

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Applied Thermal Engineering

Applied Thermal Engineering 28 (2008) 1168–1176

www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng

# Numerical study of heat transfer from an offshore buried pipeline under steady-periodic thermal boundary conditions

A. Barletta<sup>a,\*</sup>, E. Zanchini<sup>a</sup>, S. Lazzari<sup>a</sup>, A. Terenzi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Università di Bologna, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Energetica, Nucleare e del Controllo Ambientale (DIENCA), Laboratorio di Montecuccolino,

Via dei Colli 16, I-40136 Bologna, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Snamprogetti S.p.A., Via Toniolo 1, I-61032 Fano (PS), Italy

Received 15 November 2006; accepted 14 August 2007 Available online 22 August 2007

#### Abstract

The steady-periodic heat transfer between offshore buried pipelines for the transport of hydrocarbons and their environment is investigated. This heat transfer regime occurs for shallow water buried pipelines, as a consequence of the temperature changes of the seabed during the year. First, the unsteady two dimensional conduction problem is written in a dimensionless form; then, it is transformed into a steady two dimensional problem and solved numerically by means of the finite–element software package Comsol Multiphysics (© Comsol, Inc.). Several values of the burying depth and of the radius of the pipeline, as well as of the thermal properties of the soil are considered. The numerical results are compared with those obtainable by means of an approximate method employed in industrial design. The comparison reveals that important discrepancies with respect to this approximate method may occur. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Offshore buried pipelines; Heat transfer; Steady-periodic boundary conditions; Numerical methods; Industrial design

#### 1. Introduction

As is well known, offshore buried pipelines are often used for the transport of hydrocarbons from extraction sites to refinement plants. The design of these pipelines requires the knowledge of the overall heat transfer coefficient from the pipe wall to the environment. In fact, a significant decrease of the fluid temperature could cause the formation of hydrates and waxes which might stop the fluid flow. Moreover, the knowledge of the bulk temperature of the fluid in any cross section is necessary to determine the value of the fluid viscosity in that section and, thus, to evaluate the viscous pressure drop along the flow direction. As a consequence, the heat transfer from buried pipelines has been widely studied in the literature [1–4]. An analytical expression of the steady-state heat transfer coefficient from an offshore buried pipeline to its environment can be found in [5]. It refers to the boundary condition of a uniform temperature of the seabed, i.e. of the separation surface between soil and sea water. In these conditions, the thermal power exchanged between the pipeline and its environment, per unit length of the duct, can be expressed as

$$\dot{Q} = k(T_a - T_e)\Lambda_0,\tag{1}$$

where k is the thermal conductivity of the soil,  $T_a$  is the temperature of the external surface of the duct,  $T_e$  is the temperature of the seabed and  $\Lambda_0$  is a dimensionless heat transfer coefficient, given by

$$\Lambda_0(\sigma) = \frac{2\pi}{\operatorname{arccosh}(\sigma)}.$$
(2)

In Eq. (2),  $\sigma$  is the ratio between the burying depth of the pipe axis, *H*, and the external radius of the pipe, *R*.

Eqs. (1) and (2) provide reliable results in many cases, but cannot be applied, for instance, in the following

 <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 051 6441703; fax: +39 051 6441747. *E-mail addresses*: antonio.barletta@unibo.it (A. Barletta), enzo.
 zanchini@unibo.it (E. Zanchini), stefano.lazzari@unibo.it (S. Lazzari), alessandro.terenzi@snamprogetti.eni.it (A. Terenzi).

<sup>1359-4311/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2007.08.004

## Nomenclature

| A, B                               | dimensionless coefficients                       | Х, Ү  | coordinates                                   |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| а                                  | dimensionless length                             | <i>x</i> , <i>y</i>   | dimensionless coordinates                     |
| С                                  | dimensionless constant defined in Eq. (A.2)      |   |   |
| $c_{\rm v}$                        | soil specific heat at constant volume            | Greek symbols   |   |
| Н                                  | burying depth of the pipeline                    | α   | soil thermal diffusivity                      |
| k                                  | soil conductivity                                | β,γ   | dimensionless quantities defined in Eq. (A.4) |
| ñ                                  | normal unit vector                               | $\Delta T$  | amplitude of temperature oscillations         |
| q                                  | thermal power per unit area                      | $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2$ dimensionless temperature fields |   |
| Ż                                  | thermal power per unit length                    | $\Lambda_0$   | dimensionless heat transfer coefficient       |
| $\dot{Q}_{ m const}$               | thermal power per unit length, in the constant   | Ξ   | dimensionless parameter                       |
|                                    | power limit                                      | $\rho$  | soil density                                  |
| $\dot{Q}_{qs}$                     | thermal power per unit length, in the quasi-sta- | $\sigma$  | dimensionless burying depth                   |
|                                    | tionary limit                                    | Σ   | dimensionless parameter                       |
| R                                  | pipeline radius                                  | $\phi$  | phase angle defined in Eq. (A.3)              |
| $T_a$                              | pipeline wall temperature                        | ω   | angular frequency                             |
| $T_e$                              | seabed temperature                               |   |   |
| $T_{\rm eff}$                      | effective temperature                            | Superscripts, subscripts  |   |
| $T_H$                              | soil temperature at a depth $H$                  | *   | dimensionless quantity                        |
| $T_{\rm m}$                        | mean annual seabed temperature                   | max   | maximum value                                 |
| $T_0, T_1, T_2$ temperature fields |  | min   | minimum value                                 |
| t                                  | time   |   |   |
|                                    |  |   |   |

circumstance. For shallow–water pipelines, the temperature of the seabed is affected by the season changes and varies accordingly during the year. With reference to the Mediterranean sea, the seabed statistically reaches a minimum value of 14 °C, in winter, and a maximum value of 25 °C, in summer. Clearly, this temperature change of the seabed may have a strong influence on the thermal power exchanged between the pipeline and its environment.

At present, an approximate method is employed in industrial design to take into account this effect. The soil is considered as a semi–infinite solid medium whose surface temperature varies in time according to the law

$$T = T_{\rm m} + \Delta T \sin(\omega t), \tag{3}$$

where  $T_{\rm m}$  is the mean annual temperature of the seabed,  $\Delta T$  is the amplitude of the temperature oscillation and  $\omega$ is the angular frequency which corresponds to the period of one year, namely

$$\omega = 0.1991 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}.\tag{4}$$

Thus, by assuming that the pipeline does not modify considerably the temperature distribution in the soil, at a depth H one has [6]

$$T_{H} = T_{\rm m} - \Delta T \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}H\right) \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}H - \omega t\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the thermal diffusivity of the soil. In the approximate method, the thermal power is evaluated as

$$\dot{Q} = k(T_a - T_H)\Lambda_0,\tag{6}$$

i.e. by replacing  $T_e$  with  $T_H$  in Eq. (1).

The approximate method does not seem reliable because it is not based on a rigorous mathematical model. To check the reliability of the method, one can apply it to evaluate the heat transfer between a plane isothermal surface buried at a depth H and the surrounding soil, when the temperature of the ground surface varies in time according to Eq. (3). In fact, for this case, an analytical expression of the soil temperature field is available in the literature [7]. This analysis has been performed with reference to standard properties of the soil and is reported in Appendix A. The comparison between the analytical solution and the approximate method shows that the latter yields acceptable results only when very small values of H are considered. Therefore, a more reliable method to evaluate the steady-periodic heat transfer from buried pipelines to the environment is needed.

The aim of this investigation is to find out an accurate method to determine the heat transfer between an offshore buried pipeline and its environment in steady-periodic conditions. First, by introducing suitable auxiliary variables, the unsteady two dimensional conduction problem is transformed into a steady two dimensional problem in the new variables. Then, the steady problem is solved numerically by means of the software package Comsol Multiphysics (©Comsol, Inc.). The results show that the empirical method given by Eqs. (6), (5) and (2) may yield a too rough approximation in some cases.

## 2. Mathematical model

Let us assume that the temperature of the seabed varies in time according to Eqs. (3) and (4). The computational Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/649060

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/649060

Daneshyari.com