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#### Abstract

We show that for each Latin square $L$ of order $n \geq 2$, there exists a Latin square $L^{\prime} \neq L$ of order $n$ such that $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ differ in at most $8 \sqrt{n}$ cells. Equivalently, each Latin square of order $n$ contains a Latin trade of size at most $8 \sqrt{n}$. We also show that the size of the smallest defining set in a Latin square is $\Omega\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)$.
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## 1 Introduction

For each positive integer $a$, we use the notation $N(a)$ for the set of integers $\{0,1,2, \ldots, a-1\}$. A partial Latin square of order $n$ is an $n \times n$ array, where each cell of the array is either empty or contains a symbol from $N(n)$, such that each symbol occurs at at most once per row and at most once per column. A Latin square is a partial Latin square in which no cell is empty.

[^0]Indexing rows and columns by $N(n)$, we may consider a partial Latin square to also be a set of ordered triples of the form $(i, j, L(i, j))$. The distance (or Hamming distance [6]) between two partial Latin squares $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of the same order is then defined to be $\left|L \backslash L^{\prime}\right|$. We show the following.

Theorem 1.1 For each Latin square $L$ of order $n$, there exists a Latin square $L^{\prime} \neq L$ of order $n$ such that $\left|L \backslash L^{\prime}\right| \leq 8 \sqrt{n}$.

Theorem 1.1 is the first upper bound which is $o(n)$. This a step towards the possible truth of Conjecture 4.25 from [3]: For each Latin square $L$ of order $n, \min \left\{\left|L \backslash L^{\prime}\right| \mid L^{\prime}\right.$ is a Latin square of order $n$ and $\left.L^{\prime} \neq L\right\}=O(\log n)$.

We may also state Theorem 1.1 as a result about Latin trades. Given two distinct Latin squares $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ of the same order $n, L \backslash L^{\prime}$ is said to be a Latin trade with disjoint mate $L^{\prime} \backslash L$. In terms of arrays, we say that two partial Latin squares are row balanced if corresponding rows contain the same set of symbols; column balanced is defined similarly. A Latin trade and its disjoint mate are thus a pair of partial Latin squares which occupy the same set of cells, are disjoint and are both row and column balanced. Theorem 1.1 thus implies:

Theorem 1.2 Each Latin square $L$ of order $n$ contains a Latin trade $T$ such that $|T| \leq 8 \sqrt{n}$.

As Latin squares are precisely operation tables for quasigroups, our main result can also be considered in the context of Hamming distances of algebraic objects (see [6] for more detail on this topic).

Let $B_{n}$ be the Latin square formed by the addition table for the integers modulo $n$. The upper bound in the conjecture cited above cannot be decreased, since it is known that for each integer $n, B_{n}$ contains a Latin trade of size $5 \log _{2} n$ [10].

If Cavenagh's Conjecture [3] is true, it may be that the back circulant Latin square is the "loneliest" of all Latin squares; i.e. the Latin square with greatest minimum distance to any other Latin square. It is shown in [9] that for any $\epsilon>0$, almost all Latin squares of order $n$ possess at least $O\left(n^{3 / 2-\epsilon}\right)$ intercalates (of size 4). Thus we know that most Latin squares are not as "lonely" as the back circulant Latin square.

A defining set $L^{\prime}$ for a Latin square $L$ of order $n$ is a subset $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$ such that if $L^{\prime \prime}$ is a Latin square of order $n$ and $L^{\prime} \subseteq L^{\prime \prime}$ then $L^{\prime \prime}=L$. In other words, a defining set has unique completion to a Latin square of specified order. If $T$ is a Latin trade in a Latin square $L$ with disjoint mate $T^{\prime},(L \backslash T) \cup T^{\prime}$ is a Latin square distinct from $L$. Then it follows that if $D$ is a defining set for a Latin
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