

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 131 (2014) 301-322

www.elsevier.com/locate/gca

Efficient chemical equilibrium calculations for geochemical speciation and reactive transport modelling

Allan M.M. Leal^{a,*}, Martin J. Blunt^a, Tara C. LaForce^b

^a Imperial College London, QCCSRC and Department of Earth Science and Engineering, London, UK ^b CSIRO, Earth Science and Resource Engineering, Australia

Received 12 August 2013; accepted in revised form 28 January 2014; available online 12 February 2014

Abstract

Chemical equilibrium calculations are essential for many environmental problems. It is also a fundamental tool for chemical kinetics and reactive transport modelling, since these applications may require hundreds to billions equilibrium calculations in a single simulation. Therefore, an equilibrium method for such critical applications must be very efficient, robust and accurate. In this work we demonstrate the potential effectiveness of a novel Gibbs energy minimisation algorithm for reactive transport simulations. The algorithm includes strategies to converge from poor initial guesses; capabilities to specify nonlinear equilibrium constraints such as pH of an aqueous solution and activity or fugacity of a species; a rigorous phase stability test to determine the unstable phases; and a strategy to boost the convergence speed of the calculations to quadratic rates, requiring only few iterations to converge. We use this equilibrium method to solve geochemical problems relevant to carbon storage in saline aquifers, where aqueous, gaseous and minerals phases are present. The problems are formulated to mimic the ones found in kinetics and transport simulations, where a sequence of equilibrium calculations are performed, each one using the previous solution as the initial guess. The efficiency and convergence rates of the calculations are presented, which require an average of 1–2 iterations. These results indicate that critical applications such as chemical kinetics and reactive transport modelling can potentially benefit by using this multiphase equilibrium algorithm.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

1. INTRODUCTION

In a chemical equilibrium state, the forward and reverse rates of the reactions in a system are equal, and therefore no changes in the concentrations of its species are observed with time. It is possible to demonstrate, with the use of the first and second laws of thermodynamics, that a chemical system undergoing an isobaric and isothermal process progress towards a state of minimum Gibbs free energy.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 07845452320.

Other conditions also apply during this process, such as mass conservation of the chemical elements.

Therefore, an equilibrium problem consists of finding the number of moles of the chemical species that simultaneously minimises the Gibbs free energy of the system and satisfies a system of equilibrium constraints (Smith and Missen, 1982). In addition, a non-negativity constraint for the number of moles is required in order to guarantee a physically feasible molar composition.

The applicability of chemical equilibrium solvers for environmental problems is wide. For instance, speciation modelling of aquatic systems, calculation of solubilities of gases and minerals, analysis of the effect of pH on the dissolution of a mineral, investigation of water-gas-rock effects during carbon storage in geological formations, and radioactive waste disposal modelling are all examples of

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.01.038

0016-7037/© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

E-mail addresses: allan.leal@imperial.ac.uk (A.M.M. Leal), m.blunt@imperial.ac.uk (M.J. Blunt), tara.laforce@csiro.au (T.C. LaForce).

Nomenclature

Greek sy	Greek symbols		the local index of the <i>i</i> th species in its phase, see
α_i	the <i>i</i> th species in the chemical system		Eq. (3.13)
α_i^{π}	the <i>i</i> th species in the π th phase	\mathcal{L}	the Lagrange function of a optimisation prob-
α_r	the convergence rate of an equilibrium calcula-		lem, see Eq. (3.2)
	tion, see Eq. (4.2)	M	the number of equilibrium constraints, see Eq.
α	the set of species in the chemical system		(2.1)
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\pi}$	the set of species in the π th phase	т	the number of equality constraints in a optimisa-
3	the set of elements in the chemical system		tion problem, see Eq. (3.1)
ϵ_{Λ}	the phase stability tolerance	m_i	the molality of the aqueous species with index <i>i</i> ,
£;	the <i>i</i> th element in the chemical system		see Eq. (2.3)
φ;	the fugacity coefficient of the gaseous species	n	the molar abundance vector of the species, see
11	with index <i>i</i> , see Eq. (2.4)		Eq. (2.1)
γ_i	the activity coefficient of the aqueous species	N	the number of species in the chemical system
• 1	with index i , see Eq. (2.3)	п	the number of variables in a optimisation prob-
Λ_{π}	the stability index of the π th phase, see Eq. (3.12)		lem, see Eq. (3.1)
ц	the constant perturbation parameter used when	N_{π}	the number of species in the π th phase
T.	the watchdog strategy is active	n_i	the number of moles of the <i>i</i> th species, see Eq.
û	the perturbation parameter of the interior-point	1	(2.2)
T.	method, see Eq. (3.9)	Р	the pressure of the chemical system, see Eq. (2.1)
и;	the chemical potential of the <i>i</i> th species, see Eq.	P°	the reference pressure for the activity of gaseous
11	(2.2)		species, see Eq. (2.4)
u.	the standard chemical potential of the <i>i</i> th spe-	P_i	the partial pressure of the <i>i</i> th gaseous species, see
11	cies, see Eq. (2.3)	1	Eq. (2.16)
11	the threshold used to activate the watchdog	R	the universal gas constant, see Eq. (2.3)
PW	strategy	r _k	the calculation residual at the k th iteration, see
0_	the generalised saturation index of the π th phase	• ٨	Eq. (4.2)
- -π	see Eq. (3.12)	Т	the temperature of the chemical system see Eq.
п	the number of phases in the chemical system	-	(21)
	the number of phases in the enemiear system	W	the formula matrix of the chemical system see
Roman symbols			Eq. (2.5)
ant	the activity of the jonic species H^+ see Eq. (2.13)	W.	the <i>i</i> th row of the formula matrix \mathbf{W} , see Eq.
a_{H^+}	the activity of the <i>i</i> th species see Eq. (2.13)		(2.8)
a^{\star}	the specified activity of the <i>i</i> th species see Eq. (2.5)	W	the number of watchdog iterations after which
a _i	(2 14)		the algorithm checks for filter acceptance
h	the molar abundance vector of the elements see	x	the scaled primal variables, see Eq. (3.10)
0	Fa (2.5)	â	the primal solution in a previous calculation, see
h.	the molar abundance of the <i>i</i> th element see Eq.		Eq. (3.11)
v_j	(25)	X	the diagonal matrix defined by $\mathbf{X} := \text{diag}(\mathbf{x})$, see
<i>h</i> *	the specified number of moles of the <i>i</i> th element		Eq. (3.6)
v_j	see Eq. (2.8)	х	the vector of variables in a optimisation prob-
c	the equality constraint function in a optimisation		lem, see Eq. $(3,1)$
•	problem, see Eq. (3.1)	x_i^g	the molar fraction of the <i>i</i> th species in the gas-
D	the diagonal scaling matrix of the primal vari-	1	eous phase, see Eq. (3.13)
D	able x see Eq. (3.10)	x_{i}^{π}	the molar fraction of the <i>i</i> th species in the π th
e	the vector of all ones see Eq. (3.9)		phase, see Eq. (2.4)
E	the number of elements in the chemical system	V.Z	the Lagrange multipliers of a optimisation prob-
E F	the residual function of the perturbed KKT con-	5,2	lem, see Eq. (3.2)
- μ	ditions see Eq. (3.9)	V_{i}, Z_{i}	the Lagrange multipliers with respect to the <i>i</i> th
f	the objective function in a optimisation problem	J];=l	constraint and <i>i</i> th variable. see Eq. (3.13)
J	see Eq. (3.1)	Za	the vector of electrical charges of the aqueous
G	the Gibbs free energy function of the chemical	u	species, see Eq. (2.10)
J	system see Eq. (2.1)		Species, see Eq. (2.10)
h	the vector-valued equilibrium constraint func	Mathen	natical symbols
11	tion see Eq. (2.1)	∇	the gradient operator with respect to the primal
au	the set of indices of the species in the π th phase	v _{<i>X</i>}	variables x only
\mathcal{L}_{π}	the indices of the gaseous species in the <i>n</i> th phase	Т	the transpose operator of a matrix or visitor
L_g	the matces of the gaseous species	-	the transpose operator of a matrix or vector

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6438642

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6438642

Daneshyari.com