Applied Thermal Engineering 105 (2016) 774-782

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

Research Paper

Experimental investigation of alternative robust model predictive control of a heat exchanger

Juraj Oravec*, Monika Bakošová, Alajos Mészáros, Nikola Míková

Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Institute of Information Engineering, Automation and Mathematics, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

HIGHLIGHTS

• Robust model predictive controllers are designed using four approaches.

• Software MUP is a tool for robust controller design.

• Robust predictive controllers control a laboratory heat exchanger.

• Robust model predictive control brings energy savings.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 December 2015 Revised 18 April 2016 Accepted 7 May 2016 Available online 19 May 2016

Keywords: Heat exchanger Energy savings Robust model predictive control Parametric uncertainties

ABSTRACT

Advanced control of heat exchangers is an important task for control engineers, as these devices belong to the key equipment in chemical, petrochemical, food and pharmaceutical industries, and they are energyintensive processes. This study presents novel robust model-based predictive control (MPC) of a heat exchanger. Influence of uncertain parameters was taken into account to design robust model-based predictive controller. Resulting optimization problem with constraints was formulated in the form of linear matrix inequalities, and the convex optimization problem was solved using semi-definite programming. The proposed alternative robust MPC design method was implemented using the novel software MUP. Extensive case study of heat exchanger control was done to demonstrate effectiveness of the alternative robust MPC. This novel strategy was compared with known robust MPC approaches. Experimental results confirmed that the alternative robust MPC improved control performance and ensured energy savings during the heat exchanger operation.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Recently, more attention has been paid to energy savings and alleviating environmental problems [1]. As energy prices rise, energy savings are very important in industry with energyintensive production [2]. To solve this problem, advanced control strategies have been developed [3] that can be used in more complicated control problems to improve process control in comparison with conventional proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control [4]. The generalized predictive control (GPC) [5] was used in the waste heat recovery power plant [1]. Simulation of control showed improved steady-state and transient responses along with the decoupling performance. Moreover, the disturbance rejection was

* Corresponding author.

significantly improved. MPC and Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) compensator were numerically compared and used for thermal regulation of a high precision measurement machine affected by four disturbances [6]. The work showed that both, the quality of regulation results and perturbation rejection strongly depend on the parameters in the cost function and the penalization coefficients in LQG and MPC. Optimization of heat and power self-sufficiency can be achieved by applying local renewable resource integration and transformation of the renewable energy [7].

Heat losses can rise up to 50% in heat exchangers. Therefore, it is necessary to optimize their operation and to implement advanced control strategies. The robust stochastic approach for optimization design of air cooled heat exchangers was studied in [8] and the results confirmed that the harmony search algorithm converged to the optimum solution with higher accuracy in comparison with genetic algorithms. Using neural network predictive control (NNPC) with an auxiliary fuzzy controller assured energy savings in operation of a tubular heat exchanger [9]. The experimental







E-mail addresses: juraj.oravec@stuba.sk (J. Oravec), monika.bakosova@stuba.sk (M. Bakošová), alajos.meszaros@stuba.sk (A. Mészáros), xmikovan@stuba.sk (N. Míková).

Nomenclature

Symbols		W_{x}
Å	system matrix of state space system	x
A	polytopic set of uncertain system	\boldsymbol{x}_0
В	input matrix of state space system	X
Cp	specific heat capacity (J kg $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$)	у
Ċ	output matrix of state space system	y _{max}
E ^(m)	auxiliary matrix of controller design	Y
$\widetilde{E}^{(\boldsymbol{m})}$	auxiliary matrix of controller design	Y
F	gain matrix of the controller	Ζ
Н	auxiliary matrix of controller design	Ζ
Ι	identity matrix	0
i	auxiliary counter	
j	auxiliary counter	Greek s
J	quadratic quality criterion	y
k	discrete time (s)	ρ
K ^(m)	auxiliary matrix of controller design	τ
n_m	number of variations of constrained and non-	$ au_F$
	constrained control inputs	-
n_u	number of control inputs	Supersci
n_v	number of vertex systems	Supersei
n_x	number of system states	(m)
n_y	number of system outputs	(v)
Р	Lyapunov matrix	(0)
q	volumetric flow rate of hot fluid (m ³ s ⁻¹)	
Q	heat consumption (kJ)	Subscrir
R	space of the real-valued matrices	h
S	operator of Laplace domain	k
t	time (s)	n
t_f	number of control steps	Abbroui
t_s	sampling time (s)	ACIS
T	controlled temperature of heated fluid (°C)	IMI
I _h	temperature of not fluid ($^{\circ}$ C)	LIVII
I _r	initial temperature of not fluid (°C)	LOR
u	control inputs	MPC
$u_{\rm max}$	constraints on control inputs	NSO
u sat	saturated control inputs	PCM
U	vollage (v)	PHM
U	set of constrained control inputs	PID
V V	velues system	RMPC
V V(v)	Volume (m)	SDP
V (X) M/	Lyapunov junction weight matrix of control inputs	001
VV u	weight matrix of control inputs	

	W_{x}	weight matrix of system states			
	x	system states			
	x ₀	initial conditions of system states			
	Χ	inverse Lyapunov matrix			
	у	system outputs			
	y _{max}	constraints on system outputs			
	Y	auxiliary matrix of controller design			
	\mathbb{Y}	set of constrained system outputs			
	Ζ	transfer function gain (°C/V)			
	Ζ	auxiliary matrix of controller design			
	0	zero matrix			
	Greek syn	nbol			
	γ	auxiliary weight of Lyapunov function			
	ρ	density (kg m $^{-3}$)			
	τ	transfer function time constant (s)			
	$ au_F$	filter time constant (s)			
	Superscript				
	s	steady state			
	(<i>m</i>)	auxiliary counter			
	(v)	vertex system			
	(0)	nominal system			
Subscript					
	h	hot fluid			
	k	<i>k</i> -th control step			
		-			
	Abbreviations				
	ACIS	additional control-input saturation			
	LMI	linear matrix inequalities			
	LQG	linear-quadratic-Gaussian			
	LQR	linear-quadratic-regulatory			
	MPC	model predictive control			
	NSO	nominal-system optimization			
	PCM	peristaltic pump dosing cool fluid			
	PHM	peristaltic pump dosing hot fluid			
	PID	proportional-integral-derivative controller			
	RMPC	robust model predictive control			
	SDP	semidefinite programming			

closed-loop control based on two fuzzy controllers improved operation of a heat pump drying system [10]. One way of improving energy efficiency of heat exchanger networks is to perform retrofitting of them. The shifted retrofit thermodynamic diagram that represents a modification of the retrofit thermodynamic diagram was introduced in [11]. The heat exchanger network modification by parallel arrangement can lead to the waste heat utilisation [12].

Industrial processes are affected by various uncertainties, as e.g. parameter variations, measurement noise, disturbances, varying operation conditions. Controllers designed without taking uncertainties into account may result in ineffective control or even fail. Uncertainties also affect quality of production and energy consumption. To resolve mentioned problems, controllers with high performance and robustness are necessary. Advanced optimization in robust control design has been presented in various works in recent years. Robust PID controller for heat pump and plug-in hybrid electric vehicle with uncertainties was developed in a smart microgrid system [13]. The robust controller was designed for air conditioners using optimization based on the mixed H_2/H_{∞}

control technique [14]. Simulation results showed significant improvement of robustness and control performance in comparison with conventional control approaches. The robust controller was also able to ensure significant energy savings. The H_{∞} control strategy was successfully implemented to control the one-stage refrigeration cycle [15]. Better closed-loop performance of the robust controller and reduced coupling between controlled variables were observed. The robust controller was designed also for the higher penetration of photovoltaic generators [16] and their control performance was investigated using simulations. It was demonstrated that the robust controller ensured stability in the presence of uncertainties and the system operating conditions were not violated.

Robust model predictive control (MPC) is an advanced control strategy optimizing the control performance subject to the bounded system uncertainties and the constraints on control inputs and system outputs [17]. The complex optimization problem became tractable via its formulation in the form of LMIs [18]. In order to design robust MPC, the optimization problem with

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/644629

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/644629

Daneshyari.com