



# Imaging and image-based fluid transport modeling at the pore scale in geological materials: A practical introduction to the current state-of-the-art



Tom Bultreys\*, Wesley De Boever, Veerle Cnudde

UGCT/ProGress, Dept. of Geology and Soil Science, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281 (S8), 9000 Ghent, Belgium

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 November 2015  
Received in revised form 27 January 2016  
Accepted 1 February 2016  
Available online 6 February 2016

### Keywords:

Imaging  
Image-based fluid transport modeling  
Geological materials  
Pore scale

## ABSTRACT

Fluid flow and mass transport in geological materials are crucial in diverse Earth science applications. To fully understand the behavior of geological materials in this context, the pore scale properties of these materials have to be investigated and related to effective material properties. Imaging techniques are becoming ever more valuable tools to characterize the microstructure (especially in three dimensions), while numerical models to calculate transport properties based on experimental images of the microstructure are quickly maturing. The results of image-based modeling studies depend crucially on both the employed model and the quality of the pore space image on which the model runs. Given the technicality and the cross-disciplinary nature of this matter, this review aims to provide a practical and accessible introduction to both the experimental and numerical state-of-the-art, intended for students and researchers with backgrounds in experimental geo-sciences or computational sciences alike.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1.	General introduction	94
2.	Imaging the microstructure of geological porous media	95
2.1.	Introduction and terminology	95
2.1.1.	Pixel	95
2.1.2.	3D images	95
2.1.3.	Voxel	95
2.1.4.	Spatial resolution	95
2.1.5.	Microporosity	96
2.2.	Optical microscopy	96
2.2.1.	Traditional petrographic microscopy	96
2.2.2.	Fluorescence light microscopy (FLM)	96
2.3.	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	97
2.3.1.	Introduction	97

**Abbreviations:**  $\mu$ , linear X-ray attenuation coefficient;  $\mu$ XRF, micro-X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy; 2D, two-dimensional; 3D, three-dimensional; A, cross-sectional surface area; BGK, Bhatnagar–Gross–Cook approximation; BIB-SEM, broad ion beam scanning electron microscopy; BSE, backscattered electrons; CDI, coherent diffractive imaging; CFD, computational fluid dynamics; CT, computed tomography; DOHT, distance-ordered homotopic thinning; D-PNM, dual pore network model; EDS or EDX, energy-dispersive (X-ray) spectroscopy; EMP, electron-microprobe; ESRF, European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (France); eV, electron volt; FE-SEM, field-emission scanning electron microscopy; FIB, focussed ion beam; FIB-nt, focussed ion beam nanotomography; FLM, fluorescence light microscopy; G, shape factor;  $g_{ij}$ , conductivity from pore  $i$  to pore  $j$ ;  $I$ , intensity;  $I_0$ , incident intensity; IFPEN, Institut Français du pétrole et des énergies nouvelles; keV, kilo electron volt; LB, lattice-Boltzmann; LG, lattice-gas;  $L_{ij}$ , distance between pores  $i$  and  $j$ ; Micro-CT, micro-computed tomography; MIP, mercury intrusion porosimetry; MLA, mineral liberation analyzer; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MTF, modulation transfer function; P, perimeter length;  $P_c$ , capillary pressure; pc-micro-CT, phase contrast micro-CT; PEEK, polyether ether ketone (a type of polymer);  $P_p$ , pressure in pore  $i$ ; Pixel, picture element; PNM, pore network model; PSD, pore size distribution; PSI, Paul Scherrer Institute (Switzerland); QEMSCAN, Quantitative Evaluation of Minerals by SCANNing electron microscopy;  $q_{ij}$ , flux from pore  $i$  to pore  $j$ ;  $r$ , radius; SAXS, small-angle X-ray scattering; SE, secondary electrons; SEI, secondary electron imaging; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; SPH, smoothed particle hydrodynamics; TOMCAT, the beamline for Tomographic Microscopy and Coherent rAdiology experimentTs at the Paul Scherrer Institute; Voxel, volume Element; XRD, X-ray diffraction; XRF, X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy; Z, atomic number;  $\theta$ , contact angle;  $\sigma$ , interfacial tension.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [Tom.Bultreys@UGent.be](mailto:Tom.Bultreys@UGent.be), [tombultreys@hotmail.com](mailto:tombultreys@hotmail.com) (T. Bultreys), [deboever.w@gmail.com](mailto:deboever.w@gmail.com) (W. De Boever), [Veerle.Cnudde@ugent.be](mailto:Veerle.Cnudde@ugent.be) (V. Cnudde).

2.3.2.	Applications	98
2.4.	Focused ion beam nanotomography (FIB-nt)	98
2.4.1.	Introduction	98
2.4.2.	Applications	99
2.5.	X-ray (micro-)computed tomography (CT)	99
2.5.1.	Introduction	99
2.5.2.	Monitoring structural dynamic processes	100
2.5.3.	Phase contrast micro-tomography	101
2.6.	Ptychographic tomography	102
2.7.	Dealing with (3D) images	103
2.7.1.	Introduction	103
2.7.2.	Geometrical and topological pore characterization	103
2.7.3.	Skeleton extraction and analysis	103
2.7.4.	Data fusion	104
3.	Direct pore scale modeling	105
3.1.	Introduction	105
3.2.	Lattice Boltzmann	105
3.2.1.	Method	105
3.2.2.	Applications	106
3.3.	Traditional computational fluid dynamics	107
3.3.1.	Method	107
3.3.2.	Applications	108
3.4.	Smoothed particle, semi-implicit-particle and dissipative hydrodynamics	108
3.5.	Direct hydrodynamics	109
3.6.	Molecular dynamics and Monte Carlo methods	109
4.	Pore scale modeling with pore network models	109
4.1.	Introduction	109
4.2.	Image based network extraction	111
4.2.1.	Topology-central methods	111
4.2.2.	Morphology-central methods	112
4.2.3.	Other methods	113
4.3.	Transport simulations based on pore network models	113
4.3.1.	Quasi-static multi-phase flow modeling	113
4.3.2.	Dynamic multi-phase flow modeling	114
4.3.3.	Solute/colloid transport and reactive flow modeling	115
4.3.4.	Other applications	116
4.4.	Comparison of network extraction methods	116
4.4.1.	Overview of comparative studies	116
4.4.2.	Robustness of network extraction	116
4.5.	Multi-scale pore network modeling	117
5.	Summary and conclusion	118
6.	Outlook	120
	Acknowledgments	120
	References	120

## 1. General introduction

Fluid flow and mass transport in geological porous media (e.g. rocks, sediments, soils) are crucial aspects of several important geological applications, e.g. hydrology, petroleum engineering, CO<sub>2</sub>-sequestration, sub-surface storage of nuclear waste, geothermal energy generation and building stone performance. To reduce uncertainties related to these systems and to increase their efficiency, accurate predictions of their behavior over time have to be made. This is typically done by running large-scale numerical models (on the order of meters to kilometers), which use constitutive equations to describe the behavior of the geological materials in question. They therefore require the input of effective material properties such as porosity, permeability, and dispersivity, which are characteristic of the geological materials in which the processes take place. These materials are composed of minerals on the one hand and pores or fissures/cracks on the other hand. Properties of the pores and minerals, such as geometry, size, surface area, connectivity and distribution have a strong effect on the material's behavior. The internal microstructure, the chemical composition, and the macroscopic material properties are indeed strongly and directly related to each other. Therefore, understanding why and how the macroscopic features of the materials vary over space and time requires the

examination of the material's microstructure. Given the complexity and the heterogeneity of many of these natural porous media, such a deep understanding is crucial, as it is often impossible to acquire and test all the relevant samples at all the relevant conditions (e.g. in the case of kilometer-sized geological reservoirs). Experimental measurements of transport properties in this context are often difficult, expensive and time-consuming, making models at the scale of the material's microstructure (typically termed "pore scale") an attractive tool to supplement direct measurements, to enhance the understanding of a material's behavior, and therefore to help interpolate and extrapolate effective material properties.

The different transport processes to be modeled in this context can be classified as single-component or multi-component flows (i.e. multiple chemical species may be mixed in the same fluid phase); single-phase and multi-phase flows (i.e. multiple immiscible or partially miscible fluids may be present) and non-reactive or reactive flows (i.e. fluids in the pore space may react with each other or with the solid minerals). In nature, these processes often take place simultaneously and may be strongly coupled. The behavior may be driven by several forces, including gravity, capillarity, thermal forces, entropy and applied hydraulic pressure. While the processes and driving forces may differ between different porous media applications (which are not limited to geological

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6442882>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6442882>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)