



# Lattices and cohomological Mackey functors for finite cyclic $p$ -groups

B. Torrecillas<sup>a</sup>, Th. Weigel<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Algebra y Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Almería, 04071 Almería, Spain*

<sup>b</sup> *Università di Milano-Bicocca, U5-3067, Via R. Cozzi, 53, 20125 Milano, Italy*

Received 10 September 2012; accepted 7 May 2013

Available online 13 June 2013

Communicated by A. Dress

---

## Abstract

For a finite cyclic  $p$ -group  $G$  and a discrete valuation domain  $R$  of characteristic 0 with maximal ideal  $pR$  the  $R[G]$ -permutation modules are characterized in terms of the vanishing of first degree cohomology on all subgroups (cf. [Theorem A](#)). As a consequence any  $R[G]$ -lattice can be presented by  $R[G]$ -permutation modules (cf. [Theorem C](#)). The proof of these results is based on a detailed analysis of the category of cohomological  $G$ -Mackey functors with values in the category of  $R$ -modules. It is shown that this category has global dimension 3 (cf. [Theorem E](#)). A crucial step in the proof of [Theorem E](#) is the fact that a gentle  $R$ -order category (with parameter  $p$ ) has global dimension less than or equal to 2 (cf. [Theorem D](#)).

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 18A25; 20C11; 20J06

Keywords: Cohomological Mackey functors; Gentle orders; Lattices

---

## 1. Introduction

For a Dedekind domain  $R$  and a finite group  $G$  one calls a finitely generated left  $R[G]$ -module  $M$  an  $R[G]$ -lattice, if  $M$  – considered as an  $R$ -module – is projective. In this paper we

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [btorrecci@ual.es](mailto:btorrecci@ual.es) (B. Torrecillas), [thomas.weigel@unimib.it](mailto:thomas.weigel@unimib.it), [tthomas.weigel@gmail.com](mailto:tthomas.weigel@gmail.com) (Th. Weigel).

focus on the study of  $R[G]$ -lattice, where  $R$  is a discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 with maximal ideal  $pR$  for some prime number  $p$ , and  $G$  is a finite cyclic  $p$ -group. The study of such lattices has a long history and was motivated by a promising result of F.-E. Diederichsen (cf. [5, Thm. 34:31], [6]) who showed that for the finite cyclic group of order  $p$  there are precisely three directly indecomposable such lattices up to isomorphism: the trivial  $R[G]$ -lattice  $R$ , the free  $R[G]$ -lattice  $R[G]$ , and the augmentation ideal  $\omega_{R[G]} = \ker(R[G] \rightarrow R)$ . A similar finiteness result holds for cyclic groups of order  $p^2$  (cf. [12]). However, for cyclic  $p$ -groups of order larger than  $p^2$  there will be infinitely many isomorphism types of such lattices; even worse, in general this classification problem is “wild” (cf. [7,8,11]). If the  $R[G]$ -lattice  $M$  is isomorphic to  $R[\Omega]$  for some finite left  $G$ -set  $\Omega$ ,  $M$  will be called an  $R[G]$ -permutation lattice. The main purpose of this paper is to establish the following characterization of  $R[G]$ -permutation lattices for finite cyclic  $p$ -groups (cf. Corollary 6.7, Proposition 6.8).

**Theorem A.** *Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 with maximal ideal  $pR$  for some prime number  $p$ , let  $G$  be a finite cyclic  $p$ -group, and let  $M$  be an  $R[G]$ -lattice. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $M$  is an  $R[G]$ -permutation lattice,
- (ii)  $H^1(U, \text{res}_U^G(M)) = 0$  for all subgroups  $U$  of  $G$ ,
- (iii)  $M_U$  is  $R$ -torsion free for all subgroups  $U$  of  $G$ ,

where  $M_U = M/\omega_{R[U]}M$  denotes the  $U$ -coinvariants of  $M$ .

By a result of I. Reiner (cf. [5, Theorem 34.31], [15]), one knows that there are  $\mathbb{Z}[C_p]$ -lattices satisfying (ii), where  $C_p$  is the cyclic group of order  $p$ , which are not  $\mathbb{Z}[C_p]$ -permutation lattices. Hence the conclusion of Theorem A does not hold for the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Theorem A has a number of interesting consequences which we would like to explain in more detail. For a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  it is in general quite difficult to decide whether a given  $R[G]$ -lattice  $M$  is indeed an  $R[G]$ -permutation lattice. A sufficient criterion to the just mentioned problem was given by A. Weiss in [27] for an arbitrary finite  $p$ -group  $G$  and the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . He showed that if for a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -module  $M^N$  of  $N$ -invariants is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/N]$ -permutation module, and  $\text{res}_N^G(M)$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p[N]$ -module, then  $M$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -permutation module (cf. [13, Chap. 8, Theorem 2.6]). Theorem A extends A. Weiss’ result for cyclic  $p$ -groups in the following way (cf. Proposition 6.12).

**Corollary B.** *Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 with maximal ideal  $pR$  for some prime number  $p$ , let  $G$  be a finite cyclic  $p$ -group, and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that the  $R[G]$ -lattice  $M$  is satisfying the following two hypothesis.*

- (i)  $\text{res}_N^G(M)$  is an  $R[N]$ -permutation module, and
- (ii)  $M^N$  is an  $R[G/N]$ -permutation module.

Then  $M$  is an  $R[G]$ -permutation module.

Although it seems impossible to describe all isomorphism types of directly indecomposable  $R[G]$ -lattices, where  $R$  is a discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 with maximal ideal  $pR$  and  $G$  is a finite cyclic  $p$ -group, one can (re)present such lattices in a very natural way (cf. Theorem 6.11).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6425758>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6425758>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)