



Review article

Cell-laden hydrogels for osteochondral and cartilage tissue engineering

Jingzhou Yang^{a,b,c,1}, Yu Shrike Zhang^{a,b,1}, Kan Yue^{a,b}, Ali Khademhosseini^{a,b,d,e,*}^a Biomaterials Innovation Research Center, Division of Engineering in Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston 02115, MA, USA^b Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA^c Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510623, Guangdong, People's Republic of China^d Department of Bioindustrial Technologies, College of Animal Bioscience and Technology, Konkuk University, Hwayang-dong, Gwangjin-gu, Seoul 143-701, Republic of Korea^e Department of Physics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21569, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 May 2016

Received in revised form 21 December 2016

Accepted 10 January 2017

Available online 11 January 2017

Keywords:

Cell-laden hydrogels

Osteochondral tissue engineering

Cartilage tissue engineering

Stem cells

ABSTRACT

Despite tremendous advances in the field of regenerative medicine, it still remains challenging to repair the osteochondral interface and full-thickness articular cartilage defects. This inefficiency largely originates from the lack of appropriate tissue-engineered artificial matrices that can replace the damaged regions and promote tissue regeneration. Hydrogels are emerging as a promising class of biomaterials for both soft and hard tissue regeneration. Many critical properties of hydrogels, such as mechanical stiffness, elasticity, water content, bioactivity, and degradation, can be rationally designed and conveniently tuned by proper selection of the material and chemistry. Particularly, advances in the development of cell-laden hydrogels have opened up new possibilities for cell therapy. In this article, we describe the problems encountered in this field and review recent progress in designing cell-hydrogel hybrid constructs for promoting the reestablishment of osteochondral/cartilage tissues. Our focus centers on the effects of hydrogel type, cell type, and growth factor delivery on achieving efficient chondrogenesis and osteogenesis. We give our perspective on developing next-generation matrices with improved physical and biological properties for osteochondral/cartilage tissue engineering. We also highlight recent advances in biomanufacturing technologies (e.g. molding, bioprinting, and assembly) for fabrication of hydrogel-based osteochondral and cartilage constructs with complex compositions and microarchitectures to mimic their native counterparts.

Statement of significance

Despite tremendous advances in the field of regenerative medicine, it still remains challenging to repair the osteochondral interface and full-thickness articular cartilage defects. This inefficiency largely originates from the lack of appropriate tissue-engineered biomaterials that replace the damaged regions and promote tissue regeneration. Cell-laden hydrogel systems have emerged as a promising tissue-engineering platform to address this issue. In this article, we describe the fundamental problems encountered in this field and review recent progress in designing cell-hydrogel constructs for promoting the reestablishment of osteochondral/cartilage tissues. Our focus centers on the effects of hydrogel composition, cell type, and growth factor delivery on achieving efficient chondrogenesis and osteogenesis. We give our perspective on developing next-generation hydrogel/inorganic particle/stem cell hybrid composites with improved physical and biological properties for osteochondral/cartilage tissue engineering. We also highlight recent advances in biomanufacturing and bioengineering technologies (e.g. 3D bioprinting) for fabrication of hydrogel-based osteochondral and cartilage constructs.

© 2017 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Biomaterials Innovation Research Center, Division of Engineering in Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston 02115, MA, USA.

E-mail address: alik@bwh.harvard.edu (A. Khademhosseini).¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Designing hydrogels for reconstruction of osteochondral interface and cartilage tissues	4
2.1. Typical hydrogels of natural polymers and their chemically modified derivatives	4
2.1.1. Alginate hydrogels and their derivatives	4
2.1.2. Agarose hydrogels and their derivatives	5
2.1.3. Chitosan hydrogels and their derivatives	5
2.1.4. HA hydrogels and their derivatives	5
2.1.5. Gellan gum hydrogels and their derivatives	6
2.1.6. Collagen hydrogels and their derivatives	6
2.1.7. Silk fibroin hydrogels and their derivatives	7
2.2. Typical synthetic (composite) polymer-based hydrogels	8
2.3. Effects of crosslinking mechanisms on the properties of hydrogels	8
2.4. Tailoring biodegradability of hydrogels for OTE and CTE	9
3. Chondrogenesis or osteogenesis of various types of cells encapsulated in hydrogels	9
3.1. Chondrogenesis of chondrocytes encapsulated in hydrogels	9
3.2. Osteogenesis of preosteoblasts and osteoblasts encapsulated in hydrogels	11
3.3. Chondrogenesis and osteogenesis of stem cells encapsulated in hydrogels	11
3.4. Co-culture of chondrocytes and stem cells in hydrogels and spatial control of layered constructs for chondrogenesis and osteochondrogenesis	12
3.5. Endochondral ossification of stem cells encapsulated in hydrogel for osteochondral tissue regeneration	12
4. Effects of growth factors and delivery methods on chondrogenesis and osteogenesis of cell-laden hydrogels	13
4.1. Common growth factors and hormones for chondrogenesis and osteogenesis	13
4.1.1. TGFs	13
4.1.2. IGFs	13
4.1.3. BMPs	13
4.1.4. Dexamethasone	13
4.1.5. Newly identified growth factors and bioactive species	13
4.2. Delivery methods of growth factors	13
4.2.1. Freeform in medium	14
4.2.2. Physical blending in hydrogel	14
4.2.3. Covalent bonding to hydrogels	15
4.2.4. By microspheres	15
4.2.5. By gene delivery	15
5. Hydrogel/inorganic particle/stem cell hybrid composites as promising biomaterials for OTE and CTE	15
5.1. Functionalized hydrogels with inorganic particles: Osteoconductivity and osteoinductivity	15
5.2. Mechanical properties of inorganic particles-incorporated hybrid hydrogels	16
5.3. Injectability and printability of inorganic particles-incorporated hybrid hydrogels	16
6. Advanced manufacturing techniques for engineering osteochondral and cartilaginous hydrogel constructs	16
6.1. Microfluidic fabrication of hydrogel osteochondral constructs with material/cell gradient	16
6.2. 3D molding of anatomically shaped osteochondral and cartilage constructs	16
6.3. 3D bioprinting of complex-shape and gradient osteochondral and cartilage constructs	16
6.4. 3D assembly of large-scale cartilage constructs	17
7. Challenges of cell-laden hydrogel strategies for OTE and CTE	18
8. Conclusions and outlook	18
Acknowledgments	19
References	19

1. Introduction

Osteochondral interface defects commonly involve lesions of both articular (hyaline) cartilage and underlying subchondral bone that are caused by trauma, disease, or aging. Different from the vast majority of other tissues, cartilage is basically avascular and low in cellularity in nature [1]. Cartilage thus lacks the ability to self-heal due to the absence of abundant nutrients and proper progenitor cells. When a cartilage defect is left untreated, however, the joint irrevocably and progressively deteriorates, leading to osteoarthritis and eventually, disabilities [2]. Cartilage-related tissue defects and diseases are the most common cause of disability, representing around 6% of disabled people of 30 years and older [3–5]. Current treatment strategies for osteochondral interface and full-thickness cartilage defects include microfracture (marrow stimulation) [6–8], autologous chondrocyte implantation [9–12], and osteochondral autografts and allografts [13–16], among

others. Despite their common uses in the clinic, notable limitations and drawbacks still exist. The microfracture treatment drills tiny holes that penetrate the cartilage and the subchondral bone to bring in blood flow and bone marrow from surrounding tissues. Induced cartilage and bone regeneration/remodeling are expected due to the introduction of stem cells and biomolecules at the defects. However, it may lead to the formation of fibrocartilage that has inferior biofunctions compared to articular cartilage [17–19]. The autologous chondrocyte implantation strategy has been used clinically to regenerate articular cartilage for two decades with satisfactory surgical outcome to certain extent. Nevertheless, there are still drawbacks including shortage of chondrocyte source, long chondrocyte harvesting time, difficulty of chondrocyte solution fixation, periosteal hypertrophy and ablation [20], as well as low effectiveness for aged patients [21]. It should also be noted that autologous chondrocyte implantation is incapable to repair osteochondral interface and full-thickness cartilage, which require

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6449098>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6449098>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)