



# Insights in the mechanism of deposition and growth of RuO<sub>2</sub> colloidal nanoparticles over alumina. Implications on the activity for ammonia synthesis



Camila Fernández<sup>a,\*</sup>, Capucine Sasso<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Nicolas Flores<sup>e</sup>, Néstor Escalona<sup>e</sup>,  
Eric M. Gaigneaux<sup>a,\*</sup>, Clément Sanchez<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Patricio Ruiz<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université catholique de Louvain, Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanosciences – IMCN, Division «Molecules, Solids and Reactivity–MOST», Croix du Sud 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

<sup>b</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7574 Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France

<sup>c</sup> CNRS, UMR 7574, Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France

<sup>d</sup> Collège de France, UMR 7574, Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France

<sup>e</sup> Universidad de Concepción, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Casilla 160C, Concepción, Chile

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 March 2015

Received in revised form 22 May 2015

Accepted 22 May 2015

Available online 28 May 2015

### Keywords:

Ammonia synthesis

Supported RuO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles

Particle size heterogeneity

Impregnation of RuO<sub>2</sub> colloids

Particle growth

## ABSTRACT

Choosing and controlling the size distribution of Ru-supported nanoparticles are crucial steps to reach a high activity in ammonia synthesis under low temperature and pressure. A promoted reaction is expected on surfaces exhibiting polydispersed Ru nanoparticles, where small and larger particles give rise to a catalytic cooperation process. In this work we implement new strategies for the synthesis of supported RuO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with broad size distributions. Catalysts with high heterogeneity of Ru sizes were successfully prepared and they shown enhanced activity in ammonia synthesis, as long as a sufficient concentration of small particles was reached. Indeed, catalytic activity drastically decreased for low concentrations of 2–3 nm Ru particles. A model is proposed for the deposition and growth of RuO<sub>2</sub> colloidal nanoparticles on alumina, providing insights in processes associated to other synthesis methods. According to this model, firstly attached RuO<sub>2</sub> particles behave as nucleation points for further deposition of hydrated RuO<sub>2</sub>, while remaining RuO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in suspension are successively deposited in a constantly changing surface, triggering growth of supported clusters.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Ammonia synthesis constitutes one of the biggest energy consumer processes in the chemical industry. In order to catalyze ammonia synthesis reaction at mild ambient conditions (i.e. low temperature and low pressure), the development of highly active materials is mandatory. High catalytic performances can be reached when the active phase presents nanoscale properties. Therefore, the synthesis of metal nanocatalysts is a priority for improving existing technologies and designing new processes of low energy consumption [1,2].

Ru-supported nanoparticles exhibit a high catalytic activity for ammonia synthesis under mild ambient conditions [3–8]. The properties arising from the nano-size dimension are expected to change the electronic structure of the metal, leading to unusual catalytic activities [9,10].

We have shown [11,12] that the surface configuration (average particle size and distribution of sizes) of Ru-supported nanoparticles has a significant effect on the catalytic activity for ammonia synthesis. The process is better conducted on surfaces having a broad size distribution (2–12 nm) of Ru nanoparticles, where small and larger particles give rise to a catalytic cooperation process. Small particles contain the most active sites for the activation of nitrogen [13,14]. However, hydrogen is slowly activated and strongly attached to these particles at low temperatures, which affects the hydrogenation of NH<sub>x</sub> intermediate species and the release of active sites for N<sub>2</sub> adsorption. Indeed, according to the kinetic study previously reported [12], the release of active sites

\* Corresponding authors. Tel.: +32 10 47 35 91.

E-mail addresses: [camila.fernandez@uclouvain.be](mailto:camila.fernandez@uclouvain.be) (C. Fernández),  
[eric.gaigneaux@uclouvain.be](mailto:eric.gaigneaux@uclouvain.be) (E.M. Gaigneaux), [patricio.ruiz@uclouvain.be](mailto:patricio.ruiz@uclouvain.be) (P. Ruiz).

becomes the limiting step for the reaction over small Ru particles. On the contrary, larger Ru nanoparticles decrease the energetic barrier for  $H_2$  activation and allow a higher mobility of hydrogen atoms. It was suggested that the catalytic cooperation mechanism involves the migration of H atoms from large to small Ru nanoparticles, promoting the hydrogenation of adsorbed  $NH_x$  species on small metal particles and the release of blocked active sites [12].

In consequence, choosing and controlling the size distribution of supported Ru nanoparticles are crucial steps to reach a high activity in ammonia synthesis under mild ambient conditions. Moreover, the quantification of the size distribution is essential for a correct interpretation of the catalytic performance. Chemisorption methods or, eventually, XPS analysis are often used to determine mean sizes of supported metal particles. However, these values are rarely considered as average sizes, even though particles in real catalyst present most likely a certain size distribution, and not a uniform size. Only selected studies include a detailed TEM analysis to consider these aspects.

Traditional synthesis methods (precipitation, impregnation, etc.) do not allow efficiently controlling, at nanoscale, the surface configuration and size distribution of catalytic supported crystallites. Sol–gel, citric and microemulsion methods allow a more accurate control of the particle size, but not the distribution of sizes. In this work, active Ru-supported nanoparticles were obtained via impregnation of a colloidal suspension of  $RuO_2$  nanoparticles on alumina [11]. This ‘colloidal method’ allows a fine-tuning of the size and a narrow distribution of Ru particles [15–17]. However, the possibilities of increasing the particle size and to obtain a broad size distribution are limited with the colloidal technique, as also are the possibilities for improving the catalytic activity.

Our goal is to implement new strategies for the synthesis of supported Ru nanoparticles with a relatively high average size and a broad distribution of sizes. The strategies consist on the sequential impregnation of two colloidal suspensions (having different Ru mass contents) on alumina, and the mixture of the two colloids before impregnation on the support. A significant enhancement of the catalytic activity is achieved and a model is proposed for the deposition and growth of  $RuO_2$  nanoparticles on alumina, which can provide insights in the processes occurring for other synthesis methods.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Preparation of catalytic materials

#### 2.1.1. Synthesis of $RuO_2$ colloids

Colloidal suspensions of  $RuO_2$  nanoparticles ( $\sim 2$  nm) were synthesized via hydrolysis/condensation, by using  $H_2O_2$  as oxidizing agent [15]. An aqueous solution of 13.513 vol.%  $H_2O_2$  (Acros Organics, 35 wt.% stabilized) is slowly added into a 0.11 M  $RuCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%) solution, under magnetic stirring. In order to get a stable colloid, the final solution is placed in an oven in air at 95 °C for 2 h and then it is cooled down to room temperature. All colloidal suspensions were prepared with the same concentration of ruthenium (0.0072 M). However, the volume of suspension was changed to obtain different contents of ruthenium in the final catalysts. The following  $RuO_2$  colloids were then prepared:

- (i) Colloid 1, containing the Ru mass required for a 3 wt.% Ru catalyst, which corresponds to 25% of a theoretical monolayer of  $RuO_2$  on the support.
- (ii) Colloid 2, containing the Ru mass required for a 5 wt.% Ru catalyst, which corresponds to 42% of a theoretical monolayer of  $RuO_2$  on the support.

- (iii) Colloid 3, containing the Ru mass required for a 7 wt.% Ru catalyst, which corresponds to 60% of a theoretical monolayer of  $RuO_2$  on the support.

#### 2.1.2. Single impregnation of colloids on alumina (standard method)

Samples were prepared via wet impregnation of alumina with  $RuO_2$  colloids. The powdered  $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  (Alfa Aesar, SBET =  $73 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ) was added into each colloid (Colloids 1–3), under vigorous agitation. The sample was recovered via evaporation of the solvent in rotavapor, under reduced pressure at 50 °C, and then it was dried overnight at 110 °C in air. The samples are denoted as RuX/Al-COLL (dried), where X corresponds to the Ru loading percentage (X: 3, 5 or 7 wt.%).

#### 2.1.3. Synthesis of Ru/ $\gamma-Al_2O_3$ standard catalysts

Ru3/Al-COLL (dried), Ru5/Al-COLL (dried) and Ru7/Al-COLL (dried) samples were calcined in air (at 450 °C for 4 h), in order to obtain the final catalysts with 3, 5 and 7 wt.% of Ru. These catalysts are denoted as Ru3/Al-COLL, Ru5/Al-COLL and Ru7/Al-COLL, respectively, to which we will refer in general as ‘standard catalysts’.

#### 2.1.4. Synthesis of Ru/ $\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalysts via modified colloidal methods

Two different strategies were followed to synthesize Ru(10 wt.%)/ $\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalysts (Fig. 1):

- (i) Sequential impregnation of colloids (Fig. 1b): Ru7/Al-COLL (dried) and Ru3/Al-COLL (dried) samples were respectively used as supports for the impregnation of another  $RuO_2$  colloid. Precisely, Ru7/Al-COLL (dried) was added under vigorous agitation into Colloid 1 (3% Ru), while Ru3/Al-COLL (dried) was added into Colloid 3 (7% Ru). In each case, the resulting solid was recovered via evaporation of the solvent in rotavapor, under reduced pressure at 50 °C, then it was dried overnight at 110 °C in air. The resulting samples are denoted as Ru3/(Ru7/Al-COLL) (dried) and Ru7/(Ru3/Al-COLL) (dried), both containing 10 wt.% of Ru. After 4 h at 450 °C calcination, the final catalysts are obtained and simply denoted as Ru3/(Ru7/Al-COLL) and Ru7/(Ru3/Al-COLL).
- (ii) Impregnation of a mixture of colloidal suspensions (Fig. 1a’): Colloids 1 and 3 were mixed and gently agitated to form a new colloidal suspension. Alumina was then impregnated with the resulting colloid, following the procedure described above. The catalyst prepared in this way is denoted as Ru(7+3)/Al-COLL and it also contains 10 wt.% of Ru.

It is noteworthy that when the dried catalysts (heated at 110 °C) are dipped in water and stirred for 30 min, the solution remains clear, meaning that  $RuO_2$  particles are strongly attached to the support.

#### 2.1.5. Denotation of samples

In the following sections we will refer as ‘calcined’ samples to the fresh calcined catalysts, and as ‘tested’ samples to the catalysts obtained after the catalytic test, including the reduction pretreatment and the subsequent ammonia synthesis reaction.

## 2.2. Catalytic activity measurements

Catalytic tests were carried out in a metallic fixed-bed tubular (8 mm I.D.) reactor equipped with a frit to hold the catalyst and a K-type thermocouple to measure and control the temperature of the catalytic bed. The catalyst (400 mg) previously sieved to a particle size range of 100–315  $\mu\text{m}$  was reduced in pure  $H_2$  (Praxair, 4.8) at

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6497564>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6497564>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)