BBE 247 1-16

ARTICLE IN PRESS

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (2018) XXX-XXX



23

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com
ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbe

Original Research Article

Gene selection from large-scale gene expression data based on fuzzy interactive multi-objective binary optimization for medical diagnosis

Q Saleh Shahbeig, Akbar Rahideh^{*}, Mohammad Sadegh Helfroush, Kamran Kazemi

Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Shiraz University of Technology, Shiraz, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 September 2017 Received in revised form 4 February 2018 Accepted 8 February 2018 Available online xxx

Keywords:

Fuzzy interactive method Sub-optimal subset Gene selection Large-scale data Multi-objective Adaptive binary particle swarm optimization

ABSTRACT

An efficient fuzzy interactive multi-objective optimization method is proposed to select the sub-optimal subset of genes from large-scale gene expression data. It is based on the *binary particle swarm optimization* (BPSO) algorithm tuned by a chaotic method. The proposed method is able to select the sub-optimal subset of genes with the least number of features that can accurately distinguish between the two classes, e.g. the normal and cancerous samples. The proposed method is evaluated on several publicly available microarray and RNA-sequencing gene expression datasets such as leukemia, colon cancer, central nervous system, lung cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer and RNA-seq lung disease. The results indicate that the proposed method can identify the minimum number of genes to achieve the most *accuracy*, *sensitivity* and *specificity* in the classification process. Achieving 100% accuracy in six out of the seven datasets investigated in this study, demonstrates the high capacity of the proposed algorithm to find the sub-optimal subset of genes. This approach is useful in clinical applications to extract the most influential genes on a disease and to find the treatment procedure for the disease.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Nalecz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

14

16

17

18

19

1. Introduction

Microarray technology provides the opportunities to simultaneously monitor the expression of thousands genes in a single hybridization experiment. In addition to cell biological understanding, the analysis of microarray data can also be useful to diagnose and treat diseases. In microarray technology, by studying the gene expression in normal and abnormal cells, it is possible to identify the most influential genes involved in the formation of a disease. The "most influential genes" are those genes which divide the groups in any given datasets (disease vs. control or different disease sub-types) with the largest margin, i.e. highest classification accuracy in

Biocybernetics

and Biomedical Engineering

Please cite this article in press as: Shahbeig S, et al. Gene selection from large-scale gene expression data based on fuzzy interactive multiobjective binary optimization for medical diagnosis. Biocybern Biomed Eng (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2018.02.002

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Shiraz University of Technology, Shiraz, Iran. E-mail addresses: s.shahbeig@sutech.ac.ir (S. Shahbeig), rahide@sutech.ac.ir (A. Rahideh).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2018.02.002

^{0208-5216/} \odot 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Nalecz Institute of Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

BIOCYBERNETICS AND BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING XXX (2018) XXX-XXX

the test stage. As the publicly available gene expression 27 28 datasets are expanded, several algorithms have been proposed 29 to analyze the datasets. There are two major challenges facing 30 the algorithms of gene expression data analysis: excessive number of genes compared to the number of samples, which is 31 known as curse of dimensionality; and difficulty to interpret 32 the obtained results. So, the feature selection method should 33 34 be able to overcome the mentioned challenge and select a 35 sufficient number of informative genes to achieve a good 36 accuracy for the classification task. It is highly regarded by geneticists and physicians as a valuable research. 37

The difficulty to interpret the results is more pronounced 38 when the purpose is to identify the genes by which the classes 39 can be distinguished. For example, in the support vector machine 40 (SVM)-based classification [1-4], a separator function is found 41 and placed between samples belonging to different classes, 42 while in KNN-based classification [5] the Euclidean distance 43 between samples is used to distinguish between the classes. 44 As a result, in these algorithms, the identification of the genes 45 46 with the most capability to separate the samples of different 47 classes is difficult. Statistical methods, such as Bayesian-based 48 model [6,7], have also been used in this realm with the 49 drawback of high computational burden.

The feature selection techniques fall into three following 50 51 categories, as shown in Fig. 1 [8], depending on the interaction 52 of feature selector and the classifier: (a) filtering methods; (b) wrapper methods; and (c) embedded methods. Filtering 53 54 techniques are independent from the classifier and select the features based on the intrinsic (e.g. statistical) properties of 55 the data. In contrast, the wrapper and embedded techniques 56 are classifier dependent in which not only the classifier 57 tunable parameters need to be sub-optimally obtained but also 58 a sub-optimal subset of features should be selected. Since the 59 proposed method in this manuscript fall into the category of 60 61 wrapper methods, the choice of classifier in order to achieve 62 the highest classification accuracy with the lowest number of 63 genes is important. In this case, classifier is a main component 64 in the structure of the feature selection algorithm. The 65 difference between the wrapper and embedded techniques 66 is the order of the classifier tunable parameters space (also known as the hypothesis space) and the feature selection 67 space as depicted in Fig. 1. 68

69 1.1. Literature review

An overview of some of the feature selection algorithmsreported in recent years has been presented in this section.

A feature selection method has been proposed in [4]. It
 uses a backward elimination procedure similar to that of
 implemented in support vector machine recursive feature

elimination (SVM-RFE). In this method, at each step, the ranking score of each feature is computed by using a statistical analysis of weight vectors of multiple linear SVMs trained on sub-samples of the original training data. A feature selection method has been reported for the classification of autism data in which the genes with similar information are excluded in the pre-processing stage [9]. Then 8 methods of statistical feature selection have been applied to the remaining genes. A number of genes with the highest rank have been selected using each of the statistical methods and the obtained genes from all the methods have been merged. K-means clustering technique has been employed to identify the sub-optimal number of genes and finally a SVM classifier has been used to separate the categories. 75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

Wang and Han [10] have presented a hybrid feature selection algorithm for the sake of gene expression data analysis. The algorithm creates a connection between the purely statistical methods of feature selection and those based on optimization techniques. In this way, the number of genes has been reduced to a desired level.

An optimization method based on binary biogeography has been proposed to select a small subset of informative genes [11]. To this end, the Fisher-Markova selector algorithm has initially been used to select 60 genes with the highest statistical scores. Then binary biogeography-based optimization (BBBO) algorithm based on a model of binary migration and mutation has been employed to optimize the discrete problem. Finally, multi-objective BBBO (MOBBBO) has been proposed by integrating the non-dominated sorting method and the crowding distance method into the BBBO framework. Detection of cancer by feature selection and classification through the use of adjustable SVM has been presented in [12]. Consistency-based feature selection (CBFS) method and signal-tonoise ratio (STNR) technique has been performed and the transductive SVM has been used for a semi-supervised classification.

The feature selection and clustering of gene expression data have been reported by using the so-called *clustering large applications based on RAN-domized search* (CLARANS) method [13]. The gene ontology based CLARANS algorithm has been used to select a number of effective genes for the clustering purposes. A combination of the simulated annealing and discretized multivariate joint entropy has been used for the feature selection of microarray gene expression data [14]. Wang et al. [15] have proposed a sub-optimal feature selection approach for sparse linear discriminant analysis to be used for gene expression data. Cui et al. [16] have presented an algorithm for dimensionality reduction of gene expression data based on the so-called sparse maximum margin discriminant analysis to extract influential features and select



Fig. 1 - (a) Filtering method, (b) wrapper method, and (c) embedded method [8].

Please cite this article in press as: Shahbeig S, et al. Gene selection from large-scale gene expression data based on fuzzy interactive multiobjective binary optimization for medical diagnosis. Biocybern Biomed Eng (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbe.2018.02.002 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6484167

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6484167

Daneshyari.com