

Partial hyperbolicity far from homoclinic bifurcations

Sylvain Crovisier

*CNRS – Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie et Applications, UMR 7539, Institut Galilée, Université Paris 13,
Avenue J.-B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France*

Received 5 March 2009; accepted 26 July 2010

Available online 15 September 2010

Communicated by Kenneth Falconer

Abstract

We prove that any diffeomorphism of a compact manifold can be C^1 -approximated by a diffeomorphism which exhibits a homoclinic bifurcation (a homoclinic tangency or a heterodimensional cycle) or by a diffeomorphism which is partially hyperbolic (its chain-recurrent set splits into partially hyperbolic pieces whose centre bundles have dimensions less or equal to two). We also study in a more systematic way the central models introduced in Crovisier (in press) [10].

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Résumé

Hyperbolicité partielle loin des bifurcations homoclines. Nous montrons que tout difféomorphisme d'une variété compacte peut être approché en topologie C^1 par un difféomorphisme qui présente une bifurcation homocline (une tangence homocline ou un cycle hétérodimensionnel) ou bien par un difféomorphisme partiellement hyperbolique (son ensemble récurrent par chaînes se décompose en pièces partiellement hyperboliques dont les fibrés centraux sont de dimensions au plus égales à 2). Nous étudions également d'un point de vue plus systématique les modèles de dynamiques centrales introduits en Crovisier (in press) [10].

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 37C05; 37C20; 37C29; 37C50; 37D25; 37D30

Keywords: Homoclinic tangency; Heterodimensional cycle; Hyperbolic diffeomorphism; Generic dynamics; Homoclinic class; Partial hyperbolicity

E-mail address: crovisie@math.univ-paris13.fr.

Contents

0.	Introduction	674
0.1.	Towards a characterisation of non-hyperbolic systems	674
0.2.	Main results	676
0.3.	Structure of the proof	677
0.4.	Notations and definitions	679
1.	Non-hyperbolic dominated splittings	680
1.1.	Existence of dominated splittings	681
1.2.	Non-uniformly contracted bundles	683
1.3.	Minimally non-hyperbolic sets	686
1.4.	Spreading the dominated splittings	687
2.	Central models	690
2.1.	Construction and definition	691
2.2.	Classification	691
2.3.	The type is well defined	693
2.4.	Extremal bundles	695
2.5.	A weak shadowing lemma	696
3.	Twisted partially hyperbolic sets	698
3.1.	Definition and main result	698
3.2.	The closing lemma argument	700
3.3.	A codimensional version of the closing lemma	700
3.4.	Periodic orbits with almost twisted returns	703
4.	Partially hyperbolic sets of types (R), (H) or (P)	706
4.1.	The chain-transitive type (R)	706
4.2.	The chain-hyperbolic type (H) and the parabolic type (P)	708
5.	Partially hyperbolic sets of type (N)	709
5.1.	Statement and first reductions	710
5.2.	Structure of stable sets	711
5.3.	Trapped central behaviour	714
5.4.	Large stable set and trapped unstable set	717
5.5.	Large stable and unstable sets	720
6.	Dynamics far from homoclinic bifurcations	722
	Acknowledgments	725
	References	725

0. Introduction

0.1. Towards a characterisation of non-hyperbolic systems

One of the goal of the dynamics is the study of the evolution of most systems. It appeared in the early sixties with Smale [28] that the dynamics on a compact manifold M can be well described from topological and statistical points of view for a large class of differentiable systems, the *uniformly hyperbolic* ones: these are the dynamics whose recurrence locus (the chain-recurrent set) decomposes into a finite number of invariant pieces whose tangent spaces split into two invariant subbundles $TM = E^s \oplus E^u$, the first being uniformly contracted and the second uniformly expanded. These dynamics have a nice property: they are stable (hence form an open

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6426127>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6426127>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)