

Pseudo-Einstein manifolds [☆]

Jong Taek Cho

Department of Mathematics, Chonnam National University, CNU The Institute of Basic Science,
Gwangju 500-757, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 January 2014

Received in revised form 14

February 2014

Accepted 15 April 2014

Available online 23 May 2015

MSC:

primary 53C15

secondary 53C17

Keywords:

Contact structure

CR-structure

Pseudo-Einstein manifold

ABSTRACT

Among the studies on pseudo-Hermitian geometry of strictly pseudo-convex almost CR manifolds, we study especially the two kinds of pseudo-Einstein structures and related problems.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A *contact manifold* (M, η) is a smooth manifold M^{2n+1} together with a global one-form η such that $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ everywhere on M . Given a contact manifold, two associated structures enrich the geometry. One is a Riemannian metric g compatible to η and we obtain a *contact Riemannian manifold* $(M; \eta, g)$. The other is a *pseudo-Hermitian and strictly pseudo-convex structure* (η, L) (or (η, J)), where L is the *Levi form* associated with an endomorphism J on D such that $J^2 = -I$. Here, J defines an almost CR structure $\mathcal{H} = \{X - iJX : X \in \Gamma(D)\}$, that is $\mathcal{H} \cap \bar{\mathcal{H}} = \{0\}$. We obtain a *strictly pseudo-convex, pseudo-Hermitian manifold (or almost CR manifold)* $(M; \eta, J)$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the two associated structures by the relation

$$g = L + \eta \otimes \eta,$$

[☆] This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2014R1A1A2053665).

E-mail address: jtcho@chonnam.ac.kr.

where we denote by the same letter L the natural extension ($i_\xi L = 0$) of the Levi form to a $(0, 2)$ -tensor field on M . So, we treat contact Riemannian structures together with strictly pseudo-convex almost CR structures. For complex analytical considerations, it is desirable to have integrability of the almost complex structure J (on D). If this is the case, we speak of an (*integrable*) *CR structure* and of a *CR manifold*. Indeed, S. Webster [29,30] introduced the term *pseudo-Hermitian structure* for a CR manifold with a non-degenerate Levi-form. In the present paper, we treat the pseudo-Hermitian structure as an extension to the case of non-integrable \mathcal{H} .

There is a canonical affine connection in a non-degenerate CR-manifold, the so-called pseudo-Hermitian connection (or the Tanaka–Webster connection). S. Tanno [25] extends the Tanaka–Webster connection for strictly pseudo-convex almost CR manifolds (in which \mathcal{H} is in general non-integrable). We call it the *generalized Tanaka–Webster connection*.

We may consider two kinds of the *pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature tensor* in a strictly pseudo-convex almost CR manifold $(M; \eta, J)$. One is defined by

$$\hat{\rho}_1(X, Y) = \text{trace of } \{V \mapsto \hat{R}(V, X)Y\},$$

which is called the *pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature tensor of the 1st kind*, the other is defined by

$$\hat{\rho}_2(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace of } \{V \mapsto J\hat{R}(X, JY)V\},$$

where V is any vector field on M and X, Y are any vector fields orthogonal to ξ . We call this *pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature tensor of the 2nd kind*.

If the pseudo-Hermitian Ricci curvature tensor of the 1st kind (of the 2nd kind resp.) is a scalar (field) multiple of the Levi form in a strictly pseudo-convex almost CR manifold, then it is said to have the *pseudo-Einstein structure of the 1st kind (of the 2nd kind resp.)*. In Section 3, we study the relations of the two notions, their properties and examples. In particular, we prove that a pseudo-Einstein CR-manifold of the 1st kind admits necessarily the 2nd kind pseudo-Einstein structure ([Corollary 8](#)).

In Section 4, we study the generalized Chern–Morser curvature tensor C as a pseudo-conformal invariant in a strictly pseudo-convex almost CR manifold. We prove that for a strictly pseudo-convex almost CR-manifold M^{2n+1} ($n > 1$) with vanishing C , M is pseudo-Einstein of the 1st kind if and only if M is Sasakian space form ([Theorem 21](#)). Also, we prove that for a strictly pseudo-convex almost CR-manifold M^{2n+1} ($n > 1$) vanishing C , M is pseudo-Einstein of the 2nd kind if and only if M is of pointwise constant holomorphic sectional curvature for Tanaka–Webster connection ([Theorem 22](#)).

2. Preliminaries

We start by collecting some fundamental materials about contact Riemannian geometry and strictly pseudo-convex pseudo-hermitian geometry. All manifolds in the present paper are assumed to be connected, oriented and of class C^∞ .

Contact Riemannian structures For a contact form η , there exists a unique vector field ξ , called the characteristic vector field, satisfying $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $d\eta(\xi, X) = 0$ for any vector field X . It is well-known that there also exists a Riemannian metric g and a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field φ such that

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \quad \varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{1}$$

where X and Y are vector fields on M . From (1), it follows that

$$\varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{2}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6424562>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6424562>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)