



Effect of metal-support interaction on activity and stability of Ni-CeO₂ catalyst for partial oxidation of methane



Rajib Kumar Singha, Astha Shukla, Aditya Yadav, L.N. Sivakumar Konathala, Rajaram Bal*

Nanocatalysis Area, Refining Technology Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Petroleum, Dehradun 248005, Uttarakhand, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 June 2016

Received in revised form

23 September 2016

Accepted 26 September 2016

Available online 27 September 2016

Keywords:

Methane

Partial oxidation

Synthesis gas

Nickel

CeO₂ nanoparticles

ABSTRACT

The objective of the current study was to synthesize a nickel based catalyst with high activity at low temperature for partial oxidation of methane (POM). Ni-nanoparticles supported on CeO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by two step preparation method. First, 30–50 nm CeO₂ was synthesized by solvo-thermal method and then Ni-nanoparticles were deposited over it following a newly developed procedure, where cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) acted as morphology controlling agent and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as size controlling agent for nickel nanoparticles. The characterizations of synthesized catalysts were done by BET-Surface area, XRD, SEM, TEM, TPR, H₂-chemisorption, TGA and XPS analysis. The catalysts showed excellent coke resisting ability during POM and produces synthesis gas with H₂/CO ratio almost 2. The catalyst activated methane at 400 °C with 10% methane conversion and converts methane almost completely at 800 °C. The catalyst showed above 98% methane conversion at 800 °C during 90 h of time on stream (TOS) reaction with H₂/CO ratio 1.98. Average 5.5 nm Ni particles, use of CeO₂ as a support played a very crucial role for methane activation at such lower temperature. The synergistic effect between small Ni-nanoparticles and CeO₂ nanoparticles of Ni-CeO₂ catalyst is the main reason for such activity. Detailed study of other reaction parameters like temperature, Ni loading, weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) was also carried out and reported.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Methane, the predominant component of natural gas, is considered to be a major energy source for the future [1–3]. Methane is a major greenhouse gas with much higher greenhouse potential than CO₂ [4]. It is gas in normal atmospheric condition and its storage and transportation problems prompted researchers to find out an alternative to utilize this huge energy source. Methane can be converted directly into HCHO, CH₃OH, C₂H₄ etc. but low conversion and low selectivity to these products are the main problems as the products are more reactive than methane itself [5,6]. Nowadays, methane is industrially used to produce synthesis gas (a mixture of CO and hydrogen), the basic raw material for many industrial processes to produce other chemicals and synthetic fuels [6]. Steam reforming of methane (SRM) is the major large scale synthesis gas production process, which produces H₂/CO ratio 3 [7]. Again, the major problem with CO₂ reforming of methane is reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction and coking on catalyst surface [4,8], which reduces hydrogen selectivity and deactivates catalyst. POM effi-

ciently produces synthesis gas with H₂/CO ratio 2 and it is the exact requirement for methanol synthesis [9]. Presence of oxygen in the reaction feed of POM reduces carbon deposition problem by removing the coke as CO and/or CO₂ [10,11]. Now, as POM provides so many advantages, it has its own problems too. First of all, it is an exothermic reaction, and the hot spot generation during the process raises some safety issues. Another issue is the requirement of pure oxygen, which is a problem from economic point of view. Because of potential of the production of synthesis gas via POM, researchers are trying to establish this process with the help of a suitable catalyst.

Decades of extensive research revealed that noble metal like Pt, Ru, Rh, Ir [1,5,12] and transition metals like Ni, Fe, Co [1,13–16] are very reactive for the POM process. Noble metals have very high activity and much more coke resistive during POM but the abundance and high cost limited its commercial application. From the reactivity point of view, Ni is the only transition metal with comparable activity to the noble metals. Low cost and high abundance of Ni makes it Holy Grail for methane activation. The problem with Ni catalysts is its coke sensitivity. Carbon accumulation on Ni surfaces during catalysis deactivates the catalysts rapidly and also causes reactor plugging [2]. Nano regime supported Ni catalysts are reported to be very much coke resistive [8] and efficiently pro-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: raja@iip.res.in, rajab.73@yahoo.com (R. Bal).

duce synthesis gas with H_2/CO ratio 2 during POM. Type of support is very crucial for obtaining resistance towards coking. Numerous reports showed applications with oxide supports like SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , MgO , ZrO_2 , CeO_2 etc [1,3,5,17]. CeO_2 is a suitable support for POM with high thermal stability, coke resisting behavior and very good redox properties [1,3,5,18,19]. CeO_2 is very well known for its oxygen storage property [20] and decreases coke formation by modifying the structural and electronic properties of catalysts [3,5]. Surface oxygen from cerium oxide reacts with carbonaceous species deposited on catalyst surface and again it gets re-oxidized by the gaseous oxidants in reaction system [10,11].

Metal-support interaction plays very significant role in controlling particle size, oxygen availability and coke resistance property of the catalyst. Strong metal-support interaction helps in formation of small and highly dispersed particles, resists sintering of catalysts particles during catalysis [4,21,22] and improves oxygen availability of the catalyst. The oxygen species at the metal-support interface are easily reducible [23,24] and during catalysis the oxygen species near the active metal removes the deposited carbon from catalyst surface improving the coke resistant property of catalyst [23–25]. Now, mechanism of POM reaction is highly dependent on catalyst properties. Eiras et al. [26] reported that POM reaction via direct route is theoretically possible. Recently we have reported Pt– CeO_2 catalyst for POM reaction at $350^\circ C$ [5]. At this much lower temperature CO_2 reforming or steam reforming of methane is not possible as much higher temperature is needed for these two reactions [21]. So, the formation of syngas at this temperature ($350^\circ C$) can only take place via direct route only. Choudhary et al. [1] reported that at very short contact time, if the POM reaction proceeds through indirect mechanism, there should be only combustion product present in the product gas, no POM product should be present because CO_2 reforming and Steam reforming of methane follows very slow kinetics.

Ni– MgO catalyst was reported by Kirillov et al. for POM with 75% of methane conversion with drastic decrease in conversion to 55% only after 24 h of time on stream (TOS) at $800^\circ C$ [27]. Asencios et al. reported NiO– MgO – ZrO_2 catalyst for POM with $\geq 90\%$ of methane conversion at $750^\circ C$ but catalyst was deactivated by coking [10]. Green and his group [6] also reported costly molybdenum carbide catalyst for POM at very low gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) ($2000\ h^{-1}$) and high pressure with 96% methane conversion at $900^\circ C$. 96% methane conversion at $800^\circ C$ was reported by Li et al. over lanthanum doped Ni– SiO_2 core shell catalyst for POM with 65 h of time on stream stability at $700^\circ C$ [28]. Ni/ $CeZrO_2$ catalyst with 7 h of TOS stability at $700^\circ C$ was reported by Larimi et al. with methane conversion above 80% [29]. Özdemir et al. [30] reported 89% methane conversion at $800^\circ C$ using air as oxidant over Ni/ $MgAl_2O_4$ catalyst. Recently, Venezia and her group reported Ni– $CeLa$ oxides [31] and Ni– CeO_2 catalysts prepared by different methods [32] for POM with above 90% of methane conversion at $800^\circ C$. Oliveira et al. [33] recently reported 1.5% Rh– CeO_2 catalyst for POM. They reported 83.4% methane conversion at $800^\circ C$.

Synthesis of a suitable catalyst with suitable support, which is thermally stable, coke resistant and exhibits excellent redox properties are the major challenge for methane activation purpose. Here, we are reporting synthesis of highly active and coke resistant Ni nanoparticles supported CeO_2 catalyst for the production of synthesis gas by POM.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Ni nanoparticle supported CeO_2 catalyst was synthesized by following two step preparation methods. First, 30–50 nm CeO_2

nanoparticles was synthesized by a surfactant free solvo-thermal method, then Ni nanoparticles was deposited on nano-crystalline CeO_2 . In a typical synthesis procedure, 4.3 g cerium nitrate hexahydrate [$Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$] was dissolved in 125 ml ethanol by continuous stirring. After 2 h of stirring, 2% NH_3 solution was added very slowly to maintain pH at 7. Then after 1 h stirring of the whole mixture solution, it was autoclaved at $180^\circ C$ for 24 h. The autoclave was then cooled at $35^\circ C$ and a dry solid precipitate was obtained by evaporating the solvent by gradually increasing the temperature to $80^\circ C$. The dried solid was then calcined at $700^\circ C$ for 6 h in air. The prepared cerium oxide was denoted as CeO_2^* .

In the second step, Ni nanoparticles were deposited on CeO_2^* by the following method. 10 ml ethanol was added with 0.4 g cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and 0.05 g polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and dissolved the material. Then 0.25 g of nickel nitrate hexahydrate [$Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$] dissolved in 20 ml H_2O was added slowly to the CTAB and PVP solution. The nickel salt solution was then added drop wise to 1 g of CeO_2^* dispersed in 100 ml ethanol and continued stirring for 2 h. Then 200 μl of hydrazine hydrate [$NH_2NH_2 \cdot H_2O$] was added with whole mixture solution to maintain the pH of the solution to 8 and continued stirring for 1 h. After 1 h of stirring the mixture solution was evaporated to dryness by gradual increase in temperature to $100^\circ C$. The dried material was then finally calcined at $600^\circ C$ for 6 h in air and it was denoted as 5Ni– CeO_2^* , 2.5 Ni– CeO_2^* and 10Ni– CeO_2^* catalysts were also prepared for comparison. Here in the depicted method, CTAB controls Ni species morphology, PVP controls the size of Ni species and hydrazine acted as a reducing agent, capping agent and a base also. For comparison purpose Ni was also deposited by the here described method over commercial cerium oxide and it was denoted as 5Ni– CeO_2^{Com} (Supporting information).

Ni impregnated CeO_2 catalyst was synthesized using cerium oxide (CeO_2^*) for comparison (Supporting information). All the catalysts are designated as xNi– CeO_2 , where 'x' is the wt% of Ni present in the catalyst.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

Characterizations of the synthesized catalysts were performed by BET–Surface area, XRD, SEM, TEM, H_2 –Chemisorption, XPS and TGA/DTG. The detailed process is given in Supporting information.

2.3. Catalytic procedure

Catalysts activity tests were performed in a fixed-bed down flow reactor. Before the reaction, the catalysts were reduced with 20% H_2 balance He gas for 2 h at $600^\circ C$. Due to exothermic nature of the reaction, catalyst was diluted with inert γ – Al_2O_3 and inert material (ground quartz) was used to dilute catalyst bed [34] and the reaction was performed at 350 – $800^\circ C$, atmospheric pressure. The catalyst to diluent ratio 100:500 showed almost no temperature difference between the catalysts zones in the reactor. Typically, in a 6 mm quartz reactor, 60 mg of catalyst diluted with inert material was placed at the centre of two quartz wool plugs. WHSV was varied between 5000 and $200,000\ ml\ g^{-1}\ h^{-1}$ with feed ratio of $O_2:CH_4:He = 1:2:8.5$ (mole ratio). The experimental set up to measure reaction temperature is discussed in detail in the Supporting information (Fig. S1). Analysis of the products were performed by online gas chromatography (Agilent 7890A) fitted with a TCD detector. Molecular sieves column was used for analyzing H_2 and PoraPack–Q column for analyzing CH_4 , CO_2 and CO.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6454880>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6454880>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)