



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ichmt](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ichmt)

# Experimental investigation for sequential triangular double-layered microchannel heat sink with nanofluids<sup>☆</sup>

Q2 Hamdi E. Ahmed<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, M.I. Ahmed<sup>a</sup>, Islam M.F. Seder<sup>a</sup>, B.H. Salman<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, 50728, Gombak, Malaysia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Anbar, Ramadi 31001, Iraq

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

Available online xxxx

### Keywords:

Double-layer  
Microchannel heat sink  
Sequential triangular  
Nanofluids

## ABSTRACT

This study examined new innovative design of aluminum rectangular and triangular double-layered microchannel heat sink (RDLMCHS) and (TDLMCHS), respectively, using  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{SiO}_2\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  nanofluids. A series of experimental runs for different channel dimensions, different nanoparticles concentrations and types and several pumping powers showed excellent hydrothermal performance for DLMCHS over traditional single-layer (SLMCHS). The results showed that the sequential TDLMCHS provided a 27.4% reduction in the wall temperature comparing with RDLMCHS and has better temperature uniformity across the channel length with less than 2 °C. Sequential TDLMCHS provided 16.6% total thermal resistance lesser than the RDLMCHS at low pumping power and the given geometry parameters. Pressure drop observation showed no significant differences between the two designs. In addition, larger number of channels and smaller fin thickness referred less thermal resistance rather than only increasing the pumping power. Higher nanoparticle concentration showed better thermal stability for both nanofluids than pure water. The  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-H}_2\text{O}$  nanofluid (0.9 vol.%) showed best performance with the temperature difference of 1.6 °C and lowest thermal resistance of 0.13 °C/W·m<sup>2</sup>.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

## 1. Introduction

Thermal management is a vital part to maintain the functionality and durability of any successful engineering device. As the world goes toward smaller in size, cheaper devices, lighter in weight, and higher in efficiency, microelectronic mechanical systems enable an innovative cooling design to be used in miniature designs, especially electronic applications. Enhancing the performance of electronic chips requires utilizing more resistors which causes massive heat generated in these devices. This pushed researchers to adapt more efficient cooling system. In 1981, Tuckerman and Pease [1] utilized the concept of micro heat exchanger in electronic cooling, opening the door to a new mechanism in dissipating heat rather than the traditional low-thermal capacity of air heat sink. Another important factor in increasing the thermal performance of the cooling system is adapting a proper coolant. It has been proved that different fluids have different flow properties that strongly affect the overall performances. Recently, nanofluids have been used in some engineering applications in order to enhance the thermal conductivity of the based-fluids [2]. Heat transfer coefficient can be improved

by increasing the ratio of channel surface area to channel volume and also the properties of fluids decide the abilities of fluid to remove heat by influencing the heat transfer coefficient. Thus, improvement in overall heat transfer performance must be concentrated on dimensions of the channel and type of fluids used in heat exchanger.

Two main challenging obstacles are still faced in this filed. First, the high pressure drop between the inlet and outlet of the channel which imposes using high pumping power. Second, the large difference in temperature distribution along the channel which increase thermal stresses in the cooled-electronic elements thus reduces the electrical performance due to the electrical-thermal instability and thermal breakdown. This pushed engineers to move from traditional single-layer microchannel to double or multi-layer microchannel. The non-circular geometry of microchannels are widely used such as rectangle, trapezoid, triangle, and diamond. Moreover, width of channel and width of fin are among critical parameters that are usually investigated since they strongly affect the thermals conductivity and convection coefficient of heat transfer.

Double-layered microchannel heat sink (DLMCHS) was suggested by Vafai and Zhu [3] to represent an innovative design that adds more features for cooling system in miniature devices where the pressure drop was deceased dramatically and the heat was better distributed along the MCHS length. Gunnasegaran et al., [4] showed that the smallest hydraulic diameter ( $D_h$ ) of the MCHS provided better

<sup>☆</sup> Communicated by W.J. Minkowycz.

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Mechanical Engineering, International Islamic University Malaysia, 50728, Gombak, Malaysia.

E-mail address: [hamdi.ahmed@uoa.edu.iq](mailto:hamdi.ahmed@uoa.edu.iq) (H.E. Ahmed).

## Symbols

A	area, m <sup>2</sup>
C <sub>p</sub>	Specific heat capacity, J/kgK
D <sub>h</sub>	hydraulic diameter, m
DW	distilled water
f	Fanning friction factor
g	gravitational acceleration, m/s <sup>2</sup>
Q3	h convection heat transfer coefficient, W/m <sup>2</sup> ·°C
H	channel height, m
I	current, A
k	thermal conductivity, W/m·°C
L, l	channel Length, mm
M	molecular weight, g
N	number of channel
Nu	Nusselt number
PP	pumping power, W
Pr	Prandtl number
ΔP	pressure drop, Pa
q	heat flux, W/m <sup>2</sup>
Q	heat transfer rate, W
Q4	R thermal resistance, °C/W·m <sup>2</sup>
R	variable
Re	Reynolds number
RWP	rectangular winglet pair
T	temperature, K
t	thickness, m
u	velocity, m/s
V	voltage, v
vol	volume fraction
W	weight, g
x, y, z	3D Cartesian coordinate

## Greek symbols

ρ	density, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
μ	dynamic viscosity, kg/m·s

## Subscripts

avg	average
bf	base fluid
c	channel
eff	effective
f	fin
f	fluid
in	inlet
m	mean
nf	nanofluid
out	outlet
p	nanoparticle
s	surface
tot	total
w	water

lower AR were crucial parameters for heat transfer augmentation. 91  
Thus, optimal values of the geometric parameters could be obtained to 92  
reach the lowest thermal resistance. 93

Hung et al. [8] showed that DLMCHS provided higher heat transfer 94  
by about 6.3% than SLMCHS with significant decrease in pressure 95  
drops. One critical drawback of SLMCHS was the dramatic rise in tem- 96  
perature along the microchannels due to relatively small amount of 97  
fluids that take heat generated from the electronic chips, thus the cool- 98  
ant experiences a massive temperature increment. Lin et al. [9] found 99  
that channels number, coolant velocity in the lower channel, bottom 100  
channel height, and vertical rib affected the values of pumping powers 101  
of DLMCHS. Hung et al. [10] emphasized that the optimal design de- 102  
pends on the pumping power of MCHS. Sakanova et al. [11] reported 103  
that DLMCHS caused a reduction of 15% in thermal resistance compared 104  
to SLMCHS. Moreover, better uniformity for temperature distribution 105  
was obtained for DLMCHS leading to enhance the performance of the 106  
electronic chips and semiconductor devices. Wei et al. [12] showed 107  
that DLMCHS provided larger flow passages than SLMCHS, thus the pen- 108  
alty of pressure drop was dramatically reduced. The thermal resistance 109  
was also reduced as the flow rate increased inside the lower-layer 110  
microchannels than through the upper layer. Wang et al. [13] empha- 111  
sized that as the pumping power increased, the heat transfer was re- 112  
markably improved. 113

The surface roughness affects the values of the friction factors even 114  
under laminar flow condition as Weilin et al. [14] and Wu and Cheng 115  
[15] stated. They displayed the dependency of Nusselt number and fric- 116  
tion factor on geometric shape of microchannel. 117

Comprehensive review, done by Liu and Garimella [16] ascertained 118  
that conventional correlations provide consistent prediction for low 119  
Reynolds number regime while Lee and Garimella [17] found that the 120  
measured Nusselt number agrees with prediction ones over the whole 121  
length of the micro-channel. Thus, the correlations of heat transfer coef- 122  
ficient, which can be found by Nusselt number using Eq. (6). Nusselt 123  
number derived by Shah and London [18] and Kays and Crawford [19] is 124

$$Nu = \left[ \left( 2.22 \left( \frac{l}{Re D_h Pr} \right)^{-0.33} \right)^3 + (-0.02 + 8.31 G)^3 \right]^{1/3} \quad (1)$$

Sakanova et al. [20] tested the application of nanofluids in wavy 126  
channel structure having different wavy amplitudes and wavelengths. 127  
They found that the wavy microchannels outperformed the straight 128  
microchannels thermally using water as coolant. Moreover, this thermal 129  
improvement was enhanced when water was replaced by nanofluid. 130  
Hung et al. [21] reported that by using base fluids (having lower dynam- 131  
ic viscosity such as water) and substrate materials (having high thermal 132  
conductivity) enhanced the thermal performance of the MCHS. 133  
Nanofluids enhanced the thermal performance of MCHS better than 134  
water particularly when the volume fraction increased. Ho et al. [22] 135  
and Ho and Chen [23] recorded a slight increase in the friction factor 136  
of alumina oxide nanofluids flow in copper MCHS compared to water. 137  
Besides, a significant enhancement in heat transfer rate (lower thermal 138  
resistance and wall temperature) was registered with nanofluids. 139  
Mohammed et al. [24] recorded an increase in both the heat transfer co- 140  
efficient and wall shear stress when the volume fraction increased 141  
whereas a slight increase in the pressure drop across the MCHS was 142  
monitored compared to the base fluid. 143

Yang et al. [25] found that the thermal resistance of trapezoidal 144  
MCHS was smaller compared when water was used, which decreased 145  
as the particle concentration and Re number increased. While a small in- 146  
crease in the pressure drop was recorded with increasing the concentra- 147  
tion. Chen and Ding [26] reported that the effect of fluid inertia caused a 148  
reduction in the total thermal resistance and the temperature difference 149  
between the channel wall and nanofluid phase. Halelfadl et al. [27] ob- 150  
served that nanofluids reduced the total thermal resistance and en- 151  
hanced significantly the thermal performances of a rectangular MCHS 152

80 uniformities in heat transfer coefficient and temperature distribution  
81 and better performance of pressure drop and friction factor. It was  
82 also shown that the higher heat transfer coefficients were for rectangu-  
83 lar channels, followed by trapezoidal and then triangular channels.  
84 Alfaryjat et al. [5] concluded that hexagonal MCHS was the best channel  
85 shape for the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop compared to  
86 circular and rhombus channels. However, rhombus cross-section  
87 MCHS was the best channel in terms of temperature, friction factor,  
88 and thermal resistance.

89 Hung et al. [6] and Hung and Yan [7] illustrated that the number of  
90 channel, channel upper and lower width ratio, channel lower and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/652823>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/652823>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)