Applied Thermal Engineering 67 (2014) 179-189

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

Research on varying condition characteristic of feedwater heater considering liquid level



^a School of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

^b East China Electric Power Design Institute of China Power Engineering Consulting Group, Shanghai 200063, China

^c Huadian Electric Power Research Institute, Hangzhou 310030, China

HIGHLIGHTS

• We model three-section feedwater heater based on dimensional analysis.

• Model of operating characteristic for the heater at low liquid level is proposed.

• The model is verified by comparison with the test data.

• Secure liquid level and economic liquid level of the heater are reset.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 September 2013 Accepted 14 February 2014 Available online 23 February 2014

Keywords: Feedwater heater Terminal temperature difference Liquid level Heat transfer coefficient Varving condition

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a mathematical model of varying condition is established for the three-section heater based on dimensional analysis, then with the combination of the derivation of heat transfer coefficient in the drain cooler section, the model of operating characteristic for the heater at low liquid level is proposed. Taking #1 high pressure feedwater heater of a 330 MW turbine as example, the terminal temperature difference at both normal liquid level and low liquid level and the change of the heat transfer condition in the drain cooler section at low liquid level can be calculated respectively by the proposed model. By comparison with the test data the accuracy of the model is verified. In addition, the influence of liquid level and load on terminal temperature difference is analyzed quantitatively, and the secure liquid level and economic liquid level are reset. The results show that the study can provide a reference for the timely adjustment of the liquid level in actual operation.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The heat recovery system has become an effective method to increase the thermal efficiency in modern thermal power plant [1]. The feedwater heater is the core of heat recovery system, and the reasonable liquid level is the key point of keeping heaters operating safely and economically. If the liquid level of the heater is high, some pipes will be flooded and the effective heat transfer area will be reduced, which will make the economic of the unit decline; in severe cases the heater will split and even water intake accident of the steam turbine will be caused. Thus, the heater usually has the

high liquid level alarm, for secure reasons the operating personnel also try their best to make the heater operate in the low liquid level. It is feasible for the operation of high pressure heater without the inner set drain cooler section, for the interstage water seal will be formed as long as the liquid level exists. However, for the horizontal high pressure heater with the inner set drain cooler section, if the liquid level is too low, the diving export water seal of the drain cooler section will be useless, which makes the mixed stream water of the condensing section flows into the drain cooler section, causing the increase of terminal temperature difference and the decrease of heat economy; and the mixed fluid even scours the pipe wall of heater, causing vibration and erosion of the pipe bundle [2].

Therefore, it is necessary to ensure that the heater should be worked in a reasonable range of liquid level, i.e. the set point of the liquid level should be adjusted reasonable in daily operation. Although the shell of the heater is usually marked with the normal operation liquid level (liquid level 0) by the manufacturers, the





APPLIED THERMAL ENGINEERING

^{*} Corresponding author. School of Energy and Environment, Si Pailou Campus of Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China. Tel.: +86 13951733165.

E-mail addresses: qlj1062@163.com (J.-q. Xu), tomyang@yeah.net (T. Yang), sunyouyuan1231@163.com (Y.-y. Sun), boiler@seu.edu.cn (K.-y. Zhou), yongfeng-shi@chder.com (Y.-f. Shi).

Nomenclature		C _{pi2}	the mean specific heat at constant pressure of fluid inside the pine $kI/(kg \circ C)$
t.	saturation temperature °C	ND	the flow number
ι _h	drain temperature °C	NT	the nineline number
tod	inlet feedwater temperature °C	h	the convective best transfer coefficient outside the
ι_{W1}	outlet feedwater temperature of drain cooler section	n_{02}	nine of the condensing section $W/(m^2 \circ C)$
ι_{1t}	°C	r	the vaporization latent beat of per unit mass of the
t	C outlet feedwater temperature of condensing section	102	steam kl/kg
ι_{2t}	°C	σ	the acceleration of gravity m/s^2
t -	C outlet feedwater temperature of the superheated	g J	the thermal conductivity of the fluid outside the pipe
LW2	stream cooling section. °C	7.02	W/(m K)
te	extraction steam temperature. °C	002	the density of the fluid outside the pipe, kg/m^3
E	the effectiveness of heater	102 1102	the dynamic viscosity of the fluid outside the pipe. Pa s
NTU	the number of transfer units	do2	the outer diameter of the pipe, m
<i>C</i> ″,	the mean specific heat at constant pressure of the	h_c	enthalpy of the extraction steam, kl/kg
-pi i	water outside the pipe of the drain cooler section. I/	h	enthalpy of the saturated steam. kI/kg
	(kg °C)	Cp13	the mean specific heat of feedwater inside the pipe of
$C_{\rm pl1}$	the mean specific heat at constant pressure of the	- pio	the superheated stream cooling section. $I/(kg \circ C)$
p	water inside the pipe of the drain cooler section, I/	δ	terminal temperature difference, °C
	(kg °C)	θ	drain cooler approach, °C
G_{s}	extraction mass flow, kg/s	S_d	the section area of drain section, m^2
G _w	feedwater mass flow, kg/s	SI	the section area of steam section, m ²
K_1	the overall heat transfer coefficient of the drain cooler	G_l	steam leakage of drain cooler section at low liquid
	section, W/(m ² °C)		level, kg/s
F_1	the heat transfer area, m ²	vw	the specific volume of saturated water, m ³ /kg
С	the parameter related to the pipe location	v_s	the specific volume of saturated steam, m ³ /kg
u _i	the water flow velocity inside the pipe	$C'_{\rm pl1}$	the mean specific heat at constant pressure of the
ϕ_c	the clean coefficient	P	water outside the pipe of the drain cooler section, J/
ϕ_m	the pipe correction coefficient		(kg °C)
ϕ_w	the water temperature correction coefficient inside the pipe	h _i	heat transfer coefficient inside the pipe at low liquid level, $W/(m^2 \circ C)$
Δt_m	the logarithmic mean temperature difference, °C	h_0	heat transfer coefficient outside the pipe, $W/(m^2 \circ C)$
K_2	the overall heat transfer coefficient of the condensing	d_0	the outer diameter of the pipe, m
	section, W/(m ² °C)	λο	the thermal conductivity of the fluid outside the pipe,
F_2	the heat transfer area, m ²		W/(m °C)
$C_{\rm pl2}$	the mean specific heat at constant pressure of	R_1	the fouling thermal resistance inside the pipe, (m ² °C)/
	feedwater inside the pipe of the condensing section, J/		W
	(kg °C)	R_2	the fouling thermal resistance outside the pipe,
h _{i2}	the convective heat transfer coefficient inside the pipe		(m ² °C)/W
	of the condensing section, $W/(m^2 \circ C)$	h_h	the enthalpy value of saturated steam, kJ/kg
d_{i2}	inner diameter of the pipe, m	h_l	the enthalpy value of saturated water, kJ/kg
Nu	the Nusselt number		
Re	the Reynolds number	Subscripts	
Pr	the Prandtl number	"0"	the known working condition
u_{i2}	the flow velocity of feedwater inside the pipe, m/s	"f"	qualitative temperatures which are steam–water
γ_{i2}	the kinematical viscosity of fluid inside the pipe, m^2/s		mixture average temperature in the shell side
μ_{i2}	the dynamic viscosity of fluid inside the pipe, Pa s	" <i>w</i> "	qualitative temperatures which are the average
λ_{i2}	the thermal conductivity of fluid inside the pipe, $W/$		temperature of pipe wall

design liquid level often has some deviations with actual operation value and is not necessarily the best liquid level. So the terminal temperature difference is usually used as criterion in power plant to determine the reasonable operation liquid level through the liquid level adjustment test.

The terminal temperature difference of the heater changes with the operating condition of the turbine and the liquid level. Acquiring the relationship of these three terms is conducive to adjust liquid level in time according to the changing of unit load, which can ensure the safe and economic operation of the unit and speed up the process of the liquid level adjustment test. At present, many scholars have studied on varying condition characteristic of the feedwater heater without considering the impact of the liquid level. Some Chinese scholars assumed that the heat transfer coefficient of the heater remains unchanged when the unit load and the inlet feedwater temperature change [3]. Although the calculation process was simplified, the result had certain errors compared with the actual situation. Ref. [4] made use of the heat transfer theory and the heat balance theory, introducing a calculation method of the optimal value of the terminal temperature difference for low pressure heater considering the influence of the inlet feedwater temperature and the unit load on the transfer Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/646489

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/646489

Daneshyari.com