



Review

A critical review of flow maps for gas-liquid flows in vertical pipes and annuli



Benjamin Wu^{a,1}, Mahshid Firouzi^{a,1,*}, Travis Mitchell^b, Thomas E. Rufford^a, Christopher Leonardi^b, Brian Towler^a

^aSchool of Chemical Engineering, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia 4072, Australia

^bSchool of Mechanical and Mining Engineering, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia 4072, Australia

HIGHLIGHTS

- Critical review and analysis of 3947 flow regime data points for vertical flows.
- Assessment of the available models for prediction of upward flow regime transition.
- Generation of a universal flow map for upward flows based on Reynolds numbers.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 March 2017

Received in revised form 20 May 2017

Accepted 22 May 2017

Available online 25 May 2017

Keywords:

Experimental

Vertical

Flow regime

Flow map

Regime transition

CFD

Co-current

Counter-current

Modeling

ABSTRACT

The accurate prediction of two-phase gas and liquid flow regimes is important in the proper design, operation and scale-up of pressure management and fluid handling systems in a wide range of industrial processes. This paper provides a comprehensive review of 3947 published experimental data points for gas-liquid flow maps in vertical pipes and annuli, including a critical analysis of state-of-the-art measurement techniques used to identify bubble, slug, churn and annular flow regimes. We examine the critical factors of pipe geometry (diameters, deviation from vertical), fluid properties and flow conditions that affect the transition from one flow regime to another. The review surveys the theoretical models available to predict flow regime transitions, and we validate the accuracy of these models using the published experimental data. The most reliable flow regime transition models for upward co-current flows are analytically shown to be: (i) Barnea 1987 for dispersed bubble to bubble flow, (ii) Taitel et al. 1980 for bubble to slug flow, (iii) Barnea 1987 for slug to churn flow, and (iv) Mishima and Ishii 1984 for churn to annular flow regime transition.

Moreover, based on the review we provide an outlook on the research needs and important developments in prediction of two-phase flow in vertical pipes including the use of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques to simulate gas-liquid flows in vertical geometries.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	351
2. Survey of experimental techniques used to identify flow regimes	352
2.1. Visual observation	353
2.2. Gas holdup or global void fraction	354
2.3. Local void fraction	355
2.4. Differential pressure measurement	356
3. Summary of available data sets for two-phase flow in vertical pipes	356
3.1. Co-current upward flow in vertical pipes	356
3.2. Counter-current flow in vertical pipes	357

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: m.firouzi@uq.edu.au (M. Firouzi).

¹ First authors.

Nomenclature

A_p	projected bubble area (m ²)	u_{LS}^*	dimensionless liquid superficial velocity
C	empirical constant	u_M	mixture superficial velocity (m/s)
C_K	empirical constant	u_∞	rise velocity of fairly large bubbles (m/s)
C_M	empirical constant		
C_0	approximate ratio of centreline velocity to average velocity (dimensionless)	<i>Greek symbols</i>	
D	pipe inner diameter (m)	α	void fraction (dimensionless)
D_b	bubble diameter (m)	α_{avg}	average void fraction (dimensionless)
D_C	casing inner diameter (m)	α_b	bubble void fraction (dimensionless)
$D_{critical}$	critical pipe inner diameter (m)	α_{bs}	bubble-slug void fraction (dimensionless)
D_H	hydraulic diameter (m)	α_{mean}	mean void fraction (dimensionless)
D_T	tubing outer diameter (m)	δ	liquid film thickness (m)
D_{32}	Sauter mean bubble diameter (m)	ε_c	critical void fraction (dimensionless)
F_i^{inter}	interfacial forces (N/m ²)	μ_G	gas viscosity (mPa.s)
H_L	liquid holdup (dimensionless)	μ_L	liquid viscosity (mPa.s)
l_E	entry length (m)	μ_S	liquid specific viscosity (dimensionless)
m	empirical constant	ρ_G	gas density (kg/m ³)
$N_{\mu l}$	liquid viscosity number	ρ_H	homogenous or no-slip density (kg/m ³)
s_G	gas specific density (dimensionless)	ρ_L	liquid density (kg/m ³)
s_L	liquid specific density (dimensionless)	ρ_M	mixture density (kg/m ³)
SL	slippage number (dimensionless)	σ	surface tension (N/m)
T_i	viscous stress tensor (dimensionless)	σ_S	liquid specific surface tension (dimensionless)
U_0	bubble rise velocity (m/s)		
U_G	Taylor bubble rise velocity (m/s)	<i>Non-dimensional parameters</i>	
u_{bS}	Taylor bubble superficial velocity (m/s)	Fr_M	$= u_M / \sqrt{Dg}$
u_{fS}	liquid film superficial velocity (m/s)	Re_{GS}	$= \frac{\rho_G u_{GS} D}{\mu_G}$
u_{GS}	gas superficial velocity (m/s)	Re_{LS}	$= \frac{\rho_L u_{LS} D}{\mu_L}$
u_{GS}^*	dimensionless gas superficial velocity	We_{GS}	$= \frac{\rho_G u_{GS}^2 D}{\sigma}$
u_{KS}	phase superficial velocity (m/s)	We_{LS}	$= \frac{\rho_L u_{LS}^2 D}{\sigma}$
u_{KS}^*	dimensionless phase superficial velocity		
u_{LS}	liquid superficial velocity (m/s)		

3.3.	Effect of pipe geometry	359
3.3.1.	Effect of Pipe Diameter	359
3.3.2.	Effect of Pipe Deviation	360
3.4.	Effect of fluid properties on flow regimes in vertical pipes	360
3.4.1.	Viscosity	360
3.4.2.	Salinity	361
4.	Summary of experimental data for co-current flow in vertical annuli	362
5.	Evaluation of flow maps based on non-dimensional parameters	364
6.	Theoretical prediction of flow regime transitions	365
6.1.	Transition from bubble to slug flow regime	365
6.2.	Slug to churn flow transition	366
6.3.	Churn to annular flow transition	367
6.4.	Validation of the existing models	368
7.	Development of numerical methods for the prediction of flow regime transitions	369
7.1.	Numerical methods	370
7.2.	Bubbly flow	371
7.3.	Slug flow	371
7.4.	Churn/Annular flow	372
8.	Conclusions and outlook	374
	Acknowledgements	374
	Appendix A	374
	References	374

1. Introduction

Gas and liquid are required to flow simultaneously inside vertical pipes and vertical annuli in a wide range of industrial and engineering processes. Two-phase gas and liquid flows manifest in steam boilers, condensers, chemical reactors and associated process piping [1–4] in petrochemical plants, food-processing plants

and in nuclear energy facilities [5,6]. The proper design and operation of these two-phase fluid systems requires accurate prediction of pressure drops in the system, and that prediction relies on understanding the nature of the flow regimes that could manifest in a two-phase system. This review paper surveys the published experimental data and mathematical models that describe two-phase flows in (a) vertical pipes and (b) vertical annuli, which is

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6465433>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6465433>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)