### Applied Thermal Engineering 58 (2013) 605-614

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Applied Thermal Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

## Optimal allocation of cleanings in heat exchanger networks

Bruna C.G. Assis<sup>a,1</sup>, Julia C. Lemos<sup>a</sup>, Eduardo M. Queiroz<sup>b</sup>, Fernando L.P. Pessoa<sup>b</sup>, Fábio S. Liporace<sup>c</sup>, Sérgio G. Oliveira<sup>c</sup>, André L.H. Costa<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Rio de Janeiro State University (UERJ), Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524, Maracanã, CEP 20550-900 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil <sup>b</sup> Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Avenida Athos da Silveira Ramos, 149, Cidade Universitária, CEP 21941-909 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil <sup>c</sup> PETROBRAS/CENPES, Avenida Horácio Macedo, 950, Cidade Universitária, CEP 21949-900 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

## HIGHLIGHTS

• This paper addresses the optimization of cleanings in heat exchanger networks.

• The optimization problem corresponds to a mixed-integer linear programming.

• The solution allows a reduction in energy consumption during the network operation.

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 October 2012 Accepted 25 April 2013 Available online 6 May 2013

Keywords: Fouling Heat exchanger networks Cleaning Optimization

#### ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the identification of the optimal set of heat exchangers to be cleaned during a plant maintenance shutdown. The proposed methodology is based on the resolution of a mixed-integer linear programming problem which allows the identification of the cleaning requirements aiming to reduce costs during the interval between scheduled plant shutdowns. The linear structure of the proposed formulation avoids problems associated to multiple local optima, and the resultant problem dimension allows the analysis of large heat exchanger networks without excessive computational efforts. The application of the proposed approach is illustrated through the investigation of two heat exchanger networks. The first example explores some typical cleaning patterns and the other example demonstrates the utilization of the proposed approach applied to a real network.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Heat exchanger fouling is the undesirable accumulation of deposits over the heat transfer surface of thermal equipment. This phenomenon reduces the overall heat transfer coefficient and hence decreases heat exchangers effectiveness. Therefore, fouling is associated with several economic penalties for process plants, affecting operational costs (e.g. increase in energy consumption) and capital costs (e.g. demand of larger thermal equipment).

In order to restore heat loads in heat exchangers affected by fouling, it is necessary to clean the thermal surfaces periodically. There are several available cleaning techniques, employing chemical and/or physical agents [1]. The performance monitoring of heat exchangers can supply valuable data for determination of the period between heat exchanger cleanings [2].

E-mail address: andrehc@uerj.br (A.L.H. Costa).

Considering the resources necessary for cleaning activities (manpower, cranes, chemicals, etc.) and the increased utility consumption due to an off-line heat exchanger (if the cleaning is conducted during the plant operation), the establishment of the best moment to clean a heat exchanger becomes a trade-off problem. This task may assume a considerable complexity in heat exchanger networks, which can have a large number of different units.

Several papers in the literature addressed this problem through the analysis of the cleaning schedule optimization of a heat exchanger network during plant operation. The mathematical techniques employed encompass mathematical programming [3– 7] and stochastic methods [8,9], where the main system investigated was the fouling mitigation in crude preheat trains of petroleum refineries.

In the early 2000s, Georgiadis and Papageorgiou [3] used mathematical programming for solving the schedule optimization of heat exchanger network cleanings employing a mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) formulation. Avoiding linearizations which could cause inaccuracies, Smaïli et al. [4] proposed a mixed-





APPLIED THERMAL ENGINEERING

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 2181052084.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Petróleo Brasileiro S.A. (PETROBRAS), Avenida Horácio Macedo, 950, Cidade Universitária, CEP 21949-900 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.

<sup>1359-4311/\$ –</sup> see front matter  $\odot$  2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2013.04.043

Nomenclature		Т	stream temperature (°C)	
		TI	set of time instants	
Α	heat exchanger area (m <sup>2</sup> )	U	overall heat transfer coefficient (W/(m <sup>2</sup> K))	
С	linear model fouling rate (m <sup>2</sup> K/J)	UT	upper bound on the stream temperatures (°C)	
Cc	cleaning costs (\$)	V	network inlet/outlet temperature (°C)	
Сор	utility costs (\$/])	VET	set of vertices	
Cp	stream heat capacity (I/(kg K))	v	binary variable which indicates if a heat exchanger	
ĊP	network inlet/outlet stream heat capacity (J/(kg K))	5	must be cleaned or not	
CR	ratio between heat capacity flow rates (dimensionless)	уc	variable associated to a bilinear term of the cold	
CSTR	subset of cold streams	5	streams	
De	outer tube diameter (m)	yh	variable associated to a bilinear term of the hot streams	
Di	inner tube diameter (m)	-		
DS	subset of desalters	Greek symbols		
fobj	objective function (\$)	α	split fraction	
h	film coefficient $(W/(m^2 K))$	Δ	temperature difference in the desalter (°C)	
HE	subset of heat exchangers	$\tau_{\mathrm{f}}$	asymptotic fouling rate model parameter (s)	
HSTR	subset of hot streams			
i	interest rate	Subscri	Subscripts	
$k_{w}$	thermal conductivity of the tube wall (W/(m K))	с	cold stream	
LT	lower bound on the stream temperatures (°C)	h	hot stream	
т	stream mass flow rate (kg/s)	i	inlet	
MX	subset of mixers	0	outlet	
п	network inlet/outlet mass flow rate (kg/s)	in	edges into a vertex	
np	number of past periods	out	edges from a vertex	
N <sub>max</sub>	maximum allowable number of cleanings	ref	temperature reference	
NTU	number of transfer units (dimensionless)	k	index of the edges (process streams)	
р	weights of the numerical integration procedure	k'	alias of index k	
Р	effectiveness (dimensionless)	k''	alias of index k	
PD	subset of demand units	t	index of the vertices (network elements)	
PS	subset of supply units	tube	tube-side	
Q	heat load (W)	shell	shell-side	
$R_{\rm f}$	fouling resistance (m <sup>2</sup> K/W)	τ	index of time instants	
$R_{ m f}^{\infty}$	asymptotic fouling resistance (m <sup>2</sup> K/W)			
$R_{\rm f,0}$	fouling resistance at the plant shutdown (m <sup>2</sup> K/W)	Superscripts		
S	present worth factor	spe	specification	
S	subset of streams	с	clean	
SP	subset of splitters	d	dirty	
STR	set of edges	yes	cleaning	
t	time (s)	no	no cleaning	

integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) formulation for the identification of the optimal cleaning schedule. Later, Lavaja and Bagajewicz [5] showed that the same problem could be described using a MILP formulation, which could also be extended to include throughput loss considerations [6] and financial risks [7]. A comparison between the utilization of mathematical programming and a stochastic method was explored by Smaïli et al. [8]. A stochastic optimization method was also employed by Rodriguez and Smith [9] for exploring the manipulation of heat exchanger bypasses during the optimization included additional aspects of the problem, such as, the impact of the desalter unit [10] and the inclusion of the aging of the fouling deposits in the model [11].

However, fouling management based on cleaning schedules without entire network shutdown may not be feasible for several reasons: inexistence of bypasses, impossibility to keep the process variables within acceptable ranges with off-line heat exchangers, capacity limitations in final heaters and/or coolers, manpower limitations, etc. In these cases, the cleaning activities are limited to major maintenance shutdowns, where a large number of interventions are carried out simultaneously: catalyst inventory replacement, equipment inspections, etc. Expressive costs associated with the production interruption impose that maintenance shutdowns must be planned carefully and executed efficiently in order to reach the expected performance improvements without extra penalties due to delays [12]. Therefore, if the unique opportunity for heat exchanger cleanings is during a maintenance plant shutdown, the engineering staff must be able to select a proper set of heat exchangers to be cleaned.

Despite existent procedures for the optimization of heat exchanger cleaning schedules [3–9] could also be adapted for the selection of cleanings in maintenance shutdowns, the exploration of the particularities of this problem would allow the development of more efficient solution schemes.

In this context, the objective of this paper is to present a solution for the identification of the optimal set of units to be cleaned during a heat exchanger network shutdown. The optimization formulation consists of a mixed-integer linear programming problem, which avoids problems related to nonconvexities (e.g. selection of adequate initial estimates and existence of multiple local optima). The proposed formulation can be employed to networks with any pattern of interconnection among heat exchangers, such as, series or parallel alignments, presence of loops, etc. Additionally, there are no limitations in relation to heat exchanger configurations (countercurrent, crossflow, multipass, etc.), without using artificial linearizations of heat exchanger equations. Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/646844

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/646844

Daneshyari.com