

### Article

# Influence of preparation methods on the physicochemical properties and catalytic performance of MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts for <sup>CrossMark</sup> NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR at low temperature

## Xiaojiang Yao <sup>a,\*</sup>, Kaili Ma <sup>b</sup>, Weixin Zou <sup>b</sup>, Shenggui He <sup>c</sup>, Jibin An <sup>d</sup>, Fumo Yang <sup>a,e,#</sup>, Lin Dong <sup>b,\$</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Reservoir Aquatic Environment of CAS, Chongqing Institute of Green and Intelligent Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chongqing 400714, China

b Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of MOE, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu, China

e Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

<sup>d</sup> Chongqing Key Laboratory of Environmental Materials and Remediation Technology, Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences, Chongqing 402160, China

e Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, Fujian, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 September 2016 Accepted 28 September 2016 Published 5 January 2017

Keywords: MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst Preparation method Nitrogen oxides Low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR Electron interaction Surface acidity

#### ABSTRACT

This work examines the influence of preparation methods on the physicochemical properties and catalytic performance of MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts for selective catalytic reduction of NO by NH<sub>3</sub> (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) at low temperature. Five different methods, namely, mechanical mixing, impregnation, hydrothermal treatment, co-precipitation, and a sol-gel technique, were used to synthesize MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. The catalysts were characterized in detail, and an NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR model reaction was chosen to evaluate the catalytic performance. The results showed that the preparation methods affected the catalytic performance in the order: hydrothermal treatment > sol-gel > co-precipitation > impregnation > mechanical mixing. This order correlated with the surface Ce<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> content, oxygen vacancies and surface adsorbed oxygen species concentration, and the amount of acidic sites and acidic strength. This trend is related to redox interactions between MnO<sub>x</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>. The catalyst formed by a hydrothermal treatment exhibited excellent physicochemical properties, optimal catalytic performance, and good H<sub>2</sub>O resistance in NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction. This was attributed to incorporation of Mn<sup>n+</sup> into the CeO<sub>2</sub> lattice to form a uniform ceria-based solid solution (containing Mn-O-Ce structures). Strengthening of the electronic interactions between  $MnO_x$  and  $CeO_2$ , driven by the high-temperature and high-pressure conditions during the hydrothermal treatment also improved the catalyst characteristics. Thus, the hydrothermal treatment method is an efficient and environment-friendly route to synthesizing low-temperature denitrification (deNOx) catalysts.

© 2016, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +86-23-65935909; Fax: +86-23-65935924; E-mail: yaoxj@cigit.ac.cn

<sup>#</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +86-23-65935909; Fax: +86-23-65935924; E-mail: fmyang@cigit.ac.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\$</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: +86-25-83592290; Fax: +86-25-83317761; E-mail: donglin@nju.edu.cn

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21507130); the Open Project Program of Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences (No. 20140142); the Open Project Program of Chongqing Key Laboratory of Environmental Materials and Remediation Technology from Chongqing University of Arts and Sciences (No. CEK1405); the Open Project Program of Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Vehicle Emissions Control (No. OVEC001); the Open Project Program of Chongqing Key Laboratory of Catalysis and Functional Organic Molecules from Chongqing Technology and Business University (1456029); and the Chongqing Science & Technology Commission (Nos. cstc2016jcyjA0070, cstc2014pt-gc20002, cstckjcxljrc13).

DOI: 10.1016/S1872-2067(16)62572-X | http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/18722067 | Chin. J. Catal., Vol. 38, No. 1, January 2017

#### 1. Introduction

Recently, the harmful nature of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) has become a serious environmental issue that has attracted public attention. It has been recognized that NO<sub>x</sub> are major atmospheric pollutants, mainly emitted from stationary sources, such as coal-fired power plants, and mobile sources, such as motor vehicles. These emissions not only cause acid rain and photochemical smog, but also act as a precursor for airborne particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), which can damage human health and plant growth [1–3]. Elimination of NO<sub>x</sub> is an urgent environmental concern. Among currently available technology, selective catalytic reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> by NH<sub>3</sub> (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) has been proved to be an efficient, reliable, and economical way to control NO<sub>x</sub> emissions [4].

A V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample promoted by WO<sub>3</sub> or MoO<sub>3</sub> is the commercial catalyst for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR process, which exhibits excellent catalytic performance between 300 and 400 °C [5–7]. However, to reach these operating temperatures, the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR device has to be located upstream of electric precipitator and desulfurizer units in a power station setting. Under these conditions, the catalyst may become blocked and deactivated by dust and sulfur-containing compounds in flue gas [3,5,8]. Furthermore, there may not be enough space to place an NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR device before the electric precipitator and desulfurizer units in old coal-fired power plants. Therefore, there is demand for low-temperature (below 200 °C) NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalysts that would allow the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR device to be placed downstream of the electrostatic precipitator and desulfurizer units, after dust and SO<sub>2</sub> have been eliminated [3,9,10].

In recent years, considerable efforts have been devoted to developing novel NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalysts that show good low-temperature catalytic performance. Some examples include supported noble-metal catalysts [11], metal-ion exchanged molecular sieves [12-14], and manganese-based catalysts [5,8,9,15-18]. Among these, manganese-based catalysts have attracted considerable attention owing to their good redox properties and excellent oxygen migration ability, which are beneficial for oxidation of NO to NO<sub>2</sub>, and lead to relatively high conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> at low temperatures through a "fast NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR" route [3,19]. However, the low N<sub>2</sub> selectivity, poor H<sub>2</sub>O resistance, and narrow operating temperature window of manganese-based catalysts require further improvements [20-22]. Efficient low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR catalysts are required, which not only exhibit high catalytic activity at low temperatures, but also have a wide operation temperature window, good H<sub>2</sub>O resistance and high N<sub>2</sub> selectivity at low temperatures.

Ceria (CeO<sub>2</sub>) has been widely used to eliminate NO<sub>x</sub> owing to its good redox properties and high oxygen storage/release capacity associated with oxygen vacancies in the material and the Ce<sup>4+</sup>/Ce<sup>3+</sup> redox couple [2,23–29]. It has also been reported that CeO<sub>2</sub> can promote adsorption of NO<sub>x</sub>, provide stronger Brønsted acidic sites, enhance oxidation of NO to NO<sub>2</sub>, and improve the water and sulfur resistance of catalysts, which would be particularly beneficial for the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR model reaction [10,19,26,30]. Therefore, a combination of manganese oxide (MnO<sub>x</sub>) and CeO<sub>2</sub> to form MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts might improve the materials catalytic performance for the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR of NO<sub>x</sub>. This is because of the synergistic interaction between MnO<sub>x</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>, and other advantages. Shen *et al.* [31] synthesized a supported MnO<sub>x</sub>/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for the low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR model reaction, which showed more than 90% NO conversion between 120 and 220 °C owing to a high dispersion of MnO<sub>x</sub>, favorable redox properties, and good adsorption of oxygen species. However, the operating temperature window, H<sub>2</sub>O resistance, and N<sub>2</sub> selectivity of this catalyst need further improvement.

It has been widely reported that the physicochemical properties and catalytic performance of redox catalysts are highly dependent on their preparation methods [22,32]. In the present work, we synthesized a series of MnOx-CeO2 (MnCe) catalysts for a low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR model reaction, using several different preparation methods. The obtained samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, N<sub>2</sub> physisorption, H<sub>2</sub> temperature-programmed reduction (TPR), NH<sub>3</sub> temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and in situ diffuse reflectance Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy (DRIFTS). Moreover, a NO+NH<sub>3</sub>+O<sub>2</sub> model reaction was chosen to evaluate the catalytic performance of these catalysts. The purpose of this paper is to first examine the influence of different preparation methods on the physicochemical properties and catalytic performance of  $MnO_x$ -CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts and to screen for an optimal preparation method. Second, we investigate the interaction of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO+O<sub>2</sub> with these catalysts by in situ DRIFTS in the temperature range of 25-350 °C to further understand the reaction mechanism of NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over MnO<sub>x</sub>-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Catalyst preparation by different methods

#### 2.1.1. Mechanical mixing method (MMM)

The MnCe-MMM catalyst was prepared by a mechanical mixing method.  $MnO_x$  and  $CeO_2$  were obtained by separate thermal decompositions of  $Mn(NO_3)_2$  and  $Ce(NO_3)_3$ · $6H_2O$  at 500 °C for 5 h in air, after grinding the precursors in an agate mortar. The desired amounts of  $MnO_x$  and  $CeO_2$  were then mixed together in an agate mortar for 1 h to prepare the sample.

#### 2.1.2. Impregnation method (IM)

The MnCe-IM catalyst was prepared by an impregnation method. CeO<sub>2</sub> (obtained by thermal decomposition of Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O at 500 °C for 5 h in air) was impregnated with an aqueous solution containing the desired amount of Mn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> for 2 h. The resulting solid was then heated at 100 °C using an oil bath to evaporate residual water. The sample was dried at 110 °C in an oven for 12 h and finally calcined at 500 °C for 5 h in air.

#### 2.1.3. Hydrothermal treatment method (HTM)

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6506070

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6506070

Daneshyari.com