#### Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science 57 (2014) 94-101

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/etfs

# Spreading and receding characteristics of a non-Newtonian droplet impinging on a heated surface

# Joo Hyun Moon, Dae Yun Kim, Seong Hyuk Lee\*

School of Mechanical Engineering, Chung-Ang University, Republic of Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 January 2014 Received in revised form 1 April 2014 Accepted 1 April 2014 Available online 18 April 2014

Keywords: Droplet Impact Non-Newtonian Heat transfer Receding Spreading Contact angle

#### ABSTRACT

The present study aims to investigate the influence of the Weber number and surface temperature on the spreading and receding characteristics of Newtonian (DI-water) and non-Newtonian (xanthan gum solution) droplets impinging on heated surfaces. The surface temperature was in the range from 25 °C to 85 °C, which is below the Leidenfrost temperature (~300 °C). Using high-speed camera images, this study measured the dynamic contact angle as well as spreading and receding diameters. It also used a modified model to predict the maximum spreading diameter by using the effective viscosity. From the results, the modified model using the effective viscosity was in good agreement with the experimental data in predicting the maximum spreading diameter. In addition, the maximum spreading diameter for a DI-water droplet was larger than that of a non-Newtonian droplet because of the difference in liquid viscosity. In particular, for the Newtonian and non-Newtonian droplets, the dynamic contact angle was almost similar in the spreading regime, but in the receding regime, it substantially changes with temperature owing to the variation of viscosity with temperature. Moreover, the spreading diameter rapidly decreased with the increase in surface temperature in the receding regime in which the change in viscous dissipation energy would be important for the receding motion. Finally, the retraction rates of the Newtonian droplet remained constant with temperature, whereas those of the non-Newtonian droplet increased with temperature, thereby supporting the assertion that the viscosity effect is dominant in the receding characteristics after impact.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, droplet impacts on solid surfaces have been an important research topic for various applications related to multiphase flows, thermal management, spray coating, corrosion of solid surfaces, and ink-jet printing. A fundamental understanding of dynamic droplet behavior and heat transfer characteristics on a solid surface is thus crucial for determining controllable factors such as surface wettability, impact conditions, and fluid properties [1–5]. In fact, many studies have investigated the droplet impact behavior and heat transfer characteristics for a Newtonian droplet impinging on a solid surface. Roisman et al. [4] studied the spreading and receding characteristics by measuring the spreading diameter and dynamic contact angle (DCA), and they compared the experimental data with the numerical results. In addition, they developed a new model to estimate the DCA. Alizadeh et al. [6] examined the thermal effects on the spreading and receding

E-mail address: shlee89@cau.ac.kr (S.H. Lee).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.expthermflusci.2014.04.003 0894-1777/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. dynamics of a Newtonian droplet on chemically and mechanically textured hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces. Pasandideh-Fard et al. [7] investigated the effects of some important parameters, including the droplet diameter, impact velocity, and thermo-physical properties of a liquid on the heat transfer characteristics during droplet impact. In addition, they developed a cooling effectiveness model to evaluate the thermal characteristics during impact and showed that the impact velocity could enhance the heat transfer. Their model has been widely used to investigate the heat transfer characteristics of droplets impinging on heated surfaces [8-10]. Negeed et al. [11,12] conducted the experiments on dynamic behavior of micrometric single water droplets impinging on heated surfaces with and without superhydrophilic coating by using a high-speed camera. They suggested the empirical correlations for the hydrodynamic characteristics of a droplet and examined the influence of the surface roughness and oxidation layer on the dynamic behavior of a droplet on the surfaces [13]. In addition, the effect of surface condition on evaporation of sprayed liquid droplet was investigated and some empirical relationships for the maximum spread of droplet and the solid-liquid contact time were provided [14].







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Address: 221 Heuksuk-Dong, Dongjak-Gu, Seoul 156-756, Republic of Korea. Tel.: +82 2 820 5254.

Tomenciacuic
--------------

consistency index	έ <sub>126</sub>	retraction rate at Weber number of 126
<sup>*</sup> dimensionless spreading diameter	$\mu$	shear viscosity with time
MAX maximum spreading diameter	$\mu_o$	zero shear viscosity
MAX dimensionless maximum spreading diameter	$\mu_{\infty}$	infinite-shear viscosity
the equivalent droplet diameter [26]	$\rho$	density
the measured horizontal droplet diameter	γ <sub>LV</sub>	surface tension between liquid and vapor phases
the measured vertical droplet diameter	$\dot{\theta}_a$	advancing contact angle at maximum spread
power law index	$\theta_e$	equilibrium contact angle between a droplet and a solid
time		surface
b impact velocity	$\theta_d$	dynamic contact angle
receding (retraction) velocity		
	Dimens	ionless numbers
Treek symbols	Re	Reynolds number $(=\rho v_0 d_0/\mu_0)$
shear rate	Re <sub>eff</sub>	Effective Reynolds number $(=\rho v_0 d_0/\mu_{eff})$
retraction rate	We	Weber number $(=\rho v_0^2 d_0 / \gamma_{\rm IV})$
retraction rate at Weber number of 32		(, ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
	consistency index dimensionless spreading diameter maximum spreading diameter dimensionless maximum spreading diameter dimensionless maximum spreading diameter the equivalent droplet diameter [26] the measured horizontal droplet diameter power law index time b impact velocity ret receding (retraction) velocity freek symbols shear rate retraction rate az retraction rate at Weber number of 32	consistency index $\acute{k}_{126}$ $p^*$ dimensionless spreading diameter $\mu$ $p_{MAX}$ maximum spreading diameter $\mu_o$ $p_{MAX}^*$ dimensionless maximum spreading diameter $\mu_o$ $\rho_{MAX}$ dimensionless maximum spreading diameter $\mu_{\infty}$ $o$ the equivalent droplet diameter [26] $\rho$ $x$ the measured horizontal droplet diameter $\theta_a$ $y$ the measured vertical droplet diameter $\theta_a$ $p$ ower law index $\theta_e$ time $v_{ret}$ receding (retraction) velocity $\theta_d$ Greek symbolsReShear rateRe $shear rate$ retraction rateWe $a_2$ retraction rate at Weber number of 32 $a_2$

Recently, interest in non-Newtonian fluids for industrial applications has rapidly increased, and many results have been reported on the heat transfer and dynamic behavior of non-Newtonian droplets [15–23]. The pioneering work of Bergeron et al. [15] incorporated small amounts of a flexible polymer that inhibited the droplets' receding motion and suppressed the rebound without changing the shear viscosity. Later, Moon et al. [20] measured the spreading diameter and DCA for Newtonian and non-Newtonian droplets impinging on unheated solid surfaces. They reported that the evolution of the DCA in the spreading regime was similar for non-Newtonian and Newtonian droplets, whereas in the receding regime, the DCAs of non-Newtonian droplets increased owing to the increase in shear viscosity at lower shear rate.

An and Lee [16,17] examined the impact dynamics of a non-Newtonian droplet on various solid surfaces with different hydrophobicities and suggested a new model for estimating the maximum spreading diameter. German and Bertola [21] discussed the dynamic behaviors of a non-Newtonian droplet that were associated with yield stress and shear-thinning characteristics. They showed that the maximum spreading diameter was affected by the surface wettability and yield stress. Meanwhile, Bertola [24] studied a bouncing droplet of polymer additives to examine the Leidenfrost phenomena of non-Newtonian droplets on a heated surface. Bertola [22] also investigated the impact of a water droplet containing a polymer additive on a hot surface above 100 °C. Bartolo et al. [19] measured the retraction rate of a Newtonian liquid droplet impinging on hydrophobic surfaces for cases with a very high Weber number. Their results demonstrated that the retraction rate of Newtonian droplets rarely depended on the Weber number based on the impact velocity. Even with the many studies [7,9,10,25] that have been reported, there is still a lack of experimental studies on non-Newtonian droplets impinging on a heated surface. Thus, the present study aims to investigate the influence of important factors such as surface temperature, liquid property, and impact velocity on the dynamic behavior of Newtonian and non-Newtonian droplets.

### 2. Experimental setup

As shown in Fig. 1, a free-fall droplet was detached from a flattipped metal needle (30 gage, Hamilton), which was connected to a syringe pump (LSP01-1A, LongerPump). The detached droplet had an equivalent droplet diameter of 2.30 mm ± 0.05 mm, which was estimated from  $d_o = (d_x^2 \times d_y)^{1/3}$  [26]. Using the droplet diameter and liquid property, the density of de-ionized (DI) water and xanthan gum liquid can be estimated from the weight measured by a microbalance (AC121S, Sartorius). The impact velocity was controlled by changing the height between the tip of the needle and top of the solid surface. The Weber numbers, which were defined as  $\rho v_0^2 d_0 / \gamma_{LV}$ , were determined to be 32, 64, and 126, for impact heights of 50, 100, and 200 mm, respectively. The present study focused only on the deposition regime under a relatively low Weber number [2,16].

The present study used DI-water and a xanthan solution to prepare the Newtonian and non-Newtonian droplets, respectively. Xanthan gum was mixed with DI-water in different concentrations of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 wt.% (hereafter denoted as X0.1, X0.2, and X0.5, respectively). When preparing the xanthan gum solutions, a magnetic stirrer was used to mix DI-water with xanthan gum particles for 24 h, and a vacuum pump was utilized to remove bubbles from the liquid. For the imposed shear rate, the liquid shear viscosity



Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/651592

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/651592

Daneshyari.com