Applied Thermal Engineering 104 (2016) 162-175

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

# Performance model and thermal comparison of different alternatives for the Fresnel single-tube receiver

María J. Montes<sup>a,\*</sup>, Rubén Barbero<sup>a</sup>, Rubén Abbas<sup>b</sup>, Antonio Rovira<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> E.T.S. Ingenieros Industriales – UNED, C/Juan del Rosal, 12, 28040 Madrid, Spain
<sup>b</sup> E.T.S. Ingenieros Industriales – UPM, C/José Gutiérrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

#### HIGHLIGHTS

• A thermal model for a single-tube Fresnel receiver has been developed.

• A comparative analysis based on different design parameters, has been carried out.

• A comparative analysis based on different working fluids, has been carried out.

• The receiver thermal performance is characterized by energy and exergy efficiencies.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 March 2016 Revised 29 April 2016 Accepted 2 May 2016 Available online 3 May 2016

Keywords: Fresnel Single-tube receiver Thermal model Energy efficiency Exergy efficiency

## ABSTRACT

Although most of recent commercial Solar Thermal Power Plants (STPP) installed worldwide are parabolic trough plants, it seems that Linear Fresnel Collectors (LFC) are becoming an attractive option to generate electricity from solar radiation.

Contrary to parabolic trough collectors, the design of LFC receivers has many degrees of freedom, and two basic designs can be found in the literature: single-tube and multi-tube design. This article studies the single-tube design, for which a thermal model has been developed. This model has been thought to be accurate enough to characterize the heat transfer in a non-elementary geometry and flexible enough to support changes of the characteristic parameters in the receiver design.

The thermal model proposed is based on a two-dimensional, steady-state energy balance, in the receiver cross section and along its length. One of the features of the model is the characterization of the convective and radiative heat transfer in the receiver cavity, as it is not an elementary geometry. Another feature is the possibility of studying the receiver performance with different working fluids, both single-phase or two-phase. At last, the receiver performance has been characterized by means of the energy and exergy efficiency. Both variables are important for a complete receiver thermal analysis, as will be shown in the paper.

The model has been first applied to the comparative study of the thermal performance of LFC receivers based on the value of some parameters: selective coating emissivity in the tube and inlet fluid thermal properties, for the case of using water/steam. As a second result, the model has also been used to predict the performance of different working fluids: synthetic oil, water/steam, molten salt and air, also comparing the results of the Fresnel technology with those obtained in reference parabolic trough loops.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and background

1.1. A review on different linear Fresnel collector plants and receiver designs

The main advantage of LFC systems is its robustness and low capital cost. Nevertheless, they seem to reach lower annual

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* mjmontes@ind.uned.es (M.J. Montes). efficiencies than Parabolic Trough Collectors (PTCs), as it is shown in the technical literature [1–4]. Fresnel systems will be more effective if they are capable of reducing investment costs enough compared to parabolic trough [5].

There are already several LFC commercial plants and prototypes for power generation installed or being installed in different countries, like Puerto Errado 1 (1.4 MW<sub>e</sub>) and Puerto Errado 2 (30 MW<sub>e</sub>) in Spain, Kimberlina (5 MW<sub>e</sub>) in California (USA), Augustin Fresnel 1 (250 kW<sub>e</sub>) in France, Dhursar, (125 MW<sub>e</sub>) in India and Rende (1 MW<sub>e</sub>) in Italy [6–8]. In addition to the those plants, which are



**Research** Paper





http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2016.05.015 1359-4311/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

inner glass window surface

outer glass window surface

heat collector element inlet

heat collector element outlet

solar radiation absorbed

**Computational Fluid Dynamics** 

**Compound Parabolic Collector** 

Direct Normal Irradiation

Heat Collector Element

Heat Transfer Fluid

**Compact Linear Fresnel Collector** 

external environment

Fresnel receiver cavity

ambient

collector

exergy

fluid

conduction convection

generic surface incident

collector inlet

inner surface lost

collector outlet

outer surface

mirrors

receiver sun

### Nomenclature

l			_	
	Symbols		6	i
	A	area (m <sup>2</sup> )	7	(
	d Ė	diameter (m)	8.	e
	Ėx	exergy transfer (W)	amb	ć
	ėx	exergy transfer flux $(W/m^2)$	cavity	ł
	ex	specific fluid exergy (J/kg)	coll	0
	F <sub>ij</sub>	view factor from surface <i>i</i> to <i>j</i>	cond	0
	h ·	convection heat transfer coefficient ( $W/m^2 K$ )	conv	(
	i	specific fluid enthalpy (J/kg)	ex	e
	J	linear radiosity (W/m)	f	f
	k	thermal conductivity (W/m K)	i	Ę
	L	length (m)	inc	i
	m D	fluid mass flow (kg/s)	in	1
	Pr	Prandtl number	inlet	(
	Р	pressure (Pa)	inner	i
	p	perimeter (m)	l	I
	Q	heat transfer (W)	т	1
	ģ	linear heat transfer flux (W/m)	out	ł
	r D-	radius (m)	outlet	(
	$Ra_{Lc}$	Rayleigh number	outer	(
	Т	temperature (K)	rec	1
	и	fluid velocity (m/s)	S	5
			SolAbs	5
1	Greek sy			
	α	absorptance	Acronyn	IS
	$\delta_{ji}$	Kronecker delta	CFD	(
	3	emittance	CLFC	(
	η	efficiency	CPC	(
	λ	half-angle of the cone subtended by the sun's disc	DNI	Ι
	ρ	reflectance	ENEA	ł
		$V \cdot 10^{-8}$ Stefan–Boltzmann constant (W/m <sup>2</sup> K <sup>4</sup> )	HCE	ł
	τ	transmittance	HTF	ł
			LFC	I
	Subscript		ORC	(
I	1	heat transfer fluid	PSA	I
I	2	absorber tube inner surface	PTC	I
I	3	absorber tube outer surface	STPP	5
I	4	inner CPC surface	TRM	]
I	5	outer insulation casing surface		
I				

LFC Linear Fresnel Collectors ORC **Organic Rankine Cycle** PSA Plataforma Solar de Almería PTC Parabolic Trough Collector STPP Solar Thermal Power Plants TRM Thermal Resistance Model The receiver configuration has more degrees of freedom than in the case of parabolic troughs. Basically, two designs can be found

Ente per le Nuove Tecnologie l'Energia e l'Ambiente

coupled to a Rankine cycle, there is a Fresnel plant integrated in the Liddell coal fired power station in Australia, which provides saturated steam to the conventional plant [9]. There are also some plants under construction: Alba Nova-1 of 12 MW<sub>e</sub> (France), Kogan Creek Solar Boost of 44 MWe (Australia), IRESEN of 1 MWe (Morocco). In the latter LFC plants, only Rende and IRESEN plants use synthetic oil as Heat Transfer Fluid (HTF) in the solar field, coupled to an Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC); the other plants use water/steam as working fluid. The main drawback of water/steam is the storage and its controllability in transient conditions, especially in very long lines [10].

Besides the working fluid, LFC plants present differences based on the solar mirror field characteristics and also on the detailed receiver design: multiple-tube receiver or single-tube receiver. The receiver is a key element in the design of a linear Fresnel system, so this paper is devoted to the thermal characterization of the single-tube receiver. In this context, the Fresdemo prototype [11,12], which is installed in the PSA (Plataforma Solar de Almería) and uses water/steam as HTF in a single-tube receiver, is especially relevant. This prototype has been chosen as a reference, due to the many experimental data of its performance in the literature.

in the literature, the multi-tube and the single-tube receiver.

The multi-tube design appears in the first prototypes and studies of LFC [13], although more studies and interest occurred as a result of the Compact Linear Fresnel Collector (CLFC) development [14,15]. It consists of a series of parallel tubes arranged horizontally in a cavity, usually with a trapezoidal cross section and therefore without secondary concentrator. A glass cover can be located at the opening of the cavity. The first mission of this cover is to protect, when necessary, the selective coating that reduces reradiation losses; second, the glass cover is responsible for some greenhouse effect that benefits receiver performance; at last, it also minimizes convection losses. However, technical complications involved in creating vacuum in a great cavity of not elementary geometry has yield to research on selective coatings that can withstand ambient pressure [16]. These coatings are not yet in a commercial operation phase. Therefore, the tubes are usually not coated by a selective paint, although there are several studies that analyze the thermal behavior of the receiver with selective coating and, therefore, with a glass cover on the aperture. [17-20]. The Kimberlina plant has employed a multi-tube design in the receiver Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/644490

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/644490

Daneshyari.com