



Invited review

The physics of wind-blown loess: Implications for grain size proxy interpretations in Quaternary paleoclimate studies



Gábor Újvári^{a,b,*}, Jasper F. Kok^c, György Varga^d, János Kovács^{e,f}

^a Institute for Geological and Geochemical Research, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1112 Budapest, Budaörsi u. 45., Hungary

^b Geodetic and Geophysical Institute, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-9400 Sopron, Csatkai E. u. 6–8., Hungary

^c Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, 405 Hilgard Ave, Los Angeles, 90095, CA, USA

^d Geographical Institute, Research Centre for Astronomy and Earth Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1112 Budapest, Budaörsi út 45., Hungary

^e Department of Geology and Meteorology, University of Pécs, H-7624 Pécs, Ifjúság u. 6., Hungary

^f Environmental Analytical and Geoanalytical Laboratory, Szentágotai Research Centre, University of Pécs, H-7624 Pécs, Ifjúság u. 20., Hungary

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 August 2015

Received in revised form 14 January 2016

Accepted 19 January 2016

Available online 21 January 2016

Keywords:

Loess

Grain size proxy

Quartz

Wind

Aeolian dynamics

Quaternary

ABSTRACT

Loess deposits are recorders of aeolian activity during past glaciations. Since the size distribution of loess deposits depends on distance to the dust source, and environmental conditions at the source, during transport, and at deposition, loess particle size distributions and derived statistical measures are widely used proxies in Quaternary paleoenvironmental studies. However, the interpretation of these proxies often only considers dust transport processes. To move beyond such overly simplistic proxy interpretations, and toward proxy interpretations that consider the range of environmental processes that determine loess particle size distribution variations we provide a comprehensive review on the physics of dust particle mobilization and deposition. Furthermore, using high-resolution bulk loess and quartz grain size datasets from a last glacial/interglacial sequence, we show that, because grain size distributions are affected by multiple, often stochastic processes, changes in these distributions over time allow multiple interpretations for the driving processes. Consequently, simplistic interpretations of proxy variations in terms of only one factor (e.g. wind speed) are likely to be inaccurate. Nonetheless using loess proxies to understand temporal changes in the dust cycle and environmental parameters requires (i) a careful site selection, to minimize the effects of topography and source distance, and (ii) the joint use of bulk and quartz grain size proxies, together with high resolution mass accumulation rate calculations if possible.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

Abbreviations	248
Appearing in the main text	248
Appearing in figure captions, but not in the main text	249
1. Introduction	250
2. Material and methods	250
2.1. Study site and sampling	250
2.2. Particle size measurement of bulk loess	251
2.3. Analysis of quartz grain size	251
2.4. Magnetic susceptibility measurements	252
3. Background and theory	252
3.1. The physics of loess particle mobilization, transport and deposition	252
3.1.1. Particle mobilization and transport by wind	253
3.1.2. Deposition of air-borne mineral particles	262
3.2. Loess grain size proxies	266
3.2.1. U- and twin peak ratios	266
3.2.2. Grain size index (GSI)	267
3.2.3. Mean and median grain size (M_s , M_d), and various fractions of loess	267

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ujvari.gabor@csfk.mta.hu (G. Újvári).

3.2.4.	Quartz mean, median and maximum diameters (Q_{Ms} , Q_{Md} , Q_{Max}), and quartz > 40 μm fraction ($Q_{>40}$)	267
4.	Results and discussion	267
4.1.	Down-profile MS/grain size variations and inter-relations of proxies	267
4.2.	Processes and mechanisms affecting bulk loess particle size distributions and to be considered in bulk grain size proxy interpretations.	268
4.3.	Factors influencing the quartz proxies	271
4.4.	Integrative assessment of bulk and quartz grain size proxies	272
5.	Summary and concluding remarks	272
	Author contributions	273
	Acknowledgements	273
	References.	273

Abbreviations

Appearing in the main text

a	constant in mean charge calculations for raindrops and particles	E_{TPW}	collection/collision efficiency due to thermophoresis in below-cloud scavenging
A_2	coefficient including factors like particle shape, sorting, packing and bed roughness	F_d	dust emission rate/vertical dust flux of airborne dust ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
A_B	dimensionless threshold friction velocity (Bagnold, 1941)	F_{da}	dust emission rate due to direct aerodynamic lifting ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
A_c	the contact area between adjacent grains	$F_{d,d}$	dust emission rate due to disaggregation/auto-abrasion ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
A_{Co1}	model parameter associated aerodynamic forces (Cornelis et al., 2004a,b) (N m^{-1})	F_{ds}	dust emission rate due to saltation bombardment/sandblasting ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
A_{Co2}	model parameter associated inter-particle forces (Cornelis et al., 2004a,b) (N m^{-1})	F_{dep}	dry deposition flux at a reference height z_r ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)
A_{Co3}	geometry factor (Cornelis et al., 2004a) ($\text{N}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$)	F_D	aerodynamic drag force (N)
A_N	dimensionless threshold friction velocity (Shao and Lu, 2000)	F_G	gravity force (N)
b_r	width of an individual roughness element (m)	F_C	inter-particle cohesive force (N)
c_a	heat capacity of air ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1} / \text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)	F_L	aerodynamic lift force (N)
C_1	coefficient in the Ferguson and Church (2004) model, a constant for laminar settling for $Re_{pt} < 1$	g	acceleration due to gravity (m s^{-2})
C_2	coefficient in the Ferguson and Church (2004) model, a constant C_d for $Re_{pt} > 10^3$	GS	grain size
C_B	constant for the saltation mass flux model of Bagnold (1941)	GSI	grain size index, the ratio of 20–50 μm / $<20 \mu\text{m}$ fractions
C_c	Cunningham slip correction factor	h_r	height of a roughness element (m)
C_d	drag coefficient	J	rainfall intensity (mm h^{-1})
C_{DK}	parameter for the saltation mass flux model of Kok et al. (2012)	k_a	thermal conductivity of air ($\text{J m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} / \text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
C_K	constant for the saltation mass flux model of Kawamura (1951)	k_p	thermal conductivity of particle ($\text{J m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} / \text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)
C_p	local concentration of depositing particles (g m^{-3})	k_S	empirical coefficient in the Shao (2001) model
C_R	roughness element drag coefficient	k	Boltzmann constant ($1.3806488 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1} / \text{m}^2 \text{kg s}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}$)
C_S	surface drag coefficient	K	eddy (or turbulent) viscosity
d	zero plane displacement height (m)	K_{ES}	constant in calculation of the electrostatic collection efficiency
D_B	Brownian diffusivity of particles ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)	Kn	Knudsen number
D_p	particle diameter (m)	LGM	Last Glacial Maximum
D_r	typical roughness element size (Nikuradse roughness)	m	surface shear stress inhomogeneity parameter
D_{rd}	raindrop diameter (m)	M_a	molecular weight of air (kg kmol^{-1})
D_{rd-r}	representative raindrop diameter (m)	MAR	mass accumulation rate
D_w	water vapour diffusivity in air ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)	M_d	median (D_{50}) grain size (μm)
E_B	collection efficiency from Brownian diffusion	MIS	Marine Isotope Stage
E_{BW}	collection/collision efficiency from Brownian diffusion in below-cloud scavenging	M_r	modulus of rupture (Pa)
E_{DPW}	collection/collision efficiency due to diffusiophoresis in below-cloud scavenging	M_s	mean grain size (μm)
E_{ESW}	electrostatic collection/collision efficiency in below-cloud scavenging	MS	magnetic susceptibility
E_{IM}	collection efficiency from impaction	M_w	molecular weight of water vapor (kg kmol^{-1})
E_{Imw}	collection/collision efficiency from inertial impaction in below-cloud scavenging	n_r	number of roughness elements
E_{IN}	collection efficiency from interception	n_S	empirical coefficient in the Shao (2001) model
E_{Imw}	collection/collision efficiency from interception in below-cloud scavenging	$N(D_p)$	particle number concentration with a diameter between D_p and $D_p + dD_p$
EM	end member	$N(D_p)_t$	particle number concentration at time t with a diameter between D_p and $D_p + dD_p$
$EMMA$	end member modeling algorithm	$N(D_p)_0$	initial number concentration of particles with a diameter between D_p and $D_p + dD_p$
		$N(D_{rd})$	raindrop number size distribution
		$N(D_{rd-r})$	number concentration of representative raindrop
		p_a^0	vapour pressure of water at temperature T_a (Pa)
		p_s^0	vapour pressure of water at temperature T_s (Pa)
		$p_s(D_p)$	soil/sediment size distribution
		$p_f(D_p)$	fully-disturbed particle size distribution of the parent soil
		$p_m(D_p)$	minimally-disturbed particle size distribution of the parent soil

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6442985>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6442985>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)