bounds for the maximum size of simple matroids in  $\mathscr{N}_4$ . It should be mentioned that "growth rates" of classes like  $\mathscr{N}_k$  and  $\mathscr{P}_k$  are bounded by quadratic functions of rank. More generally, let  $\mathscr{M}$  be a minor-closed class of matroids. The following theorem due to Geelen, Kung, and Whittle [6] demonstrates that the growth of the size of the matroids in  $\mathscr{M}$ , when it is finite, is either linear, quadratic, or exponential in terms of the rank.

**Theorem 1.2** (Geelen, Kung, Whittle). Let *M* be a minor-closed class of matroids. Then one of the four possibilities below holds:

- (i) There exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|E(M)| \leq \alpha r(M)$  for all simple  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathscr{M}$  contains all graphic matroids and there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|E(M)| \leq \alpha r(M)^2$  for all simple  $M \in \mathscr{M}$ .
- (iii) For some power of q,  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all GF(q)-representable matroids, and  $|E(\overline{M})| \leq \alpha q^{r(M)}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ . (iv)  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all rank two matroids.

As a consequence of the above theorem, there exist constants  $\alpha(k) > 0$  and  $\beta(k) > 0$  such that  $|E(M)| \le \alpha(k)r(M)^2$  for all simple  $M \in \mathscr{N}_k$ , and  $|E(M)| \le \beta(k)r(M)^2$  for all simple  $M \in \mathscr{P}_k$ . Let c(M) denote the *circumference* of a matroid M, the size of a largest circuit. The main result of this paper, which we shall prove in the next two sections, is the following bound for  $\mathscr{N}_4$ :

Theorem 1.3. Let M be a simple, binary matroid having no 4-spike minor. Then

$$|E(M)| \le \min\left\{\frac{r(M)(r(M)+3)}{2}, c(M)r(M)\right\}.$$

Since every 4-spike contains AG(3, 2) as a minor,  $\mathcal{N}_4$  contains the class of matroids having no AG(3, 2)-minor, and consequently, we have the following corollary to the above theorem:

**Corollary 1.4.** Let M be a simple, binary matroid having no AG(3, 2)-minor. Then

$$|E(M)| \le \min\left\{\frac{r(M)(r(M)+3)}{2}, c(M)r(M)\right\}.$$

In a paper which has only recently come to the attention of the author, Kung et al. [10] showed that for any simple, binary matroid M having no AG(3, 2)-minor,  $|E(M)| \le {\binom{r(M)+1}{2}}$ , when  $r(M) \ge 5$ . In addition, they also show that for r(M) = r, the matroid  $M(K_{r+1})$  is the unique matroid meeting the bound when  $r \ge 6$ . Their proof uses a computer check to verify the above bound for matroids of rank 5.

The bound in Theorem 1.1 can be improved using the following bound involving the circumference:

**Theorem 1.5** (*McGuinness* [11]). Let M be a simple, binary matroid having no  $F_7$ -minor. Then  $|E(M)| \leq \frac{1}{2}c(M)r(M)$ .

It is worth remarking that the above bound extends a well-known result of Erdős and Gallai (see [1,4]), which states that for a simple graph *G* on *n* vertices having circumference *c*,  $|E(G)| \le \frac{1}{2}c(n-1)$ . We believe that similar bounds are possible for the class  $\mathscr{P}_k$  in general: we venture the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.6.** For all  $k \ge 2$  there is a constant  $\alpha(k) > 0$  depending only on k such that  $|E(M)| \le \alpha(k)c(M)r(M)$  for all simple matroids  $M \in \mathcal{P}_k$ .

For  $\mathscr{P}_3$ , it seems possible to achieve the bound  $|E(M)| \leq \frac{15}{4}r(M)c(M)$  by changing Kung's proof in [9], although I have not worked through all the details. In Section 5, we show that the above conjecture holds with  $\mathscr{N}_k$  in place of  $\mathscr{P}_k$ . In Section 4, we show that growth rate bounds for the class  $\mathscr{N}_k$  is related to the growth rate for the class of binary matroids having circumference at most k - 1.

#### 2. Bounding the number of triangles

We shall refer to a circuit with two elements as a *digon*. A *Hamilton circuit* in a matroid is a spanning circuit. An element *e* is called a *chord* of a circuit *C* if  $e \in cl(C) \setminus C$ . In this section, we shall prove that if  $M \in \mathscr{H}_4$  is simple and has a Hamilton circuit *C*, then there are at most |C| - 1 triangles which contain a fixed element  $e \in C$ . It turns out that this is the key ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1.3. To do this, we shall need the following useful observation:

**Lemma 2.1.** Let *M* be a simple binary matroid and let  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  be triangles containing an element *e*. Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be distinct elements where  $e_i \in T_i \setminus e$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and let  $M_1 = si(M/e)$ . Assuming  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in E(M_1)$ , if there is a circuit in  $M_1$  containing *k* of the elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ , then *M* has a *k*-spike minor.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6423400

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6423400

Daneshyari.com