



Sulfur deactivation and regeneration of mono- and bimetallic Pd-Pt methane oxidation catalysts



Monique Shauntá Wilburn, William S. Epling*,¹

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Houston, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 November 2016
Received in revised form 16 January 2017
Accepted 19 January 2017
Available online 20 January 2017

Keywords:

Bimetallic methane oxidation catalyst
Sulfur poisoning
Sulfur regeneration

ABSTRACT

Complete CH₄ oxidation (combustion) studies were conducted with Pt/Pd mono- and bimetallic, γ -Al₂O₃ supported catalysts before and after exposure to SO₂. CO and SO₂ adsorption DRIFTS studies were used to identify sites that adsorbed SO₂ and evaluate the Pd:Pt mole ratio effect on sulfur surface species formation. Temperature-programmed oxidation, desorption, and reduction as models for possible catalyst regeneration were evaluated in terms of sulfur release and CH₄ oxidation performance recovery. At low temperatures, Pd-rich catalysts, i.e. with little to no Pt substitution, tended to form aluminum sulfate species, which could be removed at high temperatures to recover catalytic activity. In contrast, catalysts with higher Pt content were less effective at sulfate formation at low temperatures. In this case, molecular SO₂ and aluminum surface sulfite species inhibited the CH₄ oxidation reaction over a broader temperature range. In general, for the bimetallic samples the effectiveness of SO₂ regeneration methods decreased with increasing Pt content. Also, for bimetallic catalysts with higher Pt content, the associated sintering effects from the temperature programmed regeneration methods were more significant.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Natural gas contains methane, other hydrocarbons, such as ethane and propane [1–3], and trace level species including sulfur species [4]. In comparison with diesel engines, natural gas engine combustion produces lower CO₂, CO, NO_x, and soot emissions. One concern is of course the associated methane emissions and methane's greenhouse gas potential. A typical approach to mitigate such emissions would be the installation of an exhaust stream oxidation catalyst. Over such, under lean-burn conditions heavier hydrocarbons can be completely oxidized at low temperatures [3] but high temperatures are necessary for complete methane conversion [4]. However, lean-burn natural gas engine exhaust temperatures are relatively low (i.e. 300–500 °C) [1,5], resulting in methane slip. Furthermore, those trace sulfur species deactivate methane oxidation catalysts resulting in reduced catalytic activity with time-on-stream and thus increased levels of unconverted methane in natural gas engine exhaust [6].

There has been a substantial amount of CH₄ oxidation research published, with a comprehensive review of these by Gélín and Primet [4]. Specific challenges discussed in their review arti-

cle include thermal sintering due to the required CH₄ oxidation temperature, the low CH₄ concentrations in the exhaust, H₂O inhibition, and degradation via sulfur poisoning. Studies have shown that for O₂:CH₄ molar ratios exceeding 2, i.e. lean-burn operation conditions, PdO-based catalysts are significantly more active than Pt-based catalysts [4]. Interestingly, under rich conditions, i.e. when there are stoichiometric or sub-stoichiometric amounts of O₂ relative to CH₄, Pt has been found to be more active [7]. The literature shows that substituting Pt for Pd, i.e. bimetallics, results in catalysts with improved resilience with time on stream [8–15], and even small substitutions (7–10% Pt for Pd) provides some sintering resistance and increased catalytic activity [11,14], in comparison to monometallic Pd catalysts. Thus there are known benefits of bimetallic systems for CH₄ oxidation.

In terms of sulfur poisoning/deactivation, it is well known that Pd-based catalysts are quite susceptible to sulfur. In one example, CH₄ oxidation on fresh and hydrothermally aged Pd/Al₂O₃ catalysts was evaluated. When 1 ppm SO₂ was added to the feed, the activity declined similarly for both catalysts [16]. In contrast to the abrupt decay in Pd-based catalyst activity upon SO₂ exposure [16], Lapisardi et al. found that CH₄ oxidation activity gradually declined for Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts when exposed to H₂S [6].

Ottinger and coworkers studied sulfur regeneration of a Pd-based CH₄ oxidation catalyst via high temperature exposure as well as via a reductive treatment. They found that for the thermal regen-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: wsepling@virginia.edu (W.S. Epling).

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Virginia, United States.

eration, temperatures greater than 500 °C were required to regain some activity, whereas the reductive treatment provided better regeneration efficiency [17]. Similarly, Arosio et al. [18] showed that, for Pd-based catalysts, higher temperatures (>750 °C) were required for sulfur regeneration in the presence of simulated lean-CH₄ oxidation conditions, but switching from this lean atmosphere to one which was CH₄ rich resulted in much lower temperatures required to recover activity.

Although bimetallic Pt/Pd catalysts show resistance to sintering and improved activity, researchers found that the bimetallic activity benefit only held true in the absence of sulfur [6]. Interestingly, SO₂ poisoning of a Pt/Pd bimetallic sample resulted in decreased activity, but a pre-reduction prior to SO₂ exposure had significantly less impact [15]. Since aluminum surface and bulk sulfates are stable up to 650 °C [19] and 800–920 °C [20] respectively, it is likely that sulfur species will compromise activity to some extent until these species can be decomposed at high temperatures. Although some researchers reported that aluminum sulfates are quite resistant to reduction [20], others found that aluminum sulfates, which thermally decompose above 800 °C, can be reduced in H₂ at 600 °C [19].

In this study three approaches based on gas environment were evaluated for CH₄ oxidation activity regeneration, which should correlate to sulfur species decomposition. Here we focused on evaluating model sulfur regeneration methods as a function of Pd:Pt mole ratio.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Catalyst preparation and reactor experimental set-up

The precursors, Pd(NO₃)₂ and Pt(NH₃)₄(NO₃)₂, and Puralox γ-Al₂O₃, were procured from Sigma-Aldrich. Using the incipient wetness impregnation method, the following Pd-Pt/Al₂O₃ powder catalysts were prepared: Pd_{1.0}Pt_{0.0}/Al₂O₃, Pd_{0.9}Pt_{0.1}/Al₂O₃, Pd_{0.7}Pt_{0.3}/Al₂O₃, Pd_{0.3}Pt_{0.7}/Al₂O₃, and Pd_{0.0}Pt_{1.0}/Al₂O₃. All catalysts contained the same total number of precious metal (PM) moles used to synthesize a 1 wt.% Pd/Al₂O₃ catalyst. After drying overnight, all samples were calcined in air at 550 °C.

For all reactor pretreatment and experimental conditions, 29.3 mg of active catalyst was used with a 200 mL/min flow, to achieve an equivalent monolith space velocity of 50,000 h⁻¹ assuming a 2 g/in³ loading. The active catalyst mass was diluted with silica beads to prevent dense packing and hot spots within the catalyst bed. Each sample was installed in a 4 mm diameter quartz tube. Quartz wool was placed at both catalyst bed ends to secure the bed particles, maintain the catalyst bed position in the quartz tube, and maintain the 20 mm catalyst bed length. Prior to experiments, all samples underwent an oxidation pretreatment at 100 °C with 10 vol.% O₂ in N₂ for 5 min, and were then heated to 400 °C and reduced under 5 vol.% H₂ in N₂ for 30 min. Following reduction, the reactor was purged with N₂ only for 30 min prior to being cooled to 35 °C. Using a Valco pulse injection valve, 10 μL doses of CO were injected into the reactor at regular intervals. When the CO injection pulse-signature ceased to change with each additional CO pulse injection, as measured by an MKS FTIR 2030, the sample was considered saturated. After saturation was achieved, the total CO uptake volume was used to determine the sample PM dispersion and corresponding particle size. The dispersion values for fresh monometallic samples were comparable to those obtained during validation with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 Chemisorption system. Preliminary data demonstrate that sulfur interactions are influenced by particle size. To avoid this complexity in the data analysis, all samples for this study contained particles in the same particle size range.

Each catalyst sample was then pretreated with 2000 ppm CH₄ and 10 vol.% O₂ in N₂ until CH₄ conversion stabilized, assumed to be when the CH₄ conversion ceased to change while the gas temperature and feed gas concentration were kept constant. All catalyst samples containing any Pd were stabilized at ~500 °C. The monometallic Pt sample was stabilized at ~650 °C because methane combustion did not occur until higher temperatures were reached in this case. Catalysts having been prepared through this protocol are referred to as fresh catalyst samples.

Bronkhorst and MKS mass flow controllers were used to control the inlet gas flowrates. For all reactor experiments, the gas concentrations at the catalyst bed outlet were measured using an MKS MultiGas 2030 FTIR Spectrometer gas analyzer. A ThermoScientific Lindberg/Blue tube furnace was used to set and control the temperature of the catalyst bed. Type K thermocouples were placed at the catalyst bed inlet and outlet to measure the inlet and outlet gas temperatures.

All catalytic activity assessments used the same reference temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) protocol: 2000 ppm CH₄ and 10 vol.% O₂ in N₂ with a 5 °C/min temperature ramp rate. Following the pretreatment under reactants, each sample underwent a reference TPO to obtain a baseline of its fresh catalytic activity. After each regeneration procedure, the reference TPO was performed again in an effort to compare the initial catalytic activity to that observed following regeneration.

Each sample was exposed to 30 ppm SO₂ and 10 vol.% O₂ in N₂ at 100 °C. After SO₂ saturation was achieved, the reactor was purged with N₂ at 100 °C to reduce the residual SO₂ in the reactor system lines and remove weakly adsorbed SO₂ from the sample.

2.2. Sulfur regeneration

After the SO₂ exposure, each catalyst sample underwent one of the following regeneration methods.

1. Selected samples underwent the reference TPO protocol. CH₄ oxidation and SO₂ desorption were monitored during the temperature ramp. The SO₂ release characteristics helped define experimental conditions for SO₂ DRIFTS studies, to be discussed below.
2. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) was performed to determine at what temperatures under inert conditions sulfur species would decompose or desorb. The TPD was performed in a flow stream of N₂ only using the following protocol: a ramp rate of 10 °C/min to 900 °C followed by a hold at 900 °C for an additional 15 min.
3. Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) was performed with selected samples up to 600 °C in a 5 vol.% H₂ in N₂ flow stream, and held there for 30 min in an attempt to reduce and decompose sulfate species. Following reduction, the reactor was purged with N₂ prior to the reoxidizing the sample in 10 vol.% O₂ in N₂ at 600 °C for 30 min.

2.3. Thermal degradation effects

After the catalyst CH₄ conversion stabilized, the sample temperature was increased in pure N₂ at a ramp rate of 10 °C/minute to 650 °C followed by a hold at 650 °C for an additional 15 min. After cooling the sample, a reference TPO was performed to assess changes in performance due to the 650 °C exposure. The above protocol was repeated for a 900 °C exposure.

Precious metal particle sizes were measured after these high temperature exposures. After the TPO reference protocol, the samples were reduced at 400 °C under a 5 vol.% H₂ in N₂ flow. Following reduction, the reactor was cooled to 35 °C. Using a Valco pulse injection valve, 10 μL of CO were injected in regular intervals. When

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6454756>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6454756>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)