



morphology of the catalyst (metallic dispersion and specific surface area of the ceria support) deserves more thorough investigation and control in view of industrial application.

Our group has previously described the outstanding performance of a well-dispersed Ir/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for the oxidative steam reforming of ethanol [16,17]. Upon long-term testing, moderate ceria sintering was observed but had negligible impact on the iridium dispersion. Nevertheless, the full conversion of ethanol in the aforementioned experiments prevented the precise investigation of the potential effect of the specific surface area of the ceria support on catalyst performance and aging. We consequently performed an in-depth study of the combined effect of the ceria surface area and the iridium dispersion on the performance of the Ir/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for the oxidative steam reforming of ethanol. For this purpose, catalysts with different ceria grain sizes but with the same Ir loading, including the catalyst already described in [16,17], were compared under identical reaction conditions. In order to further analyze the performance of the catalysts, their intrinsic properties were characterized using Temperature-Programmed Reduction (TPR), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), oxygen storage capacity (OSC), oxygen isotopic exchange (SSITKA), *in situ* DRIFT spectroscopy, and High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) imaging, with an aim to establish possible structure–activity relationships.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Catalyst preparation

CeO<sub>2</sub> was prepared by precipitation, with diammonium cerium (IV) nitrate made to react with urea in aqueous solution, according to the procedure detailed in [17]. After filtration and thorough washing with water, the excess water was evaporated under vacuum, the precipitate was dried at 100 °C for 12 h, and finally calcined at 400, 550, 700, or 850 °C for 5 h in static air, yielding ceria samples with different specific surface areas (labeled as Ce400, Ce550, Ce700, and Ce850, respectively).

The series of Ir/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts loaded with 2 wt.% Ir was prepared by deposition–precipitation according to the procedure reported in [17]. The catalysts prepared were named Ir/Ce400, Ir/Ce550, Ir/Ce700, and Ir/Ce850. Their Ir content is reported in Table 1. Less than 0.03 wt.% sodium was detected by chemical analysis, which rules out any significant role of alkali for these catalysts.

### 2.2. Catalyst characterization

#### 2.2.1. XRD

XRD patterns were recorded using a Rigaku D/MAX-RB diffractometer equipped with a Cu K $\alpha$  radiation source operating at 40 kV and 100 mA. The mean crystallite sizes of ceria were calculated according to the Debye–Scherrer equation.

#### 2.2.2. HRTEM

HRTEM images were obtained using a Philips Tecnai G<sup>2</sup>20 microscope operating at 300 kV. The samples were prepared by ultrasonically suspending the sample in ethanol. A droplet of the suspension was deposited onto a thin carbon film supported on a standard copper grid and then dried in air.

#### 2.2.3. BET

N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption isotherms were recorded at –196 °C using a Nova 4200e instrument (Quantachrome). Prior to measurements, the sample was outgassed at 300 °C for 2 h under primary-pump vacuum. The specific surface area was calculated by multi-point BET analysis of the nitrogen adsorption isotherms.

#### 2.2.4. H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption

The dispersion of the metallic phase obtained after reduction in hydrogen was calculated from H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption. Experiments were performed at low temperature to limit the “spillover” phenomenon [18,19] using a pulse chromatographic apparatus. After reduction under flowing hydrogen at 400 °C for 1 h, the sample was cooled to room temperature under flowing argon. The reactor was then placed in a dry ice–acetone mixture at –85 °C, and pulses of hydrogen were injected until saturation was indicated by constant peak area of the final pulses. This permitted the evaluation of total hydrogen uptake (H<sub>C1</sub>). Subsequently, the catalyst was flushed for 10 min under argon to remove the physically adsorbed hydrogen molecules, and hydrogen was pulsed again until saturation (H<sub>C2</sub>). The amount of chemisorbed hydrogen was calculated using  $H_C = H_{C1} - H_{C2}$ .

#### 2.2.5. H<sub>2</sub>-TPR

Hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) was performed with a conventional setup equipped with a TCD detector. A 100 mg catalyst sample (40–60 mesh) was pretreated at 300 °C for 1 h under flowing He (40 mL/min). After cooling to room temperature, the sample was exposed to a flow of 5 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>/He (40 mL/min), and the temperature was increased linearly at 10 °C/min.

#### 2.2.6. OSC

The oxygen storage capacity (OSC) was measured in a microreactor coupled with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Omnistar, Balzers). Before analysis, the sample (100 mg) was reduced with 5 vol.% H<sub>2</sub>/Ar (50 mL/min) at 650 °C. The sample was purged for 15 min at 650 °C using flowing Ar. A stream of 5 vol.% O<sub>2</sub>/Ar (50 mL/min) was then passed through the sample, and the oxygen consumption was quantitatively monitored with the mass spectrometer at  $m/e = 32$ .

#### 2.2.7. Carbon deposition

The carbon deposition occurring during catalytic testing was investigated by temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO)

**Table 1**  
Physicochemical properties and oxygen storage capacity of the fresh catalysts and in parenthesis, values measured after long-term aging treatments carried out at 650 °C for 60 h.

Catalyst	x <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>a</sup> (wt.%)	D <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>b</sup> (%)	S <sub>BET</sub> <sup>c</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> /g <sub>cat</sub> )	d <sub>CeO2</sub> <sup>d</sup> (nm)	S <sub>external</sub> <sup>e</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> /g <sub>cat</sub> )
Ir/Ce400	1.92	67 (61)	153 (61)	6 (15)	140 (56)
Ir/Ce550	1.81	62 (46)	102 (43)	8 (19)	105 (44)
Ir/Ce700	1.87	59 (37)	45 (20)	15 (34)	56 (25)
Ir/Ce850	1.75	26 (23)	16 (12)	44 (60)	19 (14)

<sup>a</sup> Ir content from chemical analyses (no significant changes have been noted after long-run testing).

<sup>b</sup> Ir dispersion calculated from hydrogen chemisorption after reduction at 400 °C under flowing H<sub>2</sub> for the fresh samples and from HRTEM images for the used samples (see Fig. 14).

<sup>c</sup> BET surface area measured by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption before and after long-term runs.

<sup>d</sup> Ceria grain size, calculated from the XRD patterns (Debye–Scherrer equation).

<sup>e</sup> Ceria surface calculated from the ceria grain size using the formula  $S_{\text{external}} = \frac{60,000}{\rho_{\text{ceria}} (\text{g cm}^{-3}) \times d (\text{\AA})}$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6528223>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6528223>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)